# United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



## SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q You mean after Herbert cameFeeney?
- A No. After D'Onofrio-Feeney, when Feeney left Kirschbaum, Feeney left D'Onofrio Feeney A.G. --
- Q What was the business of this firm known as Herbert & D'Onofrio?
  - A A.G.?
  - o Yes.
    - A Foreign mergers, acquisitions and manipulations.
  - Q Did you do any foreign mergers or acquisitions?
  - A We tried a few.
  - Q But you didn't do any?
  - A But we worked hard at it.
- Other than the indictments which I have mentioned to you this afternoon, have you been named in any other indictments?
  - A Been named?
  - Q Yes, as a defendant or a co-conspirator.
  - A I cannot recall being named -- civil or criminal?
  - Q Let's start with criminal.
  - A Any other criminal, I don't think so, sir.
  - Q How about civil?
  - A Many.

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, I don't think that is proper cross. Mr. D'Onofrio has been named in civil actions

rmb-9

D'Onofrio-cross

and I don't think that is proper impeachment.

MR. GOULD: I don't want to argue extensively, your Honor, but if it deals with fraud --

MR. SORKIN: Mr. Gould, that is unnecessary.

THE COURT: You made your point, Mr. Sorkin. I would agree that you are entitled to get into civil cases if they have something to do with the credibility or the issues here.

MR. GOULD: Those are the nnly ones I would ask him about.

THE COURT: All right.

Q Tell us about civil charges against you.

A Mr. Gould, I couldn't begin to enumerate the civil charges. If you have a list, you would help me a great deal by calling them off.

THE COURT: I don't want every civil suit that he could have had in his lifetime. I assume this has something to do with securities or his business operations, as he has told us, or his firms in Zurich or in New York.

MR. GOULD: Your Honor may be assured I will confine myself only to such things and should I deviate, my assistants will be quick to bring me back on the trail.

Q In addition to these -- let's take the Securities and Exchange Commission matters.

1	rmb-10	D'Onofrio-cross 397
2	A	That is easier for me.
3	Q	Do you remember a matter called Resource Control?
4	A	Yes, sir.
5	Q	What is the excitement?
6		MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, I think the side bar
7	would be t	the better place for this
8		MR. GOULD: I withdraw the question. I don't
9	want to wa	ste time. It is not that important to me.
10		THE COURT: Go ahead.
11	Q	Do you remember something called Galco Leasing, Inc.
12	A	Yes, sir.
13	Q	That was the subject of a SEC proceeding in which
14	you were i	nvolved, right?
15	, <b>A</b>	And I was involved and I was convicted default
16	judgment h	y the Securities and Exchange Commission because
17	I was a fu	ngitive at the time
18	Q	You were charged with fraud in that one?
19	A	Yes, sir, manipulating the stock.
20	Q Q	And fraud?
21	A	Yes, sir, they go together.
22	Q	And you didn't defend the case and they got a
23	judgment a	against you?
24	A	I wouldn't defend the case. I would plead guilty
25	anyway.	I did it.

1	rmb-11	D'Onofrio-cross 398	
2	Q	Before we pass from Galco Leasing, do you know	
3	who the st	ockholders were in that?	
4	A	Do you mean all of them?	
5	Q	No, sir, the important ones.	
6		MR. SORKIN: Objection as to what is important.	
7		MR. GOULD: Well taken. I will withdraw the	
8	question.		
9	Q	Was Sandra D'Onofrio a substantial stockholder of	
10	Galco?		
11	A	Yes, sir.	
12	Ď.	Who is Sandra D'Onofrio?	
13	A	My former wife.	
14	Q	Is she your first wife?	
15	A	My second wife.	
16	Q	What was the name of your first wife?	
17		MR. SORKIN: I object to this, your Honor.	
18		THE COURT: I will sustain that particular objection	
19	at this stage.		
20	Q	IPV, Inc. Does that ring a bell?	
21	A	Yes, sir.	
22	Q	Were you named in a SEC proceeding in that case?	
23	A	I don't know. I know there is an order of	
24	investigat	tion, but I don't know if I was named	
25	Q	We will let it go. Bio Derivatives, how about that	

1	400
1	2b pm rmrf l D'Onofrio-cross
2	O Do you want to claim your privilege?
3	A No, I don't want to claim privilege
4	MR. SORKIN: There has been no indictment and the
5	Government disclosed, your Honor, what Mr. D'Onofrio
6	said he did, and there are no pending charges against
7	anyone with respect to the stocks Mr. Gould is talking
8	about.
9	MR. GOULD: He says he did a manipulation and
10	I would like to know with whom he did it.
11	MR. SORKIN: That was not the question.
12	MR. GOULD: I thought it was.
13	THE COURT: If you want to ask it, ask it again.
14	O You did a manipulation with whom on that?
15	A With Mr. Herbert, Mr. Moss, who is now deceased,
16	and a Mr. Salmon from a NASD brokerage house, and I don't
17	recall other people at this point.
18	O Stoller had nothing to do with that one?
19	A No, sir.
20	O He was out of it?
21	A He was out.
22	Q He wasn't there?
23	A What do you mean?
24	O He didn't do it with you?
25	A No, sir. I told you no.

		401
1	rmrf 2	D'Onofrio-cross
2	Ö	Nor Mr. Frank?
3	A	No, sir.
4	Q	He didn't do it either?
5	A	No, sir.
6	Ó	Meridian Fast Foods, Inc.
7	A	Yes, sir.
8	Ó	Did you do a manipulation on that one too?
9	A	Yes, sir.
10	Q	And there you were the subject of a judgment of
11	injunctio	on, right?
12	A	That is correct.
13	Q	Obtained by the Securities and Exchange Commission?
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Ú	Charged with fraud?
16	А	Fraud, manipulation and all the other things.
17	Ω	And Mr. Stoller had nothing to do with that one,
18	did he?	
19	A	No, sir.
20	Q	You told us this morning you got some money from
21	an insura	ance company in Pennsylvania called Cosmopolitan?
22	A	Yes, sir.
23	Ω	Is that a company called Cosmopolitan Investors
24	Funding (	Company?
25	A	It is possible, sir. That could be the parent

::-

1	402
1	rmrf 3 D'Onofrio-cross
2	company.
3	O Do you know of any proceedings against that company?
4	A I don't know. There may be an order of investiga-
5	tion concerning that company, sir.
6	Q Haven't you been questioned about it?
7	A Yes, I have, by the Securities and Exchange
8	Commission.
9	O Do you know what the gravamen of the charges
10	are, if any, in that matter?
11	A Yes.
12	MR. SORKIN: I object. What does it have to do
13	with Mr. D'Onofrio? These are pending investigations and
14	I think Mr. Gould is fishing.
15	MR. GOULD: I am hardly fishing, your Honor
16	THE COURT: Since we got into the subject this
17	morning I don't see how I can fairly cut the defense off.
18	Your voice just dropped and perhaps Mr. D'Onofrio had the
19	same problem I had. I didn't get the last part of your
20	question.
21	Would you repeat it?
22	MR. GOULD: I asked him if he knew the substance
23	of the charges in that proceeding.
24	THE COURT: First of all, have there been any

charges?

finishes it.

1

4

.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. GOULD: If any, I said.

THE WITNESS: No, sir, there are no charges.

THE COURT: I am just repeating for him --

MR. GOULD: If he doesn't know of any, that

THE WITNESS: It is an order of investigation.

- O You said that was an insurance company this morning, didn't you?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - O Is it an insurance company?
- A It was an insurance company. They had about a hundred million dollars worth of life insurance in force. I would have to say yes. That may be the parent company's name or a subsidiary name.
- Q How about a company called Australian Pacific Funding Management, did you ever hear of that?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - O Is that connected with Cosmopolitan?
  - A No, sir.
  - O Did you have anything to do with that?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - O What did you have to do with that company?
  - A I owned the management company.
  - O You owned Australian Pacific Fund --

i		
1	rmrf 5	D'Onofrio-cross
2	Α	Herbert & D'Onofrio AG owned the management
3	company -	- half of the management company.
4	Ú	Were you in partnership with Cosmopolitan Funding
5	in that?	
6	λ	Yes, sir.
7	Q	So that Cosmopolitan Funding from which you say you
8	got the \$	2,000 a month was a partner of yours
9	А	After.
10	0	Isn't that right?
11	A	After, yes, sir about a year later.
12	0	How about Amitalia Fund Management Company?
13	A	What is the question?
14	n	Did you have any interest in that?
15	A	Herbert & D'Onofrio AG owned about 30 per cent
16	interest	of that.
17	0	In partnership with Cosmopolitan?
18	A	No, sir. Cosmopolitan was about a ten per cent
19	stockhold	der and the Italian Government owns the control,
20	I believe	<b>!.</b>
21	Ω	Did you have anything to do with the sale of
22	securitie	es of Amitalia?
23		MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, I object. There are no
24	charges h	prought and this has no relevance to Training

With The Pros and I don't see why this cross is continuing.

1 rmrf 6 D'Onofrio-cross THE COURT: I couldn't answer whether there are 3 any charges brought. I wouldn't know. MR. SORKIN: Mr. D'Onofrio said he didn't know. 5 THE WITNESS: That I know of. 6 THE COURT: You are now making a statement on 7 your own knowledge and I am telling you I wouldn't know. 8 MR. SORKIN: Maybe Mr. Gould would know --9 MR. GOULD: How would I know? 10 THE COURT: The point is that hopefully you are 11 getting at something which is pertinent here, Mr. Gould. 12 MR. GOULD: Why, certainly. 13 Did you do a manipulation in Amitalia? 14 No, sir. It is a listed fund quoted daily, includ-15 ing today, in the International Herald Tribune for the benefit 16 of Italian citizens. It is a totally legal, well monitored 17 fund. 18 You own what part of it? 19 My firm, Herbert & D'Onofrio AG, owned about 35 20 per cent of it and sold it about a year and a half ago. 21 For how much? 0 22 For nothing. We gave it away for someone to 23 assume the responsibilities of managing it. We didn't 24 have the capabilities of running back and forth from Milan, to Rome, to Luxembourg for the work. There was not 25

Majesty's prison in Pentonville.

			_	- 8
r	m	$\mathbf{r}$	т-	×
	* 11		-	•

### D'Onofrio-cross

- Q Could you give me the approximate date of that?
- A About May 1, 1973. I figured I had had enough.
- O So that we are clear, are we not, that up to May

  1, 1973 you were engaged in this criminal activity and
  you decided to give it up?
  - A That is correct.
  - O To reform?
- A To rehabilitate myself and live like a human being the rest of my life.
- O That came about, as I understand your testimony, as a personal and moral matter, correct? You look as if you don't understand me.
- A I understand your question, but I am just trying to figure how to answer you, sir.
  - o very well.

A I thought over the fact that I was away from my children, I have an epileptic daughter who was not being cared for, I had an estranged wife who had divorced me as a result of my criminal activities, I had had a widowed mother I was supporting, an abandoned sister and her children I felt it was no good even if I beat the United States Government in England to live in Zambia, where I had the opportunity to go, or to Salonica. I had gotten my visas and authorizations in England two days prior to being

rmrf 9

D'Onofrio-cross

arrested by Scotland Yard and after considering all this I preferred to make my peace with the United States Government, return voluntarily, pay my duty and just for my crimes, whatever I have to serve in prison, and when I get out, live like a human being.

- O And you wanted nothing for this except the sense that you were doing the right thing, is that correct?
  - A I wanted nothing, sir? Oh, no.
  - Q You wanted no compensation?
  - A You mean money?
  - O Money or anything else.

A Originally I asked while cooperating for many, many things. I called Mr. Morvillo of this office from Switzerland and I asked him for everything but the sun and the moon, a chauffeur and everything but a concubine, and I was refused. After being captured, I had to subjugate myself to the Government and make an agreement that was not at all tasteful to me.

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, may we have the date when he called Mr. Morvillo?

Morvillo on a number of occasions with Mr. Brodsky and Mr.

Tigue and along was present Mr. Ryan from the United States

District Court in the Eastern District, head of the

	409
1	rmrf 10 D'Onofrio-cross
2	Criminal Division.
3	O Where were you on October 3, 1972?
4	A God, I think I was in Switzerland.
5	O Do you remember making a telephone call to Mr.
6	Morvillo on October 3, 1972?
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q Do you remember where you were when you made that
9	call?
10	A I made that call from my home in Switzerland,
11	my flat.
12	Q In Zurich?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q You got on the telephone and placed a call
15	to Mr. Robert Morvillo?
16	A Placed many calls. That is one of them.
17	O Actually you had made another call on September 28,
18	1972 to Mr. Brodsky?
19	A That is correct.
20	Q Who was Mr. Brodsky?
21	A The United States Attorney that indicted me in the
22	perjury case that caused me to run away from the United
23	States.
24	Q Who was Mr. Morvillo?
25	A I think he was Chief of the Criminal Division of
1	

rmrf 11

D'Onofrio-cross

this district at that time, or Assistant Chief.

O You spoke on the telephone first with Brodsky and then with his boss, Morvillo?

A Not exactly. First I spoke on the phone
with Mr. Brodsky and he told me that another person was
present in the room and he wanted him present on the speaker
phone and I believe it was Mr. Tigue --

Q Another Assistant United States Attorney?

A Yes. On the second call I had called for Joe

Ryan in the Eastern District to try to be at Mr. Morvillo's office when I placed the second call so that he could be on a speaker phone and at that time Mr. Morvillo, Mr. Tigue, I don't remember if he was present, and Mr. Brodsky and Mr. Ryan said he was there and a fourth United States Attorney I can't recall.

O Let's get down to this conversation with Morvillo.

We have it clear, you are talking from Zurich and talking
to Morvillo, the Chief of the Criminal Division.

A Yes, sir.

O He has advised you he has you on the speaker phone and present in the room are Mr. Brodsky, Mr. Ryan, Mr. Tigue, and one other man whom you can't remember.

A He also advised me of something else.

O What else?

to you?"

### D'Onofrio-cross

2

1

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He advised me, "Would you mind if we are taking notes while you are talking?"

> I said, "I don't mind if you are taking notes." He says, "Are you taking notes while I am talking

I said, "No, I am recording you at the home of my partner, Mr. Herbert, and a full recording is being made of your conversation."

He said, "Then we both know we are subject to making notes, we don't have the recording equipment and you are recording what we are talking about."

Did you record the conversation?

Mr. Herbert recorded it for me while I was on the phone at his home.

Tk 3A	1	gab-1	D'Onofrio-cross 412
	2	Q	He gave you the tape, right?
	3	A	No, he has the tape.
100	4	Ω	He has the tape?
	5	A	Last in his possession.
	6	Q	You know that he has the tape?
	7	A	No, it may be in the defendant's hands now. I
	8	don't know	<b>√.</b>
	9	Q	Didn't you ask him for the tape?
	10	A	No, sir.
	11	Q	Never wanted it?
	12	A	No.
	13	Q	Have you ever listened to the tape?
	14	A	No.
	15	Q	No interest in it?
	16	A	No, sir.
	17	Q	All right. Then we will talk about your recolled
	18	tion of t	he conversation first.
	19	A	Yes, sir.
	20	Q	You remember what you have said to Mr. Morvillo
	21	and what	Mr. Morvillo said to you?
	22	A	I remember Mr. Morvillo I don't remember who
1	23	saying wh	at to what. I said to him that I would like to
	24		der certain conditions.
	25	Q	Right.
		_	•

D'Onofrio-cross

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He says "You first tell me your conditions and then those that I can meet I will tell you immediately and those that I cannot acquiesce to, I will tell you."

He says, "What do you want?"

I says, "Well, (a), I don't want to go to jail."

Go slowly on this one, please. 0

A Okay.

Number one, you said you don't want to go to jail?

Yes. He said, "That's possible. I cannot promise A you that."

I think he came back quickly on that one.

All right.

He says, "You would have to at least plead guilty to the already indictments that are now outstanding," which was one at the time.

> Q All right.

I said, "If I come back to the States, I want to be free to have my passport to travel."

> 0 All right.

He says, "I don't see a problem with that, but I cannot promise you that at this point."

I said, "I would want a chauffeur to drive me back and forth if I am to be at this U. S. Courthouse every

day in the week or if I am going on your payroll, so to speak."

He said, "That could only be arranged if I were put in protective custody and even then he doubts that, but a U.S. marshal would take care of me and take me from place to place if my life were in danger."

I asked him for a stipend, money, of so much a week to live in the fashion in which I was accustomed to living.

Q How much?

A I think I asked him for \$2000 a week. I think he laughed in my face and so did everybody else on the speaker phone.

He then referred back to Mr. Brodsky and said, "David, do you know how much we pay normally witnesses?"

"Well," he says, "it depends on how many children he has got or dependents."

He said, "But, Ray, how many children?"

I said, "I have two by my first wife and an adopted son by my second wife."

He says, "You will get around eight or \$900 a month if you were in protective custody. If you are not in protective custody, you just get the \$20 a day and \$16 for your roof and eating."

I asked him for so many ridiculous things that Mr

1/

Ryan then remarked, -- The Godfather was a current picture -he says, "Ray you just gave the Southern District an
offer they couldn't accept."

So Mr. Morvillo said, "Let's think about it and have him call him back and we will give him the answer in a couple of days."

I then placed the call in a couple of days and hethen told me what he would agree to.

Q Would you bear with me a moment. I just want to exhaust that first convers-tions and we will come back to the one a couple of days later.

A I am sorry, Mr.Gould.

Q On this first conversation, do you remember how long it took?

A To talk to him?

Q Yes, to talk to him and these other people.

A About 40-some odd minutes. Oh, there was more of that conversation. He asked me if -- how many cases I could testify in, and I -- I used my own vernacular. "In other words, how many bodies can I deliver?"

I said, I don't know, Mr. Morvillo or Mr. Brodsky, whoever was on the phone. I think Morvillo had to leave at that point.

I said, "I know a little about a few cases, " and

gab-5

D'Onofrio-cross

I mentioned some people. I don't recall those that

I knew that had committed crimes, that I could testify on
behalf of the Government.

They mentioned some that I did not know about, they mentioned to me that I did not know about.

Then I asked for something beautiful. I asked for veto power.

- O Veto power?
- A Veto power.
- O What did you mean by that?

A I wanted -- I had the rank stupidity of thinking that if the Department of Justice wanted to indict my sister, then I could proclaim a veto. I am sorry, but this is what I felt, you know, because she may have been involved in some of my manipulations through handling my moneys or something. I asked for veto power. That may have been 83 minutes of the 46 minutes of laughter.

So there are an awful lot of things. You have got in front of you the memo. I am trying to recollect.

I would like you now --

- Q Haven't you seen this memo in the last couple of days?
  - A No.
    - O Nobody showed it to you?

Ten minutes, I suspect, ladies and gentlemen.

Will counsel please come to the side bar with the court reporter.

(Jury absent.)

(In the robing room.)

MR. GOULD: Your Honor, the reason that I undoubtedly conveyed to you a sense of melodrama in the treatment of this subject is that this memorandum, which is 3501HH, is the paper to which I referred yesterday, that was delivered to us yesterday morning --

MR. SORKIN: Monday morning.

MR. GOULD: Yesterday morning, with the statement that they hadn't fouund it before, they didn't know about it or some baloney like that.

Now, this is a very interesting document. This is a memorandum prepared by Robert Morvillo back in 1972, and it is just what the witness was talking about. It is a memorandum of these two telephone conversations, one with Brodsky on September 28, and one with Morvillo on October 3, and it describes what went on, the bargaining that went on between them.

Now, the Government represented to us yesterday that they didn't know about the memorandum, they found it turned up yesterday.

The witness says, I turned a memorandum over to

D'Onofrio-cross

this -- what did he say?

gab-8

MR. ALENSTEIN: Three weeks ago.

MR. GOULD: Three weeks ago.

MR. FELDSHUH: A few weeks ago.

MR. GOULD: And he thinks that the memorandum from which I am cross-examining him is the memorandum that he says he turned over to them three weeks ago.

Now, somebody is telling one great big lie about this memorandum. Either there is a memorandum that the witness turned over to them or there isn't.

If there is such a memorandum, then there has been what I will consider charitably a conspicuous violation of Rule 3500 and certainly enough to merit an inquiry into the quality of the professional conduct involved.

If, on the other hand, the witness is indeed qualified and the witness is referring to this memorandum, 3501HH, then the representation made to us by the Government that this memorandum had been overlooked and didn't come to light until Monday is a false representation and the same observations I make about the violation of the statute and the quality of the professional conduct are applicable to it.

With that I would like Mr. Sorkin to respond.

MR. SORKIN: I will do so, your Honor.

Your Honor, this is a memorandum --

THE COURT: I have 3501HH in front of me, so you don't have to describe it.

MR. SORKIN: All right, your Honor, this memo is a memo that was prepared by Mr. Morvillo and Mr. Brodsky.

THE COURT: Obviously. Let's not dilate on the obvious. That has --

MR. SORKIN: During the course of the Pfingst case, your Honor, it was my understanding, having spoken to Joe Ryan in the Eastern District, that this memo became a public document, that it was brought up and the whole D'Onofrio deal was discussed and it seems everybody in the world knew about this particular memo.

THE COURT: What does that have to do about our case?

MR. SORKIN: I am not aware of Mr. D'Onofrio turning over a document, this memo, three week ago. I am aware, your Honor, of going through my files once again for the last time this weekend, talking to Mr. Doonan and saying, "Tom, I think this is a public record, but I think it is also 3500.

Do you think we ought to turn it over?"

He says, "Yes, let's give it to them anyway, they probably got it in the Eastern District."

We prepared it, xeroxed it Sunday. Before Mr.D'Onofrio;s cross we turned it over to them.

gab-10

## D'Onofrio-cross

J

MR. GOULD: I would like to interrupt if I may.

Is Doonan with D'Onofrio now?

MR. SORKIN: I don't know.

MR. GOULD: Would you go and find out if Mr.

Doonan is with D'Onofrio? May I have your Honor direct that for an obvious reason?

THE COURT: No, I am going to direct that Mr. D'Onofrio come in here in a moment.

Now, let's calm down here. Wait a minute, I want to talk to you, Mr. Sorkin. You seem to be missing the point that I understand Mr. Gould to be making. He doesn't question you turned this over to him yesterday morning before cross began. What he is concerned about is the existence possibly of another memorandum.

Isn't that a fair surmise from what you told us?
MR. GOULD: That is right.

ness' part, it may be a number of things. I think the best thing to do is ask. Before I ask Mr. D'Onofrio, I ask you:

To your knowledge, is there any other memorandum of these phone calls between D'Onofrio abroad somewhere and representatives of your office back in 1972? Such as David Brodsky and Robert Morvillo?

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, to my knowledge this is

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

22

23

24

25

1

gab-11

D'Onofrio-cross

the only memo that Mr. Morvillo prepared. I think I did turn --

THE COURT: No, I didn't say prepared by Morvillo.

Any kind of a document relating to those conversations or,

as Mr. Gould succinctly puts it, these conversations about

bargaining.

MR. SORKIN: There are other memos which I turned over as part of 3500, your Honor.

THE COURT: But you say everything that the Government has, to your knowledge, has been turned over?

MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SORKIN: I turned over Eastern District memos—
THE COURT: Mr. Sorkin, you have a compassion for
peripheral details and emotional output which I do not fine
satisfactory from your point of view—

MR. SORKIN: I am sorry, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- not to mention mine, which I can't politely describe in this room.

I simply want to find out, Mr. Sorkin, what we have. I repeat: orget who drafted the memos. In your judgment, to your knowledge, you have turned over everything on this subject which the Government has?

MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor.

D'Onofrio-cross

· 

THE COURT: All right.

MR. GOULD: Would your Honor reserve on that for one moment? He said he turned over several things to us.

I am getting what he did turn over. I would just like to clarify it.

THE COURT: On this subject. I am not dealing -MR. GOULD: Only this subject. That is all I am
talking about.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. GOULD: I understood him to say to me that
he has turned over to us every existing memorandum of a conversation between this D'Onofrio and members of the U.S.

Attorney's office relating to -- every memorandum of a
conversation between D'Onofrio and members of the staff of
this office. I know of this one and I have just asked Mr.

Alenstein to go out and get us whatever we have, and then I
would like to ask Mr. Sorkin for a professional representation
that those documents, this one here, 3501HH and this statement
of April 19, 1973 -- I want him to make a representation
to the Court that those are the only existing memoranda of
conversations between D'Onofrio and people in the U.S.

Attorney's office relating to bargaining. I have tried
to simplify it as much as I can.

THE COURT: That is a fair request.

. ||

\_

he -

MR. SORKIN: As far as I know, I have turned over every single memo that I am aware of. I reviewed -- I would like to tell the Court what I did.

I reviewed all the files that were given to me by Mr.Brodsky and I reviewed all the files that were turned over by Mr. Tigue, and these are the only documents that I have been able to come up with.

THE COURT: Let me press one more point, which is explicit from Mr.Gould's submission. It is possible to interpret what D'Onofrio just said to suggest, although I am not as clear on this as Mr.Gould apparently is -- I want to ask you, Mr. Sorkin, to your knowledge, did this witness turn over two or three weeks ago anything to you or one of your associates on the subject of bargaining, conversations or whatever kind of conversations between Messrs. Morvillo, Brodsky, Ryan and/or any other Assistant in the year 1972?

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, to me he did not. If

THE COURT: Would you ask Mr. D'Onofrio to come in here and tell us whether or not --

MR. GOULD: Could we first ask Mr. Doonan whether he got anything?

THE COURT: Would you ask Mr. Doonan to come in,

1

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GOULD: I would like to hear what he says.

(Mr.Doonan and Mr. D'Onofrio entered the robing room.)

may, Mr. D'Onofrio.Do you remember a few moments ago
before the jury, before our recess in response to one or more
questions by Milton Could, Esquire, you said something to
the effect that you mentioned a memorandum that you understood Mr. Gould to be using and questions about the Morvillo,
Ryan, Brodsky --

THE WITNESS: I thought he was talking about the second --

THE COURT: I am not criticizing you, I just want to refresh you.

You said or suggested, as I recall it, that you last saw a memorandum on this subject two or three weeks ago and read it or skimmed it.

THE WITNESS: Not this subject, no, I was mistaken.

MR. GOULD: Can I ask him a question?

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, please --

THE COURT: Just a moment. Just a moment.

THE WITNESS: The reply to this subject.

THE COURT: The reply to the subject. What did

you mean by that?

T

gab-15

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

### D'Onofrio-cross

THE WITNESS: A memorandum of reply.

THE COURT: Whose memorandum?

THE WITNESS: Mr. Morvillo's or Mr. Brodsky's.

THE COURT: You were shown those?

THE WITNESS: I had a copy.

THE COURT: You have a copy in your possession now?

THE WITNESS: No, I do not.

THE COURT: ARe you chewing gum?

THE WITNESS: I have a mint in my mouth. I would not have come in --

THE COURT: I don't blame you for eating mint, but it is hard to understand you. Let's go back a moment.

Are you telling us that some time two or three
week ago someone in the U. S. Attorney's office showed you two
memorandums --

THE WITNESS: No, sir. I brought in my memo from my counsel -- I had a memorandum of agreement with the Government and I brought in my memorandum of agreement, plus a memo and I gave it to the Government.

THE COURT: To whom in theGovernment did you give this?

THE WITNESS: To Mr. Sorkin, I believe. I am not sure. Maybe he made note of it at the time. Along with the papers that I gave him as some of those letters from

T

D'Onofrio-cross

Feldshuh and Frank that you just requested about this other case.

I said these are in other things I think you may not have.

THE COURT: Do I take it it is fair to assume this was some time in late August?

THE WITNESS: I think last week or so. I am not sure, ten days, eight days.

THE COURT: In any event, late August, maybe even early September?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. Sorkin, do you recall this?

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, my only recollection is in turning over documents as late as --

THE COURT: No, forget the turning over of the documents. Mr.D'Onofrio says that he turned over to you some papers.

MR. SORKIN: I did receive papers from him.

23

24

25

SOUTHERN DISTINCT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SOUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. CO 7-4580

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

.19

20

21

22

23

25

D'Onofrio-cross

THE COURT: All right. What are those papers? 2

MR. SORKIN: Those are some of the papers I turned over to Mr. Feldshuh at the lunch --

THE COURT: This is about as helpful as listening to a banchee wail.

MR. FELDSHUH: I don't know of any papers you turned over to me at the lunch break.

THE COURT: Please. The pettiness in these deliberations is enough to -- Mr. Sorkin, you can talk to Doonan later. Would you do me the courtesy of listening. You flop around here like a fish with his head half cut off. I want some attention to the problem.

What was it that Mr. D'Onofrio turned over to you? Did it include, for example, any memoranda of conversations between Mr. D'Onofrio and Morvillo and/or Ryan and/or Brodsky?

MR. GOULD: Or an agreement between him --

THE COURT: Or any kind of formal agreement or understanding between Mr. D'Onofrio on the one hand and the United States Attorney's office on the other?

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, I don't recall getting anything from Mr. D'Onofrio in the last week that I hadn't already had. If he gave it to me, I put it away with what else I had.

1 gwrf 2

## D'Onofrio-cross

THE WITNESS: I didn't give him my agreement with the United States Government. I know that. I gave him some papers I found on Feldshuh & Frank and a green piece of paper I -- we were busy.

THE COURT: There doesn't seem to have been any systematic approach to this problem at all that I can see.

MR. GOULD: This is the 3500 material, all of it on this subject.

THE COURT: Yes, I know.

MR. GOULD: Those two pieces of paper, one of them came in yesterday.

THE COURT: I show you a memorandum on the letterhead of the United States Government, apparently a memorandum
of Robert Morvillo. It is called in our case for control
purposes 3501-HH for identification.

THE WITNESS: I think this may be one that I handed him.

THE COURT: You handed to Mr. Sorkin?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

Now I show you a statement, the copy of which I have is not marked for identification, but I believe it is a 3500 exhibit in this case. It is a memorandum headed April 19, 1973, London, England, statement of Ramon N.

D'Onofrio-cross

D'Onofrio.

gwrf 3

THE WITNESS: This one I think he already said he had. I didn't turn him over that. I have seen it, yes, sir. My attorney has a copy.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SORKIN: For the record, that was turned over under Brady.

THE COURT: That clarifies things mightily. At least it was turned over.

Mr. Sorkin, apparently there was some statement or contract or agreement or understanding in writing between Mr. D'Onofrio on the one hand and your office on the other.

MR. SORKIN: That is correct.

THE COURT: Has that been shown?

MR. SORKIN: That is it, your Honor. That is the whole thing. This was prepared in the Pentonville jail between --

THE COURT: Mr. Sorkin, I am not a worker or a shirker in your office. If you don't stop blowing off at me and everybody else in this case, I'm going to get the United States Attorney and Mr. Edwards up here and tell him flatly that we are going to get somebody to control you.

Now, I'm pursuing an inquiry which is not an ideal one. I suggest to you that your first responsibility as

gwrf 4 D'Onofrio-cross

a human being and a lawyer is to control your temper which I find continually offensive and crippling, if I may say so, to you.

No one is accusing you, at least I'm not accusing you of anything. I am entitled and indeed obligated to inquire. If you don't understand that, I will get someone up here to see to it that we get somebody in on this case who does understand that.

MR. SORKIN: I do understand, your Honor. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: All right.

I know your intentions are good, but you blow off like a skyrocket at the least provocation.

Now, I repeat. The only agreement or understanding therefore, is this document which I just described and which Gould described a moment ago headed London, England, April 19, 1973.

MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Is there any further question, Mr. Gould, that you would like to pose or like to have me pose on this subject?

MR. GOULD: Yes, sir. I would like the witness to to tify that when he said he turned over an agreement to them two or three weeks ago, whether he meant this paper,

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE

FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. CO 7-4580

1 gwrf 5 D'Onofrio-cross 2 April 19, 1973. THE WITNESS: No, I did not mean that, sir. THE COURT: What do you mean, however, you turned 5 over a copy of the October --6 THE WITNESS: He said he already had a copy of that, 7 Mr. Sorkin, if I recall. I said, "You may not have a copy of this, Mr. Sorkin, but here. Here are some letters from 9 Feldshuh & Frank and some other stuff that may or may not 10 pertain to the trial." 11 I did not turn that agreement over. 12 MR. GOULD: All right. I would like him to tell 13 us what agreement he characterized earlier in response 14 to your Honor's question as a reply memorandum. 15 THE COURT: Yes. I would like to know that, too. 16 What do you mean by this phrase you used, "reply 17 memorandum"? 18 THE WITNESS: I meant -- I was going to point to 19 it. 20 MR. GOULD: Which? 21 THE WITNESS: I meant the memorandum. 22 MR. GOULD: This one? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 24 MR. GOULD: Are you telling his Honor that you 25 had a copy of this memorandum?

	433				
1	gwrf 6 D'Onofrio-cross				
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, I had a copy from my lawyer.				
3	THE COURT: Who is your lawyer?				
4	THE WITNESS: Murray Mogel. He got it from me				
5	from Mr. Brodsky last year.				
6	THE COURT: I see.				
7	MR. GOULD: Are you saying that you turned a				
8	copy of this over to Mr. Sorkin?				
9	THE WITNESS: They had it.				
10	MR. GOULD: Just answer me, will you?				
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.				
12	MR. GOULD: When?				
13	THE WITNESS: Along with a group of other papers				
14	about a week ago.				
15	MR.GOULD: Who was present when you did that?				
16	THE WITNESS: Just he and I.				
17	MR. GOULD: Your Honor will have to deal with				
18	the professional implications of that, not I.				
19	MR. SORKIN: Your Honor I'm sorry.				
20					
21	and a made and a contract and a cont				
22	Do you wish to ask any questions of Mr. Doonan				
23	on this subject?				
24	MR. GOULD: Were you present, Mr. Doonan, when				
25	this memorandum, 3501-HH was turned over by D'Onofrio?				
	MR. DOONAN: Can I see it?				

gwrf 7

D'Onofris-cross

MR. GOULD: Yes, sir.

MR. DOONAN: You are referring to this being turned over a week or three weeks ago?

MR. GOULD: Yes.

MR. DOONAN: No, I don't recall seeing this copy.

MR. GOULD: May I ask you another question.

Did you have a conversation with Mr. Sorkin about this memorandum within the last few days?

MR. DOONAN: No, I did not.

MR. GOULD: That is all.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. D'Onofrio. Why don't you go in and relax while you can, and the same to you, Mr. Doonan: Thank you.

(Mr. D'Onofrio and Mr. Doonan left the robing room.

THE COURT: Mr. Gould, I'm sorry, I wish you would enlighten me. What steps do you think this Court should take?

MR. GOULD: I don't know. I don't know. I think your Honor is in the presence of an utter misstatement, misrepresentation by Mr. Sorkin to the Court as to the handling of this memorandum. I'm very suspicious about what happened. I don't know. Mr. Sorkin told your Honor a few moments ago in explanation of the tardy delivery of HH, 3501-HH, that it turned up. He had a conversation

gwrf 8

with Doonan. Doonan said or he said to Doonan, "Let's give it to him," and one of them is telling a lie.

THE COURT: I don't think I can necessarily conclude he was telling a lie, but I must say I'm a little bit shaken about the rather cavalier method in which all of this was treated.

MR. GOULD: If Sorkin is right, he discussed the memo with Doonan. If Doonan is right, he didn't discuss the memo with Sorkin. One of them is not telling the truth.

MR. SORKIN: May I be heard, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SORKIN: Mr. Doonan has been running around in this case. He flew into my office. I think I asked him had he ever seen this. He said, "Yes." He flew out.

I said, "Do you think this is 3500?"

I think I may have even discussed it with Mr. Flannery but I think Mr. Flannery was the one who Xeroxed it for me. We said we bettet turn it over. I knew of its existence. I knew of its existence in the Eastern District because it became a public document there and I --

THE COURT: I don't follow that. I don't see how you could assume that it was a public document, first of all.

Second of all, even if you could and did, I think

gwrf 9

it was a little bit cavalier not to turn it over in any event

I must say to that extent I share Mr. Gould's and anybody else's concern. It makes one very, very queasy as to whether or not we are complying with the statute and with the commands of the Court so far as the discovery is concerned.

I really must say I'm badly upset about this. I'm not going to condemn you or Mr. Doonan for being a liar or anything like that. I don't think that is necessary or indeed even certain. I do think from your own lips that you have been very casual about a very important subject.

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, I turned over -- I must respectfully disagree. I turned over to Mr. Gould and to all counsel in this case 3500 material on Mr. D'Onofrio that runs to over 35 documents.

THE COURT: No, no one questions that.

MR. SORKIN: May I please respond.

THE COURT: You seem not to see the point yet.

The point is that you apparently were almost iwlling to

withhold something on the theory it was "a public document,"

because of the results of the Pfingst case before a jury

and I think it was Judge Weinstein in our sister court

across the river. That is what disturbs me. I don't

like that kind of assumptions.

gwrf 10

3

2

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

望し

25

MR. SORKIN: I'm sorry if that is the kind of impression it conveyed.

THE COURT: That is what you told us.

MR. SORKIN: I'm sorry. I did not -- when I reviewed my records for the last time this weekend to make sure that I turned over all 3500, I dtermined at the last moment that this probably should be turned over and I turned it over.

MR. GOULD: In conference with Doonan, who says it didn't happen.

MR. SORKIN: It wasn't in conference.

MR. GOULD: That is your words.

MR. SORKIN: No, it wasn't.

THE COURT: All right. We will close the subject.
We will return to Mr. Feldshuh's problems on 3500 material.

Now, I have read this letter by somebody named

Derfner who apparently --

MR. FELDSHUH: He is right next to you.

THE COURT: Yes, that is right. You are right here.

Mr. Derfner has written all kinds of great things which sound fine, but they look to me as though they are an effort to create an issue for later on if need be more than any justifiable search at a late date for records which

gwrf 11

the Defendant Frank claimed were missing. Be that as it may,
I may be unfairly reading and characterizing this.

However, I also have read the reply of Mr. Sorkin to Mr. Derfner's letter. Sorkin's reply is dated September 9th. I find that that is reasonably self-explanatory except for one thing:

On page 2 of his letter of August 30th Mr.

Derfner asks questions regarding James Feeney. Subdivision
or subquestion B under II reads as follows:

"Any and all information known to the Government involving James W. Feeney's obtaining fraudulent letters of credit."

Now, when I turn to page 2 of the Sorkin reply,
September 9th, I find this puzzling statement with respect
to B II:

"Please be advised that Mr. Feeney has not been indicted on any cases other than indictment 73 Cr. 471 and 73 Cr. 747."

Now, here I must say that that doesn't seem to be responsive to the inquiry.

Other than that, I would think there is no real problem. But you tell me, Mr. Feldshuh. We seem to spend more time litigating anything in this case other than the facts.

gwrf 12

MR. DERFNER: Perhaps I could better respond, your Honor.

THE COURT: Your partner raised this. I think in fairness he better follow through.

MR. FELDSHUH: Your Honor, the one issue that I must address myself so that --

THE COURT: Please do. I'm inviting you to.

MR. FELDSHUH: I must talk about that stock list.
That is the thing I want.

THE COURT: You want what?

MR. FELDSHUH: A list of people who D'Onofrio made up with respect to those outside of D'Onofrio and these alleged cohorts who were going to take down the remainder of the stock off TWP. You recall he testified about the fact.--

THE COURT: Wait just a minute.

In other words, you claim that the Government has in its possession a list prepared by D'Onofrio of certain persons who were going to take down the Training With The Pros stock?

MR. FELDSHUH: Right.

THE COURT: Do you have such a document?

MR. SORKIN: I do, your Honor. I showed it to
Mr. Derfner in discovery, and the position the Government

22 23

gwrf 13

took was that it was not 3500 material. I have it in the Court. That was our position.

THE COURT: Do we need to guibble at this date as to whether it fits under Brady or 3500 or whatever?

What is the point? You gave him discovery of it. Why not let him see it now?

MR. SORKIN: I'll be happy to turn it over to him now.

THE COURT: Is there any prejudice to the United States? I see none. What is the point of a controversy like this?

MR. SORKIN: I will turn it over to them now.

THE COURT: Mr. Feldshuh, we have relief for you on this. Does that accommodate you?

MR. FELDSHUH: One more item, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. FELDSHUH: A summary sheet of Barbin purchasers of TWP stock.

THE COURT: Is there such a document?

MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor. There is a summary. It was prepared by Mr. Bonavia. It is not a bank record. It is his own personal record. It was mixed in with the Barbin files. I gave them every Barbin record which was a bank record and which complied with your Honor's

1.7

gwrf 14

order.

THE COURT: D'Onofrio had nothing to do so far as we know in preparing this document?

MR. SORKIN: Absolutely not. Nobody in the case had anything to do with this except Bonavia.

THE COURT: Bonavia is going to be here.

MR. SORKIN: That is correct.

THE COURT: Prior to Bonavia's appearance as a witness, I would certainly agree with the defense, they ought to have this. He prepared it apparently for use with our friend Ramon D'Onofrio. I would agree with Mr. Sorkin --

MR. FELDSHUH: Except one point, your Honor. We want to save time. Your Honor indicated that we should get up 3500 material and perhaps other material --

THE COURT: I already ruled on that.

MR. FELDSHUH: Why can't we get it in advance? What harm --

THE COURT: He will give it in advance. He's going to comply I must assume with the Court's direction generally on that subject.

MR. FELDSHUH: Except of course, your Honor, when your Honor says give it in advance, we get stuff Monday morning.

gwrf 15

and trust that Mr. Sorkin regrests that episode. I have been around too long not to know that this happens with fair frequency in the best of houses. I know that I misplace documents. I suppose I shouldn't admit that.

This will be read against me in some tribunal or place somewhere later, but the fact is it is true and I have no hesitation in telling you I make mistakes.

I judge that none of you do except maybe Mr.

Sorkin, but be that as it may, that is over with. I regret

it, you regret it, Mr. Gould regrets it.

I agree with you, you and Mr. Derfner are going to get the other document right now. You heard that. Let us call back our jury.

MR. GOULD: One request, your Honor, a very unusual one. I'm going to ask the Court to direct the United States Attorney and Mr. Doonan and a gentleman of the United States Attorney's office not to confer with Mr. D'Onofrio until cross examination is completed. I think it is a reasonable request in the light of what has happened.

THE COURT: I don't consider it unusual myself.

I have encountered this.

MR. GOULD: It is unusual for me.

THE COURT: That may be.

-

gwrf 16

MR. GOULD: I don't frequently experience what I'm experiencing right now about this case.

THE COUPT: I don't know quite what you mean by that. Your request to me is presumptively reasonable. I know it has been done on other cases. Before I do it, do you see any reason that you want to object to this?

MR. SORKIN: Absolutely not, your Honor. In fact, we refused to talk to Mr. D'Onofrio at lunch other than to order him a sandwich.

THE COURT: May I suggest that this direction carries not only to you but it carries to Doonan, and, as Mr. Gould asked, any other representative of the United States Government.

MR. SORKIN: Are we permitted to tell him where to return to, your Honor?

THE COURT: Of course, but not to discuss this testimony.

MR. SORKIN: Very well.

THE COURT: On direct or cross. Right, Mr. Gould?

MR. GOULD: That is right.

THE COURT: Fair enough.

MR. GOULD: Thank you very much.

MR. FELDSHUH: Thank you, sir.

25

23

vv 18

19

20

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

23

24

25

Stoller's Exhibit A for identification and I ask you if that is the document that you delivered to the United States Attorney?

A No.

Q Thank you.

I show you this document, which has been marked

rmrf 2

1

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

20

23

22

24

25

as Exhibit 3501-HH in this case and I ask you if that is the document that you delivered to the United States
Attorney's office?

- A That, or a copy of it.
- Q Where did you get that document?
- A From my attorney.
- Q What is the name of your attorney?
- A Murray Mogel.
- Q Where is his office?
- A He is a public defender.
- O In the City of New York?
- A For this district.
- And it is your testimony here today, and I would ask you please to be extremely careful about your response, that having looked at the document 3501-HH that that document was delivered to you by your attorney within the last two or three weeks and delivered by you to the office of the United States Attorney within the last two or three weeks?
- A No, sir, no. This was delivered to my attorney last year -- he delivered it to me.
  - Q And he gave it to you last year?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q And in the last couple of weeks you gave your

22

23

24

25

cross examination.

rmrf 5

THE COURT: In any event, you objected after it was all over, I believe, so we will let it stand.

- Q All I want to know is what you and he discussed about this document. I want your best recollection.
  - A I said --
  - O I withdraw that. Bear with me, please.

We have it clear that within the last two or three weeks, you had a conversation with Mr. Sorkin about this document, 3501-HH.

- A Or a copy of it.
- Q Or a copy of it.
- A Yes, sir.
- Q And now all I am asking you to do is to tell his Honor and the jury what you discussed about that document.
- A I said, "Mr. Sorkin" -- it was a general discussion with other documents and --
  - Q Just this document.
- A I said, "Here are some documents that you may need subject to Brady versus Maryland or Maryland versus Brady that I have that maybe you do not have," and with it were 3, 4, 5 or 6 documents, and that was one of them.
  - Q What did he say, if anything?

1	rmrf 6 D'Onofrio-cross 449				
2	A He put them to the side of his desk and we went				
3	on with the rest of our work.				
4	Q And he didn't say anything about this document?				
5	A No, sir.				
6	Q And between them and now you have not discussed				
7	this document?				
8	A Not since that time, no, sir.				
9	Q Did you read the document?				
10	A Oh, I read it last year very clearly.				
11	Q And when you handed it to him				
12	MR. SORKIN: I must object. This document is				
13	not in evidence, no one knows what Mr. Gould is talking				
14	about.				
15	MR. GOULD: I offer it in evidence.				
16	MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, I must object to				
17	it going into evidence. Mr. D'Onofrio testificd about				
18	the substance of the memo before and I think it is irrelevant				
19	THE COURT: It will be marked Stoller Exhibit B.				
20	(Defendant Stoller's Exhibit B marked for				
21	identification.)				
22	(Pause.)				
23	THE COURT: No, I disagree. I think it is				
24	perhaps relevant on the issue of credibility, which I				
25	assume it is being offered for, and I will let the jury				

xx

()

Did you call anybody at the United States

MR. GOULD: I think I understand the confusion and maybe I can straighten it out. I withdraw my request, with the right to renew it if I am in error about what I do have.

THE COURT: All right.

21

23

24

1	rmrf 9 D'Onofrio-cross 452				
2	Q I show you this document, sir, which is marked				
3	3501-S. Is that the letter you wrote to Mr. Brodsky?				
4	A You said after that date?				
5	Q Yes.				
6	A This was before that date.				
7	O So that is not the letter?				
8	A Obviously not.				
9	Q Good.				
10	MR. SORKIN: Did you S or X?				
11	MR. GOULD: I said S.				
12	MR. SORKIN: If Mr. Gould would look at 3501-V,				
13	I think he would find such a letter, dated September				
1 4	18, 1972.				
15	(Pause.)				
16	MR. GOULD: I have a paper which is marked V,				
17	but it says "Dear Joe" and Joe is not Brodsky.				
18	MR. SORKIN: His messenger picked up V five				
19	days ago. There is V, Mr. Gould.				
20	(Handing.)				
21	You should have it. It was turned over.				
22	MR. GOULD: Now we are all straightened out.				
23	Q All right, Mr. D'Onofrio, I am all straightened				
24	out, and I am sorry I got you confusec.				

I take it now that before you had this conversation

Monday, the 16th, there will be religious holidays, and

I understand that some people like to observe them that evening and Tuesday, some others would like to observe both Tuesday and Wednesday. I am told that some of the parties and the lawyers in this case would fall into the latter category.

Let me ask, is there any one of you on the jury that would like to observe the religious holidays next week?

(Show of hands.)

THE COURT: Two of you. Is it your preference to observe both Tuesday and Wednesday?

JUROR NO. 6: Both days.

THE COURT: I think we should plan for the benefit of all of you on the jury and among the lawyers and the parties that we will not sit on Tuesday and Wednesday in view of the observance of the religious holidays by a number of you in both the jury and among counsel and the parties. So all plan accordingly.

MR. GOULD: Does that affect your Honor's treatment of Friday?

THE COURT: I am more or less locked in on Fridays, at least I am next week, as far as I know. If there is any change, I will advise you.

MR. GOULD : I would implore your Honor not to sit the following Friday because I have really made a

very substantial commitment.

THE COURT: I believe I am committed all day for other cases on Friday of next week, but I will check into it. If there is any change, I will let you and everyone else know. I will say good evening, ladies and gentlemen, we will resume tomorrow again at 10:00 o'clock.

(Adjourned to Wednesday, September 11, 1974, at 10:00 a.m.

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE HARE NEW YORK NY CO 7-4580

1			45 6 653
2		WITNESS INDEX	
3	Name	Direct Cross Redi	rect Recross
4	Ramon N. D'Onofrio (Resumed)	460	
5	(Resumed)	460	
6		EXHIBIT INDEX	
7	Defendant Stoller	Identification	In Evidence
8	c ·	460	481
9	D		50 <b>7</b>
10	F		510
. 11	E		511
12	A	•	547
13	G	610	625
14	н		637
15		<b></b>	
16			•
17			
18	•		
19			
20			
21			
22			
<b>23</b>			
24			
25			

22

23

25

457

United States of America

rmrf

Philip Stoller and Martin Frank

New York, New York September 11, 1974-10:00 a.1

(Trial resumed.)

(In the robing room.)

THE COURT: Now, somebody has, as usual, a morning problem. I think it is you, Mr. Sorkin?

MR. SORKIN: It is not really a problem, your Honor. There are two things. Number one, I want to sincerely apologize to the Court on the record for raising my voice yesterday. I know the record won't reflect that but I want to say now I am sorry and I will see that it doesn't happen again.

THE COURT: I accept the apology and the reason

I clamped down was that I think not that you intended this,
but it gets awfully troublesom particularly when it
happens in front of the jury.

MR. SORKIN: The second thing, your Honor, is the question of 3501-HH. My recollection, and it still is my recollection, the Pentonville agreement which is the second memo Mr. Gould had was turned over some time ago I think in the Government's second bill of particulars.

With respect to 3501-HH I recall talking to

Mr. Doonan but Mr. Flannery tells me it was he I talked to about it. Mr. Flannery remembers a conversation, I remember saying words about the memo, Mr. Flannery remembers it was he I spoke to, and my recollection is, and I still thought it was Doonan and I still think it was Doonan, that although I had knowledge of that memo some time ago I was of the view that it was in the Eastern District, it was a matter of public record, I thought all defense counsel had it.

It was brought to my attention again by Mr.

D'Onofrio and I looked at the memo again, either late

last week, but Mr. Flannery tells me it was this past

weekend, and I used some rather -- I used an expletive

deleted and said, in substance, "Give it to him."

At that point I gave it to Mr. Flannery and he Xeroxed it, marked it as a 3500 exhibit and we turned it over Monday morning.

I wanted to bring that to the Court's attention because I was sincerely troubled by the implication yesterday of what happened. It was turned over to defense counsel as 3500 material a day and a half before Mr.

D'Onofrio started his cross and I thought there was no prejudice to anyone.

I am sorry about that.

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

i8

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Anybody want to say anything on this point further?

MR. GOULD: I am not being asked for my opinion?

THE COURT: Anybody can say anything they want.

MR. GOULD: I see no occasion to volunteer any observation.

MR. FELDSHUH: Your Honor, I think that the giving of 3500 material and the whole discovery procedure here has been hampered and hampered to an adverse effect upon the defendants. I now take this occasion to ask Mr. Sorkin to please go through all of his material again and make sure we have gotten all the 3500 material to which we are entitled and so we are not caught by surprise again.

THE COURT: If I may say so, Mr. Feldshuh, that may be your view and I will accept it sincerely, but to me it is unimpressive because it is nothing but an after the fact carbon copy of something we went thought several times recently and I don't think we have to say If this man doesn't know his responsibility now, that is his problem.

Even though we live in a world where it is put otherwise later, he better know it now and I have to accept that he does.

Off the record.

XX

25

and Mr. Doonan?

that correct?

in these many manipulative activities to which you have testified?

That is correct. Α

rmrf 7

And in what other activities were you engaged in 1969?

Business activities with D'Onofrio, Kirschenbaum Α & Company, as I told you before, business activities with my overseas branch, the formation of some mutual funds, it is Amitalia Funds which you talked to me about, the Australian Pacific Fund, a merger and acquisition fund, worldwide activity and also as you just questioned me about, some activities with the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

- So we have it, then, that in 1969 in addition to your business activities which were pretty active -you were a very busy fellow in 1969?
  - What was the question? A
  - You were a very busy man in 1969?
  - Yes, I was a very busy man.

23

24

furnish your services?

Q

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

22

25

464

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COUPTHOUSE

Why did you do that, sir?

I believe that I offered my services to them.

A Because I had come across an area involved in stolen securities and treasury bonds which was completely out of my format of criminal, and I didn't know whether I was being duped at the time or not duped and I visited with the FBI, and at the visit with the FBI they asked me if I could further help them in recovery of some 13 million dollars in stolen treasury bonds.

O Is it not the fact, sir, that you were engaged or you were approached to do something with respect to Government bonds and that you went to the FBI and told them about it?

A No, sir.

O How did you find out about these Government bonds?

A I found out through someone trying to sell them to me for disposition of them in Switzerland. When you travel back and forth from Switzerland, you are considered some sort of an international courier of some sort. People think you are capable of disposing of stolen securities, stolen bonds and things of that nature.

Q Other than this activity of informing the FBI about these approaches which had been made to you about the Government bonds in 1969, did you do any other work for the United States Government, any branch of it?

A Well, they asked me to intercede at one point

2

3

7

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Airport, put out feelers for them, is that correct?

letter?

1		
1	gwrf 6	D'Onofrio-cross 469
2	Α	Yes, sir.
3	Q	Did you go to Washington and talk to anybody in
4	the CIA?	
5	A	No, sir. But I found out through Government
6	officials	in the country that it was that that was not a
7	CIA activ	ity.
8	Q	It was not?
9	A	No, sir.
10	Q	Did you meet a young lady named Gail
11	A	Yes, sir.
12	Q	who had been with the British Intelligence
13	people?	
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Ω	And did you go with Gail to a certain airport?
16	. A	Are you talking about Lusaka, Zambia?
17	Q	Yes.
18		Did you go there with her?
19	A	No, sir.
20	Ű	Didn't you write Mr. Brodsky and tell him that
21	you did?	
22	A	Yes, sir.
23	٥	That was a lie, wasn't it?
24	А	Yes, sir.
25	Q	That was a fantasy that never happened?
	A	No, it was a lie.

To

That's right. You put in this letter a lie in

You

2

Q You made it up?

3

A If it is a lie, it is made up, that's true.

which you said that you went to an airport with this girl

6

5

Gail, who had formerly been with British Intelligence and who you thought was with the CIA, isn't that what you told

7

him?

9

A She was with British Intelligence, CIA, but I

10

did not go to the airport.

11

Q You didn't go but you said you went?

12

A That's correct, sir.

13

Q Then you said upon --

14

MR. GOULD: Are you going to object that this

, 15

is not in evidence, because I will put it in evidence.

16

have a perfectly value objection.

MR. SORKIN:

17

see at this point there is no reason. I think Mr. Gould

I realize that, your Honor.

Did you write a letter to Mr. Brodsky in which you

18

is doing fine and I won't.

~

MR. GOULD: Thank you very much. I just

21

don't want to trangress any of these delicate rules.

22

said upon landing at the airport the four of us are told,

24

were told, that the chief of the secret police had met with

25

a terrible accident and was being buried the following day?

1	gab0-2	D'Onofrio-cross
2	A	That was true, sir.
3	Q	Did you go to the airport?
4	, A	Yes, sir.
5	Q	Where?
6	A	In Lusaka, Zambia.
7	Q	You did go to the airport?
8	A	Yes, sir.
9	Q	Didn't you just tell us that you didn't go to the
10	airport?	
11	A.	I didn't know what you were referring to.
12	Q	Now you know?
13	A	Now I remember, sir.
14	Q	Now you remember going to Zambia?
15	А	Oh, yes, sir.
16	Q	But a few minutes ago you told us you didn't go
17	to Zambia?	
18	A	I did not say that.
19		MR. SORKIN: He did not say that. He did mention
20	Zambia.	
21		MR. GOULD: All right, it's the jury's recollection
22	A	I said Lusaka, which is in Zambia, the capital.
23	Q	You did go then? You did go to Zambia?

And when you got to the airport -- when was this,

Yes, sir.

A

24

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

by the way? I want --

Α 169.

The month, the month.

A I don't recall, sir.

Q It was a te-rible experience you had there, wasn't it?

- Α No, it wasn't a terrible experience for me.
- Wasn't it anything that stuck in your mind?
- Well, if I were given about four or five days to think about it, I think I could write you up a story on it.
- Iam sure you could write us up story about it, sir. What I want to know is, nothing unpleasant happened to you in Zambia that you remember?
  - I don't recall, sir. A
  - You remember nothing about what happened there? Q
  - I don't recall, sir. A
  - Let me see if I can refresh your recollection. 0
  - Please do.
- Didn't you say that a couple of days after you arrived -t the airport --

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, now I will object. I think if Mr. Gould is going to refresh Mr. D'Onofrio's recollection, the letter would probably be the best source of it.

MR. GOULD: This is a perfectly proper way.

THE COURT: I do not suggest that anybody has to offer this.

MR. GOULD: I am willing to offer it if he wants it.

THE COURT: I didn't say that you had to. I say you can go on and ask question about it.

MR. SORKIN: Fine.

THE COURT: Go ahead. This is cross-examination.

Q Mr. Mitness, didn't you write to Mr. Brodsky, and this was in 1972, and didn't you say that a couple of days after you got to this place in Zambia, whatever it is called, you and Gail went to the local dance club? You remember that?

- A Yes, sir.
- You remember what happened at the dance club?
- A Yes.
- O What happened at the dance club?
- A I got beat up, I believe. There was some -- I don't remember the physical entanglements.
  - Q You don't remember what happened?
  - A Not at this m-ment.
- Q You tell us exactly what happened when you went to Zambia with this former British Intelligence agent and you

21

22

23

24

		474
1	gab-5	D'Onofrio-cross
2	went to a	night club or dance club and you got beat up.
3	A	I was engaged in some sort of physical activity.
4	I don't re	member, sir.
5	Q	Don't you remember what you told Brodsky?
6	A	No, I do not, sir.
7	Q <sup>'</sup>	Did you tell him the truth or did you tell him
8	lies?	
9	A	Some of it was lies, most of it was the truth.
10	Q	Tell us what you remember was the truth and what
11	were lies?	
12	A	I don't recall because I do not have the document,
13	sir.	
14	Q	You mean you have to look at your letter to remember
15	what happe	ned?
16	. А	Absolutely, sir.
17	Q .	What was the name of the night club?
18	А	I don't recall, sir.
19	Q	How many people did you have this physical venture
20	with?	
21	A	I don't recall, sir.
22	Q	Were you seriously hurt or not?
23	A	No, sir.
24	Q	Did you have any bruises at all?
25	А	I may have. I don't recall.

1	gab-6	D'Onofri0-cross 475
2	Q	Were you beaten badly?
3	A	I don't recall, sir.
4	Q	You have no recollection of whether you were
5	beaten bad	lly or not?
6	A	No, sir.
7	Q	Do you want to search your mind and try to remember?
8	Have you e	ever been beaten badly before this?
9	A	I don't think so, sir.
10	Q	In your adult life, sir, have you ever been
11	beaten bad	lly?
12	A	Well
13	9	MR. SORKIN: I object.
14	A	It is very vague
15		MR. SORKIN: Excuse me. I object to the term
16	"badly."	I don't know what badly means.
17		THE COURT: Overruled.
18		MR. GOULD: I can satisfy Mr. Sorkin on my selec-
19	tion of pr	cos very easily. If he wants to persist in his
20	objection	, I know how to deal with it.
21		THE COURT: I just overruled the objection.
22		MR. GOULD: Thank you.
23	Q	Now I want you to tell his Honor and this

jury whether ever in your adult life you have been beaten

24

25

badly?

gab-7

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## D'Onofrio-cross

A I believe I was beaten badly once then, but I
don't know what you call badly. Is it broken ribs, broken
bones? I have been beaten when I was a Marine, in the
Marine Corps, I was beaten when I was in the war in Israel.
I have been

- You were in what war in Israel?
- A The evacuation in 1948.
- Q What did you do then?
- A 1948 I was in the U. S. Marines --

MR. SORKON: Keep your voice up, Mr. D'Onofrio.

I am sorry.

THE WITNESS: I am sorry.

A In 1948 I was one of the United States Marines sent into Israel to evacuate American people, American Consul American oil men, field ministers and whatnot.

- Q You were beaten badly then?
- A I had some times, yes, sir.
- Q You were beaten badly when you were in Israel in 1948?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q You remember it?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q What injuries did you receive?
  - A I lost my left ear and I received a disability

3

1

rmb-1

4

5 6

7

9

8

10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

compensation for the past 27 years from the United States.

Q Other than that experience, and you remember what happened when you were beaten badly in Israel?

A I can remember. I am getting checks every month for 28 years.

Q Now I want you to tell us what happened to you when you were beaten badly inZambia in 1969.

- A I don't recall.
- Q Were you hit in the face?
- A Yes.
- Q Were you hit in the lower part of your body?
- A I am sorry, if you are beaten at all, it is hard to recall what is badly and where you are hit.
  - Q Were you hit with fists or clubs?
  - A I believe it was fists.
- Q How about pistols, didn't somebody use a pistol on you?
  - A I don't recall.
- Q You have no recollection of a man hitting you with a pistol?
  - A I don't recall, sir.
  - Q What happened after you were beaten in this place?
  - A I don't recall, sir.
  - Q What did the girl do? Was she with you when you

were beaten?

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

A Yes, she was, but I don't recall.

Q What did she do?

A I don't recall, sir.

Q Did the Government take any action, was anybody arrested?

A I believe the girl was sent back to the United

States and the Washington Post carried a story on it, but

I don't have a recollection at this moment of the aftermath.

- Q Do you remember what happened to the girl?
- A She got beaten very badly.
- Q After that do you remember what happened?
- A At that moment, no, I don't.
- Q But at any time after that did you ever hear about this girl -- her name was Gail?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q What happened to her --

A She was interrogated very badly by members of the secret police and forced out of the country, her visa was taken away, I believe, and the U. S. Consulate intervened and brought her back to the United States and the story I think dissolved there. I don't think there was much further conversation.

In this group you went to Zambia with there was

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

a man named Marshall?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Who was Mr. Marshall?

A A gentleman that last year was picked up as -he was arrested by the FBI as a foreign spy, as a double spy,
I believe, for carrying electronic surveillance gear, arrested
as an agent of the Zambian Government in Washington.

- Q You went to Zambia with him?
- A Yes.
- Q What happened to him in Zambia?
- A I don't recall. I think he went over on the other side.
  - O The other side of what?
- A He went to the Zambian Government instead of staying with the U. S. Government.
  - Q How long did this adventure take in Zambia?
  - A a ouple of weeks, sir.
  - Q Did you get paid for it?
  - A No, sir.
  - Q Nobody paid you?
  - A No, sir.
  - Q Why did you do it?
- A For personal gains. I wanted to invest and I became good friends with the president of the country and

1	rmb -14	D'Onofrio-cross	480
2	I wanted to	o take advantage of investment opportunities	in
3	copper, ex	ploration and its natural resources.	
4	Q	Who put you onto that?	
5	A	A fellow named Michael Doud.	
6	Q	Who is Michael Doud?	
7	A <sup>co</sup>	Mrs. Eisenhower's nephew.	
8	Q	He put you onto it?	
9	A	Yes, sir.	
10	Q	You didn't answer an ad in the newspaper or	any-
11	thing?		
12	A	No, sir.	
13	Q	You didn't discuss it with the FBI?	
14	A	No, sir.	
15	Q	You didn't tell them you were going?	
16	A	No, sir.	
17	Q	Did anybody ever say to you, "Ray, one of or	ur
18	sister age	ncies in Washington needs a man and you fil:	l the
	requiremen		
19	A	No, sir. That was a lie.	
20	Q	That is a lie?	
21	A	Yes, sir.	
22		Did you tell Mr. Brodsky that the FBI told	vou
23	Q	Did you tell Mr. Brodsky that the FBI told	100

that one of its sister agencies in Washington needed a

man and that you filled the requirements?

23

1	rmb-5 D'Onofrio-cross 481
2	A YOu say didn't I tell Mr. Brodsky? I don't
3	believe I ever mailed that letter to Mr.Brodsky.
4	Q But you wrote the letter?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q You wrote it in the letter?
7	A You keep saying I told him. That letter never
8	went to Mr.Brodsky.
9	Q Oh, excuse me. The letter was addressed to David
10	Brodsky, wasn't it?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q There is no question about it?
13	A I said it was never mailed.
14	MR. SORKIN: Y our Honor, we have no objection
15	to Mr. Gould reading it and puttig it into evidence.
16	MR. GOULD: I offer it as Defendant Stoller
17	Exhibit C in evidence.
18	(Defendant Stoller Exhibit C was received
19	in evidence.)
20	MR. SORKIN: May I look at it, Mr.Gould?
21	MR. GOULD: Yes. Don't you have it?
22	MR. SORKIN: Mr. D'Onofrio says it wasn't mailed
23	so I guess we wouldn't have it.
24	MR. GOULD: Well, let me have
24	

xxx

25

MR. SORKIN: Well, let me read it first, please.

rmb- 6 D'Onofrio-cross (Pause.) MR. SORKIN: I am finished. Thank you, Mr.Gould. MR. GOULD: May I read this to the jury, your Honor? THE COURT: Yes, sir. MR. GOULD: May I suggest while I read it to the jury you listen to it because I am going to ask you some questions about it. THE WITNESS: Surely. 

3b am rmrf 1 D'Onofrio-cross MR. GOULD: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, this is a letter the witness says he wrote on September 18, 3 1972. It is addressed to Mr. David Brodsky, and we have 5 already identified him, he is the fellow that preceded Mr. 6 Sorkin in this matter. (Mr. Gould reads to the jury from Defendant Stoller's Exhibit C in evidence.) 9 What does Gavin Scotti sav? 10 He didn't say anything. He just has his name on 11 the bottom of the letter as getting a copy of this, isn't 12 that right? 13 Α Yes. 14 0 You put it down there? 15 A No, my secretary. 16 You dictated this letter? 0 17 A That is correct. 18 And you told your secretary to whom you wanted it 19 sent? 20 I told her to send it to the list of people 21 that were on the previous letters of the other letters I 22 had sent when I ordered her to send it. 23 0 And this was the letter? 24 It was never sent. 25 0 We have it clearly that some of it is true and

1	rmrf 2 D'Onofrio-cross
2	some of the letters are lies?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Some of them are deliberate falsehoods?
5	A That is correct.
6	Q Would you be good enough to tell us why you
7	wrote this letter to Mr. Brodsky?
8	A To impress him at the time that I had other
9	activities which I thought were CIA activities at the time
10	and in order to bargain better with him and the lying porti
11	only is that portion that the FBI introduced me.
12	Q The rest is true?
13	A The rest is almost entirely truthful.
14	O You went to Washington, didn't you?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	· O And you did discover, did you not, that the
17	sister agency was the CIA?
18	A No, sir, I met with a
19	Q That is a lie?
20	MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, I ask he be allowed to
21	finish the answer.
22	MR. GOULD: I want yes or no answers, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: I will allow it.
24	Q That part is a lie?
25	A Would you please repeat the question?

1	rmrf 3 D'Onofrio-cross
2	O When you say you went down to Washington and
3	discovered that the sister agency that you had said the
4	FBI had referred you to was the CIA
5	A That is the lie.
6	Q When you said that you took an examination and
7	passed it with flying colors, that was a lie?
8	A I don't know what you mean by an examination.
9	I mean an interview. That is the truth.
10	O Did you take an examination or didn't you?
11	A I was looked upon quite closely. I call it an
12	examination.
13	Q When you said, "I passed final inspection and
14	qualifications with flying colors and I am introduced
15	to the team in the project," you didn't pass any imspection
16	in the CIA, did you?
17	A I thought I was passing inspection
18	O You were never in the CIA in your life?
19	A No, sir. I told you later that I did not know
20	that was not a CIA project.
21	O What did you think it was?
22	A I thought it was.
23	Q What made you think that?
24	A I was told.
25	O By who?

()

1	rmrf 4 D'Onofrio-cross
2	A Mr. Doud and Mr. Marshall.
3	O Where did they tell you?
4	A Mr. Marshall told me in Zambia. Mr. Doud made
5	it look like it was a project in which I could prosper.
6	O When you say you went to Washington and you
7	were interviewed by somebody, you tell me now where you
8	were interviewed and who interviewed you.
9	A I sat with Mr. Michael Doud and another British
10	man and I don't recall his name.
11	Q Where?
12	A At Mr. Michael Doud's office in Washington.
13	O That is all you remember?
14	A I remember the street
15	Q Did he tell you, Mr. Michael Doud, he was
16	representing the CIA?
17	A No, sir. He
18	O Did anybody tell you
19	MR. SORKIN: I ask he be allowed to finish,
20	your Honor.
21	Q Did anybody tell you they were working for the
22	CIA in this project until you got to Zambia?
23	A Marshall did.
24	Q Where and when?
25	A In Zambia.

1	rmrf 5 D'Onofrio-cross
2	O When you left the United States did you know you
3	were working for the CIA?
4	A No, sir.
5	Q You told us before why you went to Zambia.
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q And you say further that you went to Zambia to set
8	something up, correct?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	O An electronic factory?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	O Is that the truth?
13	A It was one of my projects, yes, sir.
14	O Did you tell anybody that that was one of your
15	projects?
16	A Yes. I spoke to the Government about it, I
17	was setting up
18	O You spoke to whom?
19	A The Zambian Government.
20	Q You went there and told the Zambian Government
21	you were going to set up a small electronics factory?
22	A Yes, and an egg plant to produce fertilizer
23	for eggs and a cold storage plant and a water supply
24	operation and a cattle operation.
05	

You told them you were going to do all these

25

Q

rmrf 6 D'Onofrio-cross things? Α Yes, sir. You didn't do any of them, did you? I started on a lot of it but I felt the country A was too dangerous for me to exist in. Which did you start on, the electronics, the eggs --I started on the cattle, the eggs and the cold storage plant. 

Tk 4A

I turned the tape transcript over to the Federal Bureau of Investigation and met with other agencies of the Federal Bureau of Investigation that involved foreign intelligence, told them the story in its entirety and gave them the transcripts.

- Q That is all you did?
- A Please let me finish.
- Q I am sorry. You do finish, by all means. By the way, have you ever told this to anybody before, this part of your story?
  - A No, sir.
- Q This is the first time as far as we know that you have ever told this part of it, isn't that right?
  - A That's correct.
  - Q It is not in the letter, is it?
  - A Well, no, the FBI has already heard the story.
  - Q They have heard the story?
- A They have gotten the transcripts of the beatings that Gail took, what my involvement was. I also was interviewed last summer relative to Marshall.
- Q Yes. You told this whole story to the FBI, all about Zambia, you told it all to them?
- A Yes, except for those little lies that I just told you about now.

1	W.		
1	gab-5	D'Onofrio-cross 493	
2	Q	You wouldn't tell them lies, would you?	
3	A	No. I doubt it.	
4	Q	You went to the FBI and somebody sat and made	
5	notes of w	hat you told them?	
6	A	I recall them making some notes.	
7	Q	Didn't they take a tape of what you told them?	
8	A	I don't know if they took a tape.	
9	Q	You know they made notes?	
10	A	And I know I also gave them a transcript of Gail's.	
11	Q	You are clear about that? You are clear about	
12	that?		
13	A	That I gave them a transcript?	
14	Q	And you told the story to somebody and he made	
15	the notes?		
16	A	I am not sure they made notes.	
17	Q	You just told me they made notes?	
18	A	I am a they generally make notes.	
19	Q	But you don't remember whether they made notes or	
20	not?		
21	A	No, sir.	
22	Q	But you do remember the name of the agent that you	
.23	told the	story to, don't you?	
24	A.	I think it was Williams. I am not sure, something	
25	like that		

li

Q Where was it?

A On East 63rd Street.

Q You went to the office on East 63rd Street and you sat down in a room with a man who you think was Mr. Williams, a special agent of the FBI, and you told him the story, right?

A No, I had another interview --

Q Listen to my question, if I am wrong, you correct
me. I have already told you one lie that I am very sorry
for.

A Yes, sir.

Q I don't want to tell you any more lies, Mr. D'Onofrio.

You say you went to 63rd Street in the office of the FBI and you sat in a room and you told this story, this operation, impossible story that you described --

A No, sir, I didn't tell that story in its entirety.

I told them my relationship with Marshall and what I knew
about Marshall after he had been captured by the United

States Government.

Q You didn't tell them anything about what you did in Zambia?

- A No, sir, they didn't ask me about it.
- They didn't ask you about anything, you went there

in your mind?

-
٠,
_

A No, sir.

3

Q Is this the only time you ever did it?

4

A I needed leverage and bargaining power.

5

Q In other words, whenever you need leverage and bargaining power, you are prepared to tell lies?

7

6

A In the past.

8

Q Well, in September 1972 --

9

Q Well, in September 1972 --

10

not to Mr.Gould's question, but the actions of Mr. Stoller

11

and Mr.Frank each time Mr.D'Onofrio answers. I think it is

MR. SORKIN: Excuse me, your Honor. I must object

12

uncalled for and it is improper.

13

MR. GOULD: What are their actions?

14

MR. SORKIN: Both our backs were to them.

15

MR. GOULD: Then how do you know?

16

MR. SORKIN: Because I turned around and heard

MR. GOULD: In the department of smirking, Mr.

17

them, sir. Mr.Frank and Mr.Stoller were smirking or making

18

sounds.

19

Sorkin, you have no peers, and I really resent that.

20 21 , you have no peers, and I rearry resemb enact

22

MR. SORKIN: Excuse me, Mr. Gould. I am making an objection. I wish you would give me the courtesy of

23

I ask that it not be continued, your Honor.

24

25

THE COURT: Since I was busy reading something

finishing the objection.

1

here, I did not observe it.

3

Let me suggest that if anything happens of this nature which in my judgment is wrong, you will hear from me without any further aid from any of you.

5

Proceed, Mr.Gould.

MR. SORKIN: Thank you, your Honor.

8

MR. GOULD: Thank you, your Honor.

9

Do you remember what I was asking you about when

10

we were interrupted?

pressure --

11

A Something about do I lie whenever there is

12

I no longer need any leverage.

13

Q When you want leverage.

14

A At that time I needed leverage and I answered you

15

Q YOu got all the leverage you want now?

16 17

A I don't have any leverage. I made a clean slate.

18

Q I see. But there was a period in your life then

19

when if you needed leverage you were prepared to tell lies?

20

A Many periods in my life when I needed leverage
I told lies.

21

22

Q This is not the only one?

23

A No, sir. I lied many, many other times before Government agencies, Government officials.

24

O And under oath?

from you.

A I don't think you did, sir.

Q I am sorry. You say this is the only such letter you wrote to --

A No, sir.

Q I asked you before -- we got to work better.

I thought I asked you if this was one of a series of letters that you wrote?

A I said that one is not. There are other letters

I write.

Q That's right. And actually this was a regular mailing list for your other letters, wasn't it? This one that appears on Stoller --

A I don't know. I taped it. I never signed it.
don't know that it was ever meant to be sent.

Q Didn't you just tell us a little while ago that you told your secretary to send carbon copies to the ones who got the previous letters?

A Yes, sir, if I ever decided to send it, and I never made the decision to send it.

End 4A<sub>21</sub>

ii			
1	gwrf 4b am 1 D'Onofrio-cross		
2	O These letters we are talking about, you remember		
3	sending them? They are 1972, right?		
4	A Yes, sir.		
5	Q At the period when you were bargaining with the		
6	United States Government for a deal?		
7	A That is correct, sir.		
8	Q You were trying to get the best deal that you		
9	could get, correct?		
10	A Yes, sir.		
11	O And in order to get the best deal that you could		
12	get, you wrote letters to people, right?		
13	A Correct, sir.		
14	MR. GOULD: Would you be good enough to mark this		
15	one first, this one next.		
16	O Where were you on September 4, 1972?		
17	A I think I was in Switzerland. I'm not sure.		
18	Q Do you have a passport that was in use at the		
19	time you		
20	A I had a passport made up in my brother's name and		
21	used my brother's passport.		
22	Q Really?		
23	A Yes, sir.		
24	. O You mean you traveled from the United States		
25	to Switzerland on the passport of somebody else?		

C

	502
1	gwrf 3 D'Onofrio-cross
2	λ Absolutely.
3	<pre>O But you didn't hesitate?</pre>
4	A I was becoming a fugitive. It was just one crime
5	on top of another.
6	O It didn't matter?
7	A No, sir.
8	Q I see.
9	So when you were in Switzerland and you wrote
10	these letters that you now remember or that you remember,
11	excuse me, you were there under a false passport?
12	A That is correct, sir.
13	O And you went to Farland under that same passport,
14	didn't you?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	Q From Switzerland?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q We will come to that. Let's get to the letters.
19	This letter, Stoller Exhibit D, dated September
20	4, 1972, you wrote that one, didn't you?
21	MR. SORKIN: May I have the corresponding number
22	on the other side, Mr. Gould, for reference?
23	MR. GOULD: I will let you look at it. Get
24	any number you want.
25	MR. SORKIN: Thank you.

	303
1	gwrf 4 D'Onofrio-cross
2	May I look at the others to get the other numbers?
3	MR. GOULD: Yes, sir.
4	MR. SORKIN: Thank you.
5	O Let's make it as short as we can, Mr. Witness.
6	You recognize Stoller Exhibits D, E and F as
7	letters that you sent, one to Mr. Scuderi at the FBI,
8	that is Exhibit D, one to Joseph Ryan at the United States
9	Attorney's office just tell me whether you recognize
10	them and the third one, Exhibit F, you wrote to Brodsky.
11	A I just want to see if I signed them. You don't
12	mind, do you?
13	O I don't know whether you signed them or not.
14	Did you write it
15	A I wanted to also see if I signed it. The other
16	one I did not sign. Yes, I wrote all these letters, sir.
17	O All three of these letters I have shown you, sir,
18	were written on September 4, 1972?
19	A Is that the date on it, sir?
20	Q That's right.
21	MR. SORKIN: '72, I believe.
22	MR. GOULD: I said '72.
23	MR. SORKIN: I'm sorry, that's right.
24	O You are sitting in Switzerland, Zurich, and you
25	are trying to bargain with the United States Government

i	
1	gwrf 5 D'Onofrio-cross
2	for a deal, isn't that right?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q I'm referring now to Stoller Exhibit D. Let's
5	take that one first.
6	You said in this letter, Stoller Exhibit D, which
7	is addressed to Mr. Scuderi of the FBI and mailed to him
8	wasn't it, this was mailed?
9	Λ Yes, sir, it was mailed.
10	Q This was mailed to everybody whose name appears
11	at the bottom?
12	A What names appear on the bottom, sir?
13	O Ryan, North that means Whitney Seymour North
14	A My German girl reversed the names.
15	Q I understand. Mr. Moss, Mr. Brodsky and Mr. Binne
16	at the FBI.
17	A That is correct, sir.
18	O When you wrote this letter, you said:
19	"I think perhaps you could have provided a
20	confidence bridge"
21	MR. SORKIN: We have no objection to all of these
22	documents being admitted into evidence, your Honor.
23	We think we can expedite the cross if Mr. Gould wishes
24	to introduce them into evidence.
25	MR. GOULD: Thank you very much. At the
	II .

gwrf 6 D'Onofrio-cross appropriate moment I may avail myself of that generous proffer. MR. SORKIN: I ask Mr. Gould not to read from 5 the letter if it is not in evidence. MR. GOULD: I think I have a right to do that. 7 THE COURT: I already told you gentlemen, and I don't want to have any more discourses like this on 9 cross examination, counsel is entitled to ask questions 10 having to do with the contents of the letters even though 11 they are not in evidence. 12 Let us proceed without any more of these interrup-13 tions. 14 I think you said, and this is your language here, 15 "I think perhaps you could have provided a confidence 16 bridge even though Brodsky and Tigue " -- Brodsky is one 17 United States Attorney, Tigue another one, isn't he? 18 I don't think I ever met Tique, only by telephone. 19 You --0 20 I did meet him in the office, yes. 21 Q Fine -- "even though Brodsky and Tigue were using strong arm tactics on me"? 23 Yes, sir. 24 That is what you said?

Yes, sir.

l	506
1	gwrf 7 D'Onofrio-cross
2	O What strong arm tactics did they use?
3	A Well, from a criminal's point of view or from a
4	lawyer's point of view?
5	O From your point of view.
6	A I'm a criminal. If a United States Attorney
7	tells me he is going to indict me and indict me and put me
8	in jail and he will send me to jail for 20 or 30 years if I
9	don't cooperate, I consider that strong arm tactics.
10	Q And that is what they told you, if you don't
11	cooperate, we are going to indict you and indict you and
12	indict you and put you in jail for 20 years?
13	A For all the crimes I have committed.
14	Q That's right. And they said if you don't cooperat
15	that is what is going to happen to you?
16	A That is correct, sir.
17	Q And that is what you meant when you said strong ar
18	tactics?
19	A Yes, sir.
20	O Nobody ever hit you?
21	A Absolutely not, sir.
22	Q You would remember, wouldn't you, if anybody
23	ever hit you with anything?
24	A I think I would remember if a United States
25	Attorney ever hit me.
	II

(5

1 gwrf 8 D'Onofrio-cross MR. GOULD: I now offer this one in evidence, 3 D. MR. SORKIN: No objection, your Honor. THE COURT: May we have a better description 6 than this one? MR. GOULD: Excuse me, your Honor. Stoller Exhibit D. 9 THE COURT: All right. 10 (Defendant Stoller Exhibit D received in 11 evidence.) 12 MR. GOULD: This is a very short letter, ladies 13 and gentlemen of the jury. I have already referred to the 14 only part of it that has any interest. That is the one 15 about the strong arm tactics. 16 On the same day, September 4th, that you wrote 17 this letter, Stoller Exhibit D, you wrote this letter, Stoller Exhibit F for identification to Mr. Brodsky, 18 19 correct? 20 May I see it? 21 Mr. D'Onofrio, you have seen these letters 22 in the last week or so, haven't you? 23 In the last week or so I was -- I was told to 24 look at them, but I wasn't feeling physically well 25 and I didn't do my homework and I didn't look at them.

xx

		508
1	awrf 9	D'Onofrio-cross
2	Ω	Who told you to look at them?
3	A	Mr. Sorkin says if you want to go over some of
4	the mater	ials of the what do you call it, things
5	that vou	have sent, letters that you have sent, you are
6	permitted	to look at them and you could look at them if
7	you want.	
8	Q	You didn't do it?
9	A	I wasn't feeling well.
10	0	Are you feeling all right now?
11	λ	Yes, I'm feeling all right now.
12	Ü	When was it that you were sick?
13	A	I wasn't feeling well.
14	Ó	What was wrong with you?
15	A	I had a headache.
16	0	What day was that?
17	A	The day that he had asked me if I would like to
18	look at a	all these things.
19	Ö	That was a couple of weeks ago?
20	A	A week ago probably.
21	0	Since then you haven't read the letters?
22	A	No, sir.
. 23	Q	Nobody shown them to you?
24	. А	You are the first one, sir.
25	n	I'm sorry.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

## D'Onofrio-cross

In this letter you said to Mr. Brodsky that, "If you have any aspirations of furthering yourself in life, you should take a course in human relations, and remember the first axiom, you get more with honey than vinegar"?

- A I remember that very well, those are my words.
- Q What did you mean by that?

A I wanted Brodsky to be nicer to me and to let me go for all the crimes I ever committed and to send me out on the street as a free man and to forget about everything else.

- O You wanted some money, too, didn't you?
- A From Brodsky?
- O Weren't you just about this time asking the United States Attorney's office to get you \$1,500 a month?

A That letter I believe was prior to my negotiations with Mr. Morvillo. The money aspect came up after it, when I decided maybe I was returning.

- O So when you said in this letter that, "You get more with honey than vinegar," you didn't mean money?
  - A Heck, no.
  - You wouldn't dream of it?
  - A I had enough.
- Q You had enough money on September 4, 1972, so you didn't need anything from the United States

FOLEY SQUARE NEW YORK, N.Y. CO 7-4580

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

XX

21

22

23 24

25

Government?

That's right. If I came back to the United States Government, I would be coming back as a poor man.

But you were talking to him here on September 4th about coming back?

That is correct.

As a poor man?

I hadn't even negotiated a deal. I was just telling him about how badly he treated me and he ruined me because I was previously a good Government man.

You were referring to your prior contributions to the FBI, is that right?

And to the Eastern District of New York.

Q I see.

MR. GOULD: I will offer this letter now, this being Defendant Stoller Exhibit F.

MR. SORKIN: No objection, your Honor.

(Defendant Stoller Exhibit F received in evidence.)

Now, sir, on that same day, September 4th, O you have told us you wrote this letter, Stoller Exhibit E, to Mr. Ryan, the Assistant Chief of the Criminal Division of the United States Attornev's office over in the Eastern District?

Yes, sir.

MR. GOULD: Just to save time I will offer this

3

6

7

xx

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in evidence and I would like to read it to the jury. MR.SORKIN: We have seen this before, your Honor, and we have no objection.

MR. GOULD: This is Stoller Exhibit E for identification now offered in evidence.

(Defendant Stoller Exhibit E received in evidence.)

MR. GOULD: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, this is a letter this fellow wrote on September 4, 1972 to Mr. Ryan, as I have described, and here it is and you may have it at the appropriate time and look at it, but I think I will read all of it and you listen.

Were you on those terms of intimacies with Mr. Ryan that you addressed him as Joe?

After two years with him, I felt I deserved the intimacies in this letter but certainly not in a courtroom.

(Mr. Gould reads to jury from Defendant Stoller Exhibit E in evidence.)

MR. SORKIN: Mr. Gould, I suggest you read from I think you left out some words. my copy.

(Counsel confer off the record.)

MR. GOULD: I will be glad to use your copy, but you better use mine, if I do that.

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

MR. SORKIN: Sure, I will be happy to.

(Mr. Gould continues reading to jury from Defendant Stoller Exhibit E in evidence.)

MR. SORKIN: Do you want the mailing list too? MR. GOULD: Scuderi, FBI, Morse, United States Attorney, Whitney North Seymour, United States Attorney, George Binney, FBI, Brodsky, Assistant United States

THE COURT: We will take the morning recess, ladies and gentlemen, ten minutes.

(Recess.)

Attorney, and Professor Duke.

(Jury not present.)

THE COURT: Mr. Sorkin, let's not do any more deliberating. Your speeches to Mr. Feldshuh, you don't have to get into that now.

MR. SORKIN: At Mr. Gould's suggestion, I am advising the Court of what the three of us decided --

MR. GOULD: Your Honor, 2:00 o'clock in pursuance to the arrangement we made yesterday, he wants to withdraw this witness for a while and bring in some foreign witnesses and I said I would agree to that, so that the Government doesn't have to pay them a few extra days.

THE COURT: As long as we have gotten into this, let's go back.

Do you all agree that you want to bring in some other Government witness with the understanding that we have Mr. D'Onofrio back to finish on cross?

MR. COULD: Yes.

THE COURT: May I ask, roughly speaking, how much longer do you expect to go on with this cross? I am not trying to tie you down.

MR. GOULD: I understand. I am trying to give your Honor an honest estimate. I would say certainly the rest of the afternoon, subject to this interruption.

I have two or three hours more with him. That is my best guess.

THE COURT: We will plan accordingly, recess at 1:00, and pick up this other witness.

(Jury present.)

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Gould.

- O Mr. D'Onofrio, on this letter, Stoller Exhibit E, which is that letter of September 4th, I would like to ask you a few questions about it.
  - A Is this the same letter you just read, Mr. Gould?
  - O Yes.

Did Mr. Brodsky actually tell you that they were going to indict you in a lot of cases whether or not they can convict or whether you were guilty or innocent?

A No. They told me they would indict me in a

lot of cases in which they knew I was guilty, and I added that guilt or innocence was unimportant to them.

O Well, what you said was, in your letter, "They tell me they are not certain as to whether or not they could convict me or even guilt or innocence was unimportant to them."

A The guilt or innocence I added. They did tell me that even if they were not certain they could convict me, they would indict me.

- Q But you added guilt or innocence?
- A That is correct.
- Q That wasn't true, was it?
- A That is poetic license for a criminal.
- Q Poetic licence?
- A For a criminal, yes, and I am a criminal.
- Q Did they tell you that their theory is that you can't fight six or seven indictments at one time, that the law of averages would be that they would break you?

A Yes, because they had six or seven indictments that I was guilty of.

- O Did they tell you that, just ves or no.
- A Yes.
- O In the next paragraph you say, in this letter, "Now they are not involved in deal-making," and now you

21

22

23

are referring to Brodsky and Tigue?

- A Correct, yes, sir.
- O "They are not involved in deal-making. They now become professed blackmailers with the power to execute."

That is what you said?

- A Yes, sir.
- O Were they trying to blackmail you?

A Well, every criminal feels that when a United States Attorney tells him he is going to indict him for something else guilty of that he is being blackmailed. At that point I considered it blackmail. You don't like to admit it to yourself until you become sufficiently knowledgeable to admit you are a criminal --

- O They were telling you, according to what you said in the previous paragraph, they were going to indict you whether or not they could convict you?
- A Could convict me, right, but of only crimes that

  I was involved in. You can't convict everyone in every

  crime they bring in.
  - O The word blackmailers is poetic license?
  - A Yes, sir, used for the benefit of a criminal.
- Q But then you say, "Within a few days, as true as life, I am indicted."

That was the one where only one sentence in the

25

24

21

22

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

22

24

25

Q You say that Brodsky and Tigue were ridiculing you and threatening you, right?

A Is that what the letter says?

Q Yes.

A If that is what it says, that is what I said.

Q How did they ridicule you?

A By not giving me enough of time to sit with them and talk out my problem. To me that was a ridicule. In light of the amount of work I had done for the Federal Bureau of Investigation and my past cooperation with another Department of Justice division -- I had about 20 minutes --

- Q You thought you should be treated with more respect?
- A I thought I should get more than 20 minutes.
- Q They only gave you 20 minutes?
- A That is all they gave me.

Q But it is true, is it not, that you felt that when you wrote this letter you should have been treated with more respect by these people?

A No, sir. I thought that I should have been allowed a communication between the Eastern District and the SouthernDistrict, being they had been working with me for two years and knew my temper, my method, my mannerisms, and whatnot. Certain people can get along together, certain people are viable, can communicate to each other, and I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

22

23

24

25

rmb-2

## D'Onofrio-cross

Attorney in the Southern District would be able to understand my references being made from the Eastern District the type of man I am, that I will not go back on my word with the United States Department of Justice and if I do make a deal of any type or any nature, any plea bargaining, or anything I could do, I would do and cooperate fully, and this was not done.

Q You wanted them to understand that you would go the whole route, correct? You would stand up for them?

A I would stand up to anything that I had to stand up for, yes, sir.

- Q You always have stood up for --
- A For the United States Government, yes, sir.
- Q For your friends and associates, have you?
- A For my friends and associates, I don't think I have many friends and associates.
  - Q Pfingst was your friend?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - O He was your partner?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - O He was your lawyer?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q He was y our friend?

		520
1	rmb-3	D'Onofrio-cross
2	λ	Yes, sir.
3	Q	And you turned him in, didn't you?
4	A	I turned him in?
5	Q	Didn't you?
6	A	No.
7	Q	You testified against him?
8	A	You were asking did I turn him in?
9	Q	You said no, but you did testify against him?
10	A	The Government indicted him and I testified and
11	pleaded gu	milty.
12	Q	You did testify against him?
13	Ä	Yes, sir.
14	Q	YOu did furnish the Government information about
15	him?	
16	A	About the crime.
17	Q	Yes.
18	A	Yes, sir.
19	Q	Stoller was your friend?
20	A	No, he was never my friend.
21	Q	Never your riend?
22	A	I never liked him and he never liked me.
23	Q	Do you dislike him intensely?
24	A	Pretty intensely, yes.
25	Q	You hate him?

1	rmb-4	D'Onofrio-cross 521
2	A	I don't know if I hate him. I don't think I
3	hate anyon	e in this world, but I dislike him intensely.
4	Q	You dislike him as much as you dislike anybody,
5	right?	
6	A	Oh, no, sir, I dislike him more than I dislike
7	other peop	le, but I don't hate him.
8	Q	You dislike Stoller more than you dislike anybody
9	else?	
10	A	More than other people I dislike.
11	Q	How about Frank?
12	A	I like Mr. Frank.
13	Q	You like him?
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	. Q	And he was your lawyer, wasn't he?
16	A	On many occasions.
17	Q	He was your friend?
18	A	Are you talk-ng social friend, sir?
19	Q	I dn't know, what is a friend?
20	A	A friend is someone in a moment of need that you
21	could cour	nt on. I don't think Mr. Frank was my friend,
22	no, sir.	
23	Q	You don't think so?
24	A	No, sir.

In this letter you say something about their

A number of times?

Q

1	rmb-6
2	
3	
4	charg
5	
6	
7	the b

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

D'Onofrio-cross

A Yes, sir.

Q But they threatened to bring phoney perjury charges, you said?

A That is correct, sir.

Q You said, did you not, in this letter, that Brodsky, the brilliant one, as you describe him, was engaged in humiliating you, cor?ect?

A Yes, sir.

Q How was Mr.Brodsky humiliating you?

A By his temper. As a criminal confronting a U.S. Attorney or Assistant U.S.Attorney, that there is no communication, the humiliation begins by him informing you of your rights under the law to remain silent --

Q That is humiliating?

A Yes, when you are a criminal and you know you are trying to hide it.

Q You said Brodsky was humiliating you, and Step
Number 1 was that he informed you of your rights?

A And that was humiliating to me.

Q He said to you, "You have the right to remain silent," and that was humiliating?

A Yes, and all the words that go thereafter.

Q And you have the right to get a lawyer?

A And a right to say nothing.

- Q YOu found that humiliating?
- A Very humiliating.
- Q Anything else he did to humiliate you?

have done something with the FBI," and passed it off as a nothing thing, and I don't know whether the FBI informed him properly or completely or gave him part of a report, and that was humiliating because of the way he said it and the activities I had undergone with the FBI were so strenuous and dangerous that it was humiliating to listen to a U.S. Attorney that there was a communication gap loss and he considered it as a nothing, like the weather, as I said, outside is nothing, but to me I almost lost my life.

Q Step Number 2 in the process of humiliation was that you felt you had performed very, very valuable services for the FBI, correct?

- A Yes.
- Q You felt you had risked your life for them?
- A That's correct.
- Q Isn't that what y ou said before?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Brodsky didn't seem to be impressed by all of that?
  - A Because he didn't have the communication.

End 5B

Q Well, whatever, but you felt when y ou said he was humiliating you --

A He not only wasn't impressed, he just passed it off, "So what, so you did some work for the FBI. You are here now, in a different District and this District is going to get you for every crime you committed," and that was humiliating to me.

Q Anything else that he did besides warning you of your rights and not being impressed by your services to the FBI? What else did he do to humiliate you?

A He told me about the fact that he doesn't care what experiences I have had in the Eastern District of New York, and that if he did make any arrangements with me, it was in writing and then words to the effect that Mr. Ryan was stupid and they do not act that way here and they are going to give me no immunity and they don't care what, if anything, they are going to prosecute me for every crime that I have been guilty of or involved in.

What?

What?

0

A

A

23

24

25

A pretty low character?

I don't think so.

- 2

- 3
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- You don't think so? Nobody told you that?
- I don't recall that.
- All right. You also complained that Brodsky an Tigue were taking away your dignity, right?
  - Α Yes, sir.
- And I assume you mean the same things you have told us? That is the way they took away your dignity?
  - Yes, they called me a criminal. A
  - He called you a criminal?
- He told me he was going to indict me and put me away for 20 years and that was taking away my dignity.
  - You were a criminal, weren't you?
- Every criminal --probably 90 per cent of the men in jail say I didn't do it. Until you make up inyour mind -- you have to put yourself in a state of your mind them. Then I am not a criminal, I can beat every case. You know, I think I am lecherous. I disregard it. I am in a different state of mind.
  - You mean you fantasize?
- I can hire the best lawyers, lawyers like yourself, pay for them and make it through. I can't make it through seven.
  - Through what? 0
  - Through seven cases, seven indictments.

Q So you were facing seven?

A If they got me, maybe ten. At least I knew of seven immediately.

Q That is what you mean whenyou said that they made you into an animal?

A Yes, sir.

Q That is what you meant?

A That's right.

Q They had made you into an animal?

A They made me want to run away from the country and get the hell out.

Q They made you want to do some other things, didn't they? What else did they make you do that turned you into an animal?

A I don't recall, sir.

Q You used the word animal.

A I know I did.

Q What did you mean that they turned you into an animal?

A They made me something that before I was not. I was not the type of a person to run away. I had no reason to be shackled. I had no reason to be hand and leg shackled. They made me into something that I previously was not. That was a person no longer thinking for the U.S. Government,

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CF

24

25

but now thinking for myself.

Q That's right. Before you ran into these two men whom you charged with threats, humiliation, blackmail and so on, before you ran into them you were a perjurer, were you not?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q And they didn't make you a perjurer, did they?
- A No, sir.
- Q You were a manipulator of securities, were you not?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q And you had engaged in bankruptcy fraud, hadyou not?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q You had committed a lot of very serious offenses, hadn't you?
    - A Yes, sir.
    - Q And they had nothing to do with that?
    - A No --
    - Q But they --
  - A You didn't let me finish. I says, no, Mr.

    Brodsky is probably one of the most timid individuals in this

    District or at that time that I had ever met.
    - Q Mr.Brodsky didn't make you a crook, did he?

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

No, absolutely not. A

After you met Mr. Brodsky, you were turned into something else which you call an animal?

Yes, I phrased myself as an animal at that time.

You were not an animal before?

No, because I lost my wife, my health, my fortune Α and that turns a man into an animal. He has nothing lese.

But you say that Brodsky and Tigue turned you into an animal?

Yes, by not negotiating with me and not communicating with the other Districts to know that my nature was one that if I did make an agreement with the U. S. Government, he didn't have to restrict me in travel, etc., etc. There was no communication.

So an animal is a person who has no communication?

An animal is a person that lost everything in his life and be humiliated in front of his family.

An animal is a person who really doesn't care about anybody but himself, isn't that what you meant by it?

An avaricious person, one who cares about himself, yes.

They turned you into an animal? Q

That is correct.

To wit, they turned you into an avaricious person 0

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

threatened you with that you remember now, these gunslingers,

these fast-talking gunslingers? They threatened to put you

I am very timid. What are the things they

23

24

25

away for 20 to 30 years?

- A For crimes I committed?
- Q That's right.
- A Yes, sir.
- Q And they made you scared?
- A You're darn right.
- Q You were frightened to death of going away for 20 years, weren't you?
  - A You're darn right.
- Q Has anybody told you that you are not going to go away for 20 or 30 years?
- A No, but my mind is now reorganized and I know I am facing seventeen years.
  - Q Seventeen years?
  - A Seventeen years.
- Q Who toldyou that?
  - A I can read. My indictments says five years, five years, five years and two years.
  - Q Mr.D'Onofrio, do you really expect to go away for seventeen years?
  - A If I go away for 17 years, I think I will be a model prisoner and I will get out in the minimum parole, about five years, and that is not a big chunk out of my life.

    I am prepared to pay my debt to society.

24

23

stances until the disposition of my cases are over.

No, we refused to get married under these circum-

But you represent yourself to people as husband

Your name precedes you. So I did weep for half an hour

or so in my hotel room.

24

25

gab-11

D'Onofrio-cross

536

Q You wept because your son was ill or because you were afraid of this cross-examination?

A No, I had no fear of cross-examination, but the total stress and strain of five lawyers on one witness, five great minds, including yourself, and along with hearing that my son was ill, didn't go to school the first day --

- Q Was your son seriously ill?
- A No, sir.
- Q What did he have, a sore throat?
- A I don't really know.
- Q Hangnail?

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, I don't think that is necessary.

MR. GOULD: I don't think it is either. I didn't put it into this thing.

THE COURT: Go to another point.

- Q Now you are not capable of urinating ice water any more?
  - A No longer, sir.
- Q When you appeared before the grand jury and you told the story about Mr.Stoller, were you then capable of urinating ice water?

A I stopped urinating ice water, sir, on March 15th of 1972, when I was captured by Scotland Yard, and put into

1	gab-12	D'Onofrio-cross 537
2	Pentonvill	e Prison.
3	Q	March 15, 1972?
4	A	'73, excuse me, sir.
5	Q	When you were arrested in England, you weren't
6	arrested o	n anything that has to do with securities, were you?
7	A	I was arrested on charges of perjury and for
8	extraditio	n.
9	Q	Weren't you arrested for using a false passport?
10	A	No, sir.
11	Q	Didn't they know about the false passport?
12	A	Yes, sir.
13	Q	What was the perjury?
14	A	The perjury charge emanating from Mr. Sorkin's
15	previous s	tatement, complaint, as you call it.
16	Q.	I see. You were arrested over there on a charge
17	of perjury	in the United States, is that your understanding?
18	A	That's not my understanding, that's the fact, sir
19	Q	You told us before, sir, that you have been married
20	twice?	
21	A	Yes, sir.
22	Q	Were you ever married to a lady named Muriel
23	Barder?	
24	A	No, sir.
25	Q	Did you ever go through a marriage ceremony with

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No, sir. She claimed that. It is a falsehood. It is a falsehood by herself and she can perjure herself

Did you ever appear before any public official or religious official and go through a form of marriage with her?

No, sir.

a woman named Muriel Barder?

if she so desires at your request.

Did you ever represent to anybody that you were married to a woman named Muriel Barder?

Oh, yes, sir. I represented it many times, that Mrs.D'Onofrio, at her interest, so that people wouldn't know we were man and woman living together, so she could have some protocol and some honor in her mind.

But no marriage?

No, sir, she was not divorced . I would have been a bigamist. I needed that added to my crimes, too?

Now, sir, after you wrote the letters to Mr. Brodsky and all these people whose names are down at the bottom of the list there, shortly thereafter you had some telephone conversations with Mr. Morvillo that we talked about yesterday, right?

- That is correct, sir.
- After the telephone conversation with Mr. Morvillo,

Government?

England, you didn't hear anything from the United States

2 3

A No, sir.

Q When did you get to England?

A About ten or twelve days before I was arrested.

So that would be on or about March 1 of 1973.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY STARE NEW YORK N.Y. CO.7 4589

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
~	

25

6b am gwrf 1 D'Onofrio-cross

Ω And on March 1, 1973 you found yourself in jail in England, right?

A No, sir. I was working in England for about 12 or 13 days and I was arrested on March 15th by five Scotland Yard detectives at gunpoint.

O And you were taken to a jail of some kind?

A I was taken to many jails until they could find one that corresponded with the treaty law because they had to isolate foreigners.

So I was in one prison, Bow Street Prison, and then
I was in I believe Bruxton Prison another few days. Then
I finally wound up in a place -- they put me in a cell
alone -- which was Ptnetonville Prison, Her Majesty's
Prison.

When did you get to Pentonville?

A About three or four days after my arrest. I was arrested on the 15th. It would probably be on the 18th or 19th, I quess.

Q While you were in Pentonville Prison, did you again communicate with the United States Government?

A No, sir.

O Did they communicate with you?

A My ex-wife Sandra arrived in England when she read in the New York Times I was arrested on March 16th

or 17th with my sister, Miss Daley, both of them coming independently and not knowing each other were there. They met with me and the prison officials allowed them to have a meeting with me, and my ex-wife hired Queens counsel to defend me and arranged for my defense and then asked if she could on my behalf call the United States Government, Mr. Ryan or Mr. Brodsky, and arrange that this would probably be the best time for me to start negociating, being I'm going to be there for a long, long time in England.

So she conducted the initial telephone calls
to the Justice Department. I don't know who she called
first or second. She arranged for Mr. Brodsky and a
gentleman named Mr. Lester Green from the Securities and
Exchange Commission to come over and -- it was very difficult
because it was the high holy Jewish holidays when they
arrived.

O Go ahead.

A And I did the negotiating with them in one of the prison rooms assigned for attorneys, counsel and visitors,

O And you did negotiate with Brodsky, right?

A My attorney, Clifton Davis, myself, my wife -- my ex-wife was present.

Mr. Lester Green and Mr. David Brodsky and an assistant of my Queens counsel, another barrister.

D'Onofrio to return because if we beat you in the case, if in another couple of days you are not prepared" -"You have only 60 days to prove your case and you are relatively unprepared."

He said, "If he beats you, he can just stay out" of the United States forever. If you win, you can only charge him for five years for the perjury count. I can't recommend to my client to return to the United States to face five, ten, 15 or 17 or 20 years when all he is legally bound to do even if you win is five years."

So my attorney, my barrister and Queens counsel - says, "We don't like the deal."

Emotionally I wanted to return to the United States, as I said, pay my debt to society and live amongst my family and children.

I made an agreement which was highly disagreeable to me at that time, that it could have been more favorable had I not returned voluntarily. I returned to the United States voluntarily under my own steam.

Q After making a written agreement with the United States Government?

A Yes, even after. I could have gotten -the Scotland Yard said the moment they left me out of the
jail you are under no restraint to go back to the United

gwrf 5

States. We cannot hold you. They have dropped the charges. If you want to run, you can run.

There were two federal marshals there. The federal marshals were not permitted to carry their weapons into England. They had just come from Broken Knee, the Indian uprising, and they came to the prison to collect me and they were told that they were not allowed to touch me, hold me, apprehend me or anything else.

As far as the British Government is concerned, I released the British Government from any false arrest charges, the United States Government dropped their charges and the marshals, who were elderly men, asked me to please not cause them any trouble.

I says this is not my MO, I'm not a --

Q You don't cause people trouble?

A I don't cause physical trouble to people. I says, "I have every intention of returning. We will drive back in a taxicab together."

He says, "We can't stop you."

Mr. Green was with us. He says, "I want you to give me your word of honor that you will return with us to the airport," because they weren't even allowed Scotland Yard escorts. I could have gone anywhere I wanted.

gwrf 6

I shook hands with Mr. Green and I returned to
a United States carrier and upon landing they then handcuffed
me and arraigned me, sir.

O The point you have been trying to make, you came back voluntarily?

A No, that is not the point I have been trying to make. I have been telling you the story of the question you asked me. You asked me a question that elicited a narrative.

- O Do you remember what the question was?
- A It seemed to me to elicit a narrative.
- O Let's go on.

You made an agreement with them while you were still in Pentonville Prison, right?

- A After two visits from the Government, yes, sir.
- O Brodsky and Mr. Green of the SEC came into
  Pentonville Prison, they sit down with you and your lawyer
  and you start negotiating?
  - A Yes, sir.
- O And you want some things and they don't want to give you some things and so on, correct?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q And finally you work out an agreement?
  - A Yes, sir.

25

19

20

21

22

23

1	gwrf 7 D'Onofrio-cross 547
2	O And you reduce it to writing?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	O And the writing to which you reduced it is this
5	document, is it not, Stoller Exhibit A for identification?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	O That is the contract you made with the Government?
8	MR. GOULD: I offer it in evidence.
9	MR. SORKIN: No objection, your Honor. I don't
10	have any objection at this time.
11	(Defendant Stoller Exhibit A received in
12	evidence.)
13	Ω Do I understand your testimony, Mr. Witness,
14	to be that from the day you put your signature on this
15	document you have regarded yourself as reborn, a new man?
16	A Yes, that is right, sir.
17	O You are not a criminal any more, since that day?
18	A I am a criminal right now.
19	Q I beg your pardon?
20	A I am a criminal right now, I'm a felon.
21	O You don't do any bad things any more?
22	A No, sir.
23	O You don't commit perjury any more?
24	A No, sir.
25	O All right.

3

5

4

6

8

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24 25 One of the things in this paper that you said you would give the Government, this paper being Stoller Exhibit A -- you said you would give them a narrative statement of the facts "compromising" -- I don't know what that means, maybe you do -- "compromising the offenses of which I am accused and a detailed statement of facts of the offenses to which I have pleaded guilty," correct?

- A Lawyers drew it up.
- O But you signed it, didn't you?
- A Oh, yes, sir.

Do you want to look at it?

- O You read it before you signed it?
- A Oh, yes, sir.
- O That is in there, what I just read to you?
- A I just want to refresh myself, sir. It is the first time I seen it since I signed it I think.
- Q Isn't that the paper you gave to the Government the other day?
  - A No, sir.
  - O No?
  - A No, sir.
  - O All right.
    - MR. SORKIN: Your Honor --
  - O My mistake.

D'Onofrio-cross

550

gave them and signed on November 8, 1973?

A Yes.

O And when you signed that you were trying, were you not, to tell the Government everything that you knew about Training With The Pros?

- A Subject to the last paragraph in that narrative.
- 0 Let's see what that is.

Let me see if I can get straightened out.

A The last one or two paragraphs, subject to those paragraphs.

- O Which is it, the last one or the last one or two?
- A The one that says that it is capable of being camplified, corrected, changed, altered. When I'm shown documents -- I had nothing in front of me. I was doing this strictly from my mind many years later and I have not been prompted or talked to any Government agency about it.
  - O That is what it says, right?
  - A I don't know if that is what it says.
  - O That is just what you told me it says?
  - A Is that what it says then?

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, I object. I think Mr. D'Onofrio was just directing Mr. Gould's attention to the appropriate paragraph.

THE COURT: We will let the jury decide that.

1

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0 Did you tell Brodsky about TrainingWith The Pros when you came back?

Yes, sir.

And did you offer to give him a statement about it?

I didn't offer him anything. As a criminal I don't offer nothing. They ask me and I give. I can't volunteer telling them what to do or where to go. United States Department of Justice acts on their own.

You mean if they didn't ask you about a crime you wouldn't tell them about it?

No, no, that is not true. If they ask me to give a narrative -- you are talking about a narrative, not about a crime.

Did you mention the subject of Training With The Pros to anybody in the United States Attorney's office from the day you got back from England after making your contract --

Yes, sir. I mentioned it to -- I told you, you didn't let me finish -- Mr. Brodsky and then Mr. Brodsky left the United States Attorney's office. I remember -- I think I remember mentioning it at a grand jury in some way, I think.

Then they transferred me to another United States Attorney named Frank Velie who was so involved in other

me anything.

narcotics cases that he had no subject matter, involving

Then I got kicked over to Mr. Sorkin, my enemy for many years, and it didn't look like he was going to ask

Then at some point I discussed it with Mr. Doonan, the investigator, many of my crimes, and I'm sure it is possible I could have mentioned Training With The Pros if he interrogated me about it.

As far as a narrative, Mr. Sorkin called me in one day and said, "Look, write me up a story on Training With The Pros. We don't want to talk to you in depth about it."

I says, "Gosh, I've got no material, no nothing."

He says, "Give us your best recollections, as best as you can."

I says, All right, I'll do it."

- O The fact is that very shortly after you came back to the United States, to wit, on May 22, 1973, you sat down with Doonan, Mr. Doonan here, and you gave him a narrative about Training With The Pros?
  - A A narrative, sir?
  - Q You told him what you remembered about Training

With The Pros?

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

..

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I answered questions that he asked me and maybe
I volunteered. I did not give him a narrative.

O All right.

Then the next day you came back and you spent some time again with Mr. Doonan -- that's on May 23,
'73 -- and you talked about Training With The Pros?

A Yes, sir.

O When you talked to him, did you try to tell him the whole truth about it?

A To the best of my recollection at the time, I tried to recall everything I could. I was still armed with no papers or documents.

Q You mean when you were with Doonan he didn't have any papers or documents?

A Not on Training With The Pros, sir, or very little of that which I supplied. If they had any, they didn't show me any. They may have had bundles, but they didn't show me any.

O But we are certain now that although you talked with Doonan about Training With The Pros in May of 1972,

May 22nd --

A '73.

0 '73, excuse me -- you didn't produce the narrative

No, they had never shown me those documents

. 15

and I did not know they existed.

Q You knew they existed because you were there, weren't you, when those documents were made up?

A Oh, yes.

9 You knew they existed?

A At the time, but I didn't know the United States Government had them.

O I want to know, sir, whether this rarrative that you wrote back in November 1972 --

MR. SORKIN: '73, your Honor.

O -- '73, excuse me -- whether this narrative was a fair, honest representation of what happened in Training With The Pros?

A Subject to the paragraphs I told you in the rear.

O The two paragraphs are, one, that it was being dictated on November 3rd, 4th and 5th, that your sister would be transcribing it, that she will take it off your tape recorder and put it in narrative form, that you will sign it, submit it to Sorkin and Doonan, with the explicit understanding that it will be modified and corrected upon "My further viewing of other documents and transfer sheets," is that what you are talking about.

MR. SORKIN: There is one more sentence, your Honor.

"But at least with this narrative in this form

3

2

"out" or cut" --

MR. SORKIN: It should be "our".

we have some basis for beginning" -- I think it says

7

6

"But at least with this narrative in this form we have some basis for beginning our question and answer theory."

8 9

MR. SORKIN: If Mr. Gould is going to read from it, your Honor, we have no objection to it being offered in evidence.

10

11 12

I also said it was subject to amplification, correction, modification, I think somewhere in that narrative, but I had not thought of Training With The Pros at all and then when you think about something day after day and night after night you can bring yourself back into conversations and people and things that are happening, whereas in the form of a narrative you cannot, on its first instance.

Mr. D'Onofrio, you came back to the United States

14 15 16

17

19

18

20

21

23

24

25

A Yes, sir.

in the spring of 1973, correct?

And you sat down with Doonan for two days, May 22nd, 23rd, 1973?

Yes, sir.

3

4

5 6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1054-0043

24

25

O And among the things you discussed with him was Training With The Pros?

A One of the lighter things I discussed with him, lesser things.

O How long did it take for you to discuss this lighter thing with Doonan on May 22nd and 23rd?

A Perhaps an hour, hour and a half, but I had many other things.

O From May 22nd or 23rd to November you didn't discuss Training With The Pros with anybody?

A No, I said there may have been occasions in the grand jury in between then that I --

O But you didn't think about it?

A No.

Q It wasn't until you sat down in November to write this narrative?

A I didn't think about it because I thought it was out of the statute of limitations. I didn't know it. I am not a lawver. I didn't think there would be a crime that could be prosecuted because the time was up, I didn't know. That is why I never thought about it.

We have it that from May to November you didn't
think about Training With The Pros?

No. I had enough of other crimes to think about.

21

22

23

24

25

rmrf 6

A There is one error in which I juxtaposed my mind, and I had been working on another case with them, and juxtaposed a paragraph --

O What does juxtaposed mean?

A It means I had taken the contents in my mind of another case and another paragraph of another person and stock and put it into this narrative because the people we were closely related to -- I was doing a narrative on that other stock and they were working on that case more heavily than this case.

O So you just made a mistake and you put into this some stuff about that other case?

A Well, my mind was -- I am talking into a recorder and trying to --

- 0 Who worked with you on this?
- A No one. Myself.
- O But you had papers in front of you?
- A Just the offering circular.
- O
  That is all?
- A Yes, sir.
- O Is that the only error you remember now, that juxtaposed --

A There may be other errors in there. There has to be by human error in there, when I put it together.

3

4

5

U

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

A After November, I believe I was called into a grand jury by Mr. Sorkin and asked some questions about Training With The Pros. It was a short grand jury.

I don't recall the grand jury conversation.

Q Let me get something straight, sir, and I am emphasizing this --

MR. GOULD: I ask your Honor's indulgence for the repetitious character of the question, but I think your Honor will see that I am justified.

O It is your sworn testimony that you came back to the United States in May, that you talked with Doonan, and that nobody asked you about Training With The Pros until sometime in November when you wrote the narrative?

A No, I did not say that. I said I may have talked to others, I may have even been in a grand jury appearance and made a statement about Training With The Pros.

O I want you to tell me yes or no, did you have conversations with anybody in the Government about Training with The Pros between May, when you spoke to Doonan, and the time that you wrote the narrative?

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, I object. I think he answered that on several occasions.

A I just don't recall. I may have. They were not extensive --

D'Onofrio-cross rmrf 9 Very few? Very few and very minute. If you had any conversation on this subject with anybody between May and November, they were very few and very minute? In my mind, yes, sir. Well, you are sure about that now, aren't you? Yes, sir. You are testifying to the truth, aren't you? To the best of my knowledge, yes, sir.

How long after you prepared and signed this narrative, how long after that did you go to the grand jury on Training With The Pros?

564

Very soon thereafter, but I don't remember.

2

.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

rmb-1

## D'Onofrio-cross

Q When you went on Training With the Pros to the grand jury, do you remember being asked these questions and giving these answers, in the grand jury on November 19, 1973?

MR. SORKIN: May I have the page?

MR. GOULD: RSK4.

"Q And that was split amongst you" -- this is Sorkin questioning you -- "that was split amongst you, Mr. Stoller and Mr. Allen, each of you paid out that much which you owed for expenses such as Mr. Herbert?

"A And some others.

"Q And some others. Now, you and I, Mr. D'Onofrio, have met in my office on numerous occasions, is that correct:

"A Yes."

That is on November 19, 1973. Did you give those answers to those questions?

A Yes, sir.

Q And had you met in his office on numerous occasions?

A Yes, sir.

Q And did youin the course of those numerous occasions discuss Training With the Pros?

A On some, but very few.

Q How many?

1	1	
1	rmb-2	D'Onofrio-cross
2	A	I said I don't recall.
3	Q	Do you remember what you said in the grand jury?
4	A	No, sir.
5	Q	Do you remember whether it was 10 or 13?
6	A	/ I don't recall.
7	Q	or 20?
8	A	I don't recall.
9	Q	Could it have been 10 or 15 or 20?
10	A	I answered you that I do not recall. You could
11	say it co	uld be a thousand and I still don't recall.
12	Q	Were you asked this question and did you give
13	these ans	wers:
14	"Q	And we have discussed Training With the Pros,
15	among oth	er things, is that correct?
16	"А	That's correct."
17		That is right after questions and answers I read
18	you before.	
19	A	Yes, sir.
20	"Q	You gave that answer. Am I correct, Mr. D'Onofrio,
21	that in t	he course of our conversations I asked you many
22	more ques	tions both about Mr. Stoller, Mr. Allen and Training
23	With the	Pros in addition to other things that I have asked
24	you befor	e this grand jury today?
35	A	Yes."

•. •

20

21

22

23

24

25

D'Onofrio-cross

- Q You were asked that question and gave that answer?
- A Yes.

rmb-3

- Q The fact is, between May and the day you appeared before the grand jury you had a number of conversations with Sorkin, didn't you?
  - A Yes, sir, but limited.
  - Q How many?
  - A I cannot recall, sir.
  - Q Well, give us your best estimate.
- A I cannot even given you a best. Maybe 5, maybe 4, maybe 6.
  - Q Could it have been 10 or 20?
- A Then you could say could it be a thousand. You are trying to nail me down to a date on these discussions and we met on many subjects and many subject matters were discussed at one time, and if during the course 90 per cent of it could be another case and he would say, "By the way, on Training" -- so on and so, and I cannot recall that, sir.
- Q When you met with him on numerous occasions and discussed Training With the Pros--
- A Take the dictionary version of "numerous" and I will concede to that.
- MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, I object, because after that it says "among other things" and I don't see anything

3

5 .

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

rmb-4

D'Onofrio-cross

consistent with what he testified to.

THE COURT: Mr. Sorkin, the jury will decide this.

Q I want to know your best estimate of how many times. First you said none, remember?

asking him now how many times he conferred with Sorkin or are you asking him how many times did he confer between May and November with Sorkin about Training With the Pros?

MR. GOULD: That is all I am trying to get --

MR. SORKIN: Or Doonan.

THE COURT: No, no, please --

MR. GOULD: About Training With the Pros, that is all I am interested in.

THE COURT: I want to make sure he understands and the jury understands and I want to make sure the lawyers understand it.

THE WITNESS: Now I understand it.

Q I want to know how many conversations you had either with Sorkin or Doonan or Greene or anybody else connected with the Government between May, when you came back, and November when you wrote the narrative?

A Between 5 and 8 or 5 and 10, but some lesser than the others --

1

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE

HEAR DISTRICT COO

	. 569	
1	rmb-5 D'Onofrio-cross	
2	Q Were notes made of those conversations?	
3	A I don't recall. I know Mr. Doonan made notes.	
4	Q How about Mr. Sorkin, did he make notes?	
5	A He may have made some notes, too.	
6	Q You saw him make some notes, didn't you?	
7	A I don't recall seeing him make the notes, but he	
8	generally makes notes.	
9	Q Between May and November, he generally makes notes?	
10	A He generally makes notes.	
11	MR. GOULD: Could I have the notes, Mr. Sorkin?	
12	MR. SORKIN: You have them, Mr. Gould. I will	
13	direct your attention to the appropriate number. 501K, sic.	
14	THE COURT: As I understand it, Mr. D'Onofrio,	
15	in that period of time when you returned from the United King-	
16	dom to New York, you were coming down here a great deal	
17	and spending all your time talking when you did come here	
18	with Sorkin and Doonan?	
19	THE WITNESS: And a lot of other U.S. Attorneys.	
20	THE COURT: Who were the others, do you remember?	
21	THE WITNESS: Oh, God, Mr.Velie	
22	THE COURT: Franklin Velie?	
23	THE WITNESS: Mr. Goldon.	
24	THE COURT: John Gordon.	
25	THE WITNESS: I spoke to Mr. Gordon. I spoke to	

2 Mr. Wilson, I spoke to Mr. Ruy Giuliani in the Corruptions Division at the time --THE COURT: Please keep your voice up. THE WITNESS: I spoke to I think Mr. Giuliani, who was head of the Corruptions Division. He is a U. S. 7 Attorney, I think, but maybe not with that division any more. I spoke to a Mr. Wilson. I remember them by 9 cases, not the U.S. Attorney's name so much. 10 THE COURT: There were quite a few? 11 THE WITNESS: Many, many. 12 THE COURT: I gather that you spoke --13 THE WITNESS: Mr. Higgins. 14 THE COURT: -- about many other cases than Training 15 With the Pros? 16 THE WITNESS: I never had Training With the Pros 17 on my mind. 18 THE COURT: My question is, did you discuss other 19 cases including stock manipulations, etc? 20 THE WITNESS: All types of crimes. 21 THE COURT: With --22 THE WITNESS: Other U. S. Attorneys. 23 THE COURT: What about with Ira Sorkin, this man? 24 THE WITNESS: I had many visits with Mr. Sorkin 25 not only on Training With the Pros, but on other stock manip

D'Onofrio-cross

1

rmb-6

THE COURT: All right. Forgive me, Mr. Gould. Go

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

**22** 

23

24 25 ahead.

ulations.

BY MR. GOULD:

Q Now, sir, so that when you drew this narrative you had a number of conversations with Mr. Sorkin on which he made notes?

A Of Training With the Pros?

Q Only Training With the Pros. That is all I am interested in. You had had a number of conversations with him, right?

A Yes, sir.

Q And in those conversations you talked about what happened in Training With the Pros?

A Yes, sir.

Q You had told him in these five or six conversations that you say you remember now everything you could remember about Training With the Pros, right?

A Each time I could remember a little more.

Q I see. So that by the time you got to write this narrative, you had had five or six sessions with Sorkin, at least two sessions with Doonan over a period of approximately six months, and then you sat down to write the narrative?

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

D'Onofrio-cross

- That is correct. A
- That is the way it happened, right?
- Yes, sir.
- And the narrative, Exhibit 501CC, represents what you remembered in November 1973 after six sessions with Sorkin, the two sessions with Doonan, and your own reflections?
  - Α Yes, sir.
  - But you still wanted the right to change things?
  - Wanted the what? A
  - The right to change the narrative?
- Because I had no concentrated on the case at all, and I gave you the reason. So, as I was talking to them and they were making notes, I was in never, never land, not even thinking about it.
  - What do you mean?
- I was thinking about the other U.S. Attorneys A and other crimes and things I am involved with.
  - You are not in never, never land now? Q
  - Absolutely not.
  - You are concentra ting?
  - You darn right.
- When you said before that when you sat down to do 0 this narrative you had no preparation, that was an error, was it not?

.. -

FOLEY STARE NEW YORK HY CO 7 4580

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

rmb-9

## D'Onofrio-cross

- A Well, I considered it no preparation.
- Q You mean two sessions with Doonan and about six sessions with Sorkin, that was no preparation?

A They are limited sessions as to what was involved with Training With the Pros, and I considered it little or no preparation.

Q How long were you with Sorkin, just a few minutes, you say?

A No. Sometimes I was with him an hour, sometimes two hours, but we didn't discuss Training With the Pros --

Q How about three hours --

MR. SORKIN: I ask he be allowed to finish the answer.

- Q Yes, you finish your answer.
- A Sometimes I was with him for an hour and we discussed it ten minutes out of an hour.

Sometimes we spent one or two hours on this subject, then two hours on another subject, sometimes we spent an hour on this and four hours on another subject, sometimes we spent three hours on this and the rest of the day on another subject.

(Pause.)

MR. GOULD: What is the date on that?

MR. SORKIN: On the first 16 pages, I can only

25

1	rmb-10 D'Onofrio-cross
2	tell you it was subsequent to the last three of 11/15/73.
3	It is an accumulation of notes.
4	MR. GOULD: You will agree this represents 16
5	pages of notes?
6	MR. SORKIN: No, I will not. What I am represent-
7	ing to you is that it contains 16 pages followed by three
8	additional pages and the last three pages are 11, 15
9	MR. GOULD: These first 16 pages you agree were
10	not done on November 19?
11	MR. SORKIN: I don't believe they were.
12	MR. GOULD: You don't know when they were done?
13	MR. SORKIN: No, but they were well past 11/15/73.
14	MR. GOULD: How do you know that?
15	MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, am I being asked as a
16	witness? I am trying to help Mr. Gould and I am being cross-
17	examined.
18	THE COURT: May I suggest that we will now break to
19	luncheon and I think you two better have your tete-a-tete out
20	of our presence and we will resumed at 2:15.
21	(Luncheon recess.)
22	
23	

gab-l

AFTERNOON SESSION 2:15 P.M.

(In open court; jury present.)

3

1

MR. GOULD: I gather those other people are not

coming in.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

N. D'ONOFRIO resumed. RAMON

THE COURT: I was just going to ask the same

question.

MR. SORKIN: They are in no immediate rush to get

back. They have agreed to come back tomorrow morning.

I thought Mr. Gould could continue with his cross, your

Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

CROSS-EXAMINATION (continued)

BY MR. GOULD:

Mr. D'Onofrio, do you remember testifying in this case about being present at a time when Mr.Stoller was with you and he called a lady, he called Eleanor Wein? Do you recall that?

Yes, sir.

And you were asked -- I am reading from Page 286 df the transcript. 'You were asked a question:

Tell us, please, what Mr. Stoller said. "Q

He said, 'Eleanor, dear, this is Phil,' and she said, 'Hello'".

the narrative, is that correct?

24

	11	
1	gab-3	D'Onofrio-gross
2	A	That's correct, sir.
3	Q	And then something reminded you of it, and when
4	you were re	esponding to Mr. Sorkin's questions, you remembered
5	it and you	told the whole conversations?
6	A	Reminiscing thereafter many times, yes, sir.
7	Q	Yes. You discussed
8	A	In fact, the conversation may not still be
9	precise in	the testimony I gave here; in substance.
10	Q	You remember the exact words, do you not?
11	A	I never remember exact words, I remember substance
12	sometimes a	agjectives or adverbs.
13	Q	You said in your testimony, he said, Stoller
14	A	I remember calling her dear, yes.
15	Q	He said, "Eleanor, dear, this is Phil," and she
16	said "Hell	o. What can I do for you today?"
17		You remember that, don't you?
18	A	My best recollection.
19	Q	The exact words?
20	A	I don't know if it is exact words, sir.
21	Q	But you testified that you were giving the exact
22	words, did	n't you?
23	A	I testified that was my best recollection of what
24	was said a	nd that was the conversation. Exact words, I
25	would have	to be a genius to remember ifs, ands and buts and

STHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS U.S. COURTHOUSE

1	gab-5 D'Onofrio-cross
2	A My best recollection.
3	Q Did she say "Let me put my house in order and
4	see how much I can buy"?
5	A It is what I testified to yesterday or the day
6	before yesterday.
7	Q But you didn't testify to that just now, did you?
8	A No, that's correct.
9	Q All right. What happened after that?
10	A I am not a memory expert, Mr. Gould.
11	Q You tell us your best recollection today of what
12	you testified to 48 hours ago about that conversation.
13	A Then youmean in that context, what happened
14	then next?
15	Q The words that you testified to 48 hours ago.
16	Go on, you had more, didn't you? That isn't where the con-
17	versation stopped, was it?
. 18	A With Eleanor Wein?
19	Q Eleanor Wein. That is all we are talking about.
20	You are on the phone with Stoller and Eleanor Wei
21	and Stoller is trying to get her to go into the stock and
22	you are telling us what he said to her and she said to
23	him.
24	Tell it to us again.

After she said, "Let me see what I can do," or as

gab-6 D'Onofrio-cross 1 you said the other day, "Let me put my house in order, 2 I can't promise anything." 3 What was the next thing that happened? The next thing I recall, I told him that I had 5 put -- I don't know if this precedes this or aftercedes 6 it. 7 I had put Baer, Wald & DeBoer on it, another member 8 firm and, Jerry, what were you doing about it. He says he 9 was trying to get Brad Thurlow into it. 10 I said, "You boys seems to be doing nothing and I 11 am doing everything." 12 You didn't tell us that the other day, did you, 13 that part of the conversation? 14 MR. SORKIN: Objection, your Honor. I think it 15 is --16 THE COURT: I will say that we will let the jury 17 recall that one. 18 Do you remember whether you said that? 19 Yes, I remember I said that. 20 End 1A PM 22 23 24

body for \$15,000 and have him run over by a truck."

1 gwrf 3 D'Onofrio-cross 583 I says, "Please, Phil, don't talk" -- I'm an Italian." I savs --You said to him you are an Italian? Yes, I'm an Italian, but by nature I'm not that kind of a person you are indicting." I said, "My walls have ears. Let's not have any more conversation like that." Jerry says to Phil, "what are you worried about anyway? We have plenty on Joe onavia anyway." Then we talked further about giving him some shares of lettered stock, 50,000 shares of lettered stock. Is that the conversation you are referring to? Yes, that is the conversation. You remember it very well now, don't you? I don't know. You have got the papers. I may be different --Mr. D'Onofrio, you have just repeated now that you said on Monday, haven't you? I don't know if it is word for word. How many times --0 Substance. Λ How many times have you repeated the exact words 25 that you uttered here today? ...

	H	·
1	gwrf 4	D'Onofrio-cross 584
2	A	The exact words?
3	0	Yes.
4	Λ	I think I repeated them similarly to you the
5	other day.	
6	0	To me?
7	A 1	No, excuse me, Mr. Sorkin or to the Court.
8	0 1	Before that how many times had you repeated those
9	words?	
10	A C	Once maybe or twice to Mr. Sorkin.
11	0	I see.
12	1	But that
13	A i	Even then it might have been different.
14	0 1	What you told was not in your narrative back in
15	November, i	is it?
16	Λ 7	That is correct.
17	Ú A	You left it cut?
18	, A 1	said there is so much left out of that narrative.
19	That is a 1	little page. The first brief is three times that
20	size.	
21	r 0	The first brief?
22	л т	The first book you got there from the other day,
23	it is three	e times the size of my narrative.
24	0 Y	You mean this testimony, this transcript?
25	A Y	'es. So it is certainly

25

gwrf 5 D'Onofrio-cross 585 When for the first time did you tell anybody about this conversation at which Stoller was going to have Bonavia, have him run over by a truck for \$15,000? I guess I told them this, to the United States Government, a couple of months ago or something like that, a month ago. 0 You mean it wasn't dredged up in your minduntil a couple of months ago? No, sir. A That was the first time you remembered that? I recall that particular part of the conversation. And I suppose the same is true about the conversation with Mrs. Wein because you hadn't mentioned it in your narrative? No, I recall that a lot earlier. How much earlier? I recall that at about a month after the narrative. Did you tell them about it a month after? If we were interviewed at that time, it would probably show on their notes when I did remember that. 23 But you don't know --

I don't recall the exact date, no, sir.

But this one you only remembered a couple of months

And then they showed you before the grand jury this

1	gwrf 7	D'Onofrio-cross	587
2	paper, wh	ich is Exhibit 3501-CC, the 16-page	document that
3	you signed in December 1973 or thereabouts?		
4	A	November, was it?	
5	n	November, 1973. They showed you th	hat paper,
6	didn't the	ev?	
7	А	Yes, sir.	
8	0	And you were asked this question,	weren't you
9	A	By whom, sir?	
10	0	Py Mr. Sorkin.	
11		MR. SORKIN: Excuse me, Mr. Gould.	Page,
12	please?		
13		MR. GOULD: Right on the front pag	e, right in the
14	beginning	of that, 3501-f.	
15			
16			
17			•,
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

Q "Q Am I correct, Mr. D'Onofrio, that this document was prepared by you at the request of myself and Mr. Thomas Doonan of the United States Attorney's office?

"A That is correct.

"C Am I also correct that when you prepared this document you were basing your recollection of the events that had transpired and you did not have before you certain documents such as transfer records and so forth to refresh your recollection?

"A That is correct, I had no supporting documents."

MR. GOULD: I will skip the next question and answer, if you have no objection, Mr. Sorkin --

MR. SORKIN: For the sake of completeness, why don't you go through it?

"O Other than your own immediate documents such as a work sheet?

"A Some work sheets and very little documentation.

"Q Now, am I correct, Mr. D'Onofrio, that since
you prepared this document you and I have sat down, we
have gone over the documents and in certain areas I
asked you specific questions about statements that
you ade in the documents and you reviewed certain records
that were in my possession and certain records that you
came to acquire and you made certain corrections on this

Do you remember what else you didn't mention?

25

23

24

25

rmrf 7

A I am sorry. You are talking to Mr. Sorkin?

MR. GOULD: Page 304.

Mr. D'Onofrio, tell us, please, what you said to Bonavia and what he said to you?

"λ Joe Bonavia came about an hour before the other meeting. He said, 'Ray, I want to speak to you alone.' I said, 'What is up, Joe?' He says, 'I'm worried.' I said, 'What are you worried about?' He says, 'I think Phil is going to kill me. I am very much afraid of him. If I go to the garage or talk to anybody, he will kill me --"

- A The garage, sir?
- That is what it says here.

The grand jury. Even the court reporter makes mistakes. At least we are all human.

MR. GOULD: I am going to change it physically, with your Honor's permission.

MR. SORKIN: I consent to that, your Honor. BY MR. GOULD:

"'If I go to the grand jury or talk to anybody, he will kill me. They want me to use Marty Frank for my lawyer for my problems with the United States Attorney's office.'

- "0 Did he say who 'they' were?
- "A Phil and Jerry." You remember that?
- A Yes, sir.

3

.

5

6

7

q

10

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q You remember the rest of the conversation, don't you, the rest of what Bonavia said?

- A He wanted a lawyer and I went and hired one.
- O No, no, no.
- A You mean on the second meeting?
- O No, the same meeting. After he said, "They want me to use Marty Frank as my lawyer for my problems with the United States Attorney's office," you were asked:
  - "Q Did he say who they were?

"A Phil and Jerry and then Mr. Sorkin said go ahead and I went ahead.

"Q Now, I want you to go ahead and tell me the rest of the conversation.

"A The rest of the conversation was I believe I said I went to the phone to call Professor Duke in order to get him a lawyer and I then returned from the phone and told him that I have a very good lawyer named Pat Wall, just out of the office of Edward Bennett Williams, he is a very big trial lawyer, and I don't think he would have to worry, that he is a darn good lawyer and he will be in good hands."

- O You are sure that was what was said?
- A In substance.
- O Well, now, sir, the other day when you were

23

24

25

rmrf 9

D'Onofrio-cross

596

questioned about this conversation and Mr. Sorkin said,
"Go ahead," you said that Bonavia then made another
statement. Don't you remember that? Not about the lawyer,
about something else?

- A I don't recall at this time.
- O You remember the conversation, don't you?
- A I says in substance, sir.
- Q You didn't tell us that the other day, did you, you said you knew the words?
  - A To the best of my recollection.
- And I want your recollection right now as to what it was that Bonavia said after the part of the conversation in which he said, "They want me to use Marty Frank as my lawyer for my problems with the United States Attorney's office."
- A He says, "I don't want to use Marty Frank. I don't trust Marty Frank. He is their lawyer, not mine."
  - O That is what he said?
  - A That is my best recollection.
  - Q Anything else?
  - A There could be something else, but I can't recall.
  - Q But you don't remember it now?
  - A No.
  - Q You remembered it Monday, didn't you?

A He made the mistake Monday or someone else did on the word grand jury, so please don't chastise me for making mistakes in between.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, - S. COUPTE, USE

3
4

Q I don't want to take advantage of any mistakes,
I just want to know whether you really honestly know what
Bonavia said?

Bonavi

A In substance, yes.

6

7

5

Q On Monday you didn't tell us substance, you said the words, didn't you?

8

A I just said the words again.

9

Q No, you tell me again what the words were that Bonavia said, the whole conversation from that point on, what you said on Monday under oath Bonavia said, the words.

11

10

A Where do you want me to begin?

12

13

Q At the point where you answered in response to
Mr. Sorkin's question, "They want me to use Marty FRank as my

14

lawyer for my problems with the United States Attorney's

16

office."

Did he say who they were?

18

17

A Phil and Jerry.

19

"Mr. Sorkin: Go ahead," And you knew what he meant when he said go ahead?"

20

21

A To continue the conversation.

22

Q And I want you to repeat it for this jury the way you did it the other day when he said go ahead.

23

A Mr. Bonavia said, "They want me to use Marty Frank and I don't want to use Marty Frank because Marty is their

24

And you told Bonavia, "I got a great man for you,"

Correct.

24

rmb	-3
-----	----

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## D'Onofrio-cross

in substance, "Pat Wall used to be with Edward Bennett Williams."

- A Yes, sir.
- Q You told it to him in that conversation?
- A Yes, sir.
  - Q And that is what you told us the other day?
  - A I think I did, sir.
- Q You think you did. With respect to the next conversation, and I am referring to Page 307 of the transcript, you testified then that the meeting you had with Bonavia was about 20 minutes before Stoller and Allen came in, correct?
- A Yes, sir.
  - Q And it was in that conversation that Stoller said, as I remember your testimony, something about Stoller threatened to bury Mr. Bonavia. You used the word "bury."
    - A Yes.
      - Q And you remember he said bury.
    - A I recall it, sir.
      - Q That conversation is not in your narrative, is it?
    - A No, sir.
  - Q And it is not in the grand jury testimony, the one in January of '74 whenyou corrected the narrative?
    - A I had not recalled it at that time, sir.
    - Q But you did in that grand jury testimony describe

5

6

7

8

9

2 | the conversation?

- A Perhaps I didn't describe it completely, sir.
- Q You don't remember what you said?
- A No, sir.

MR. SORKIN: Is that still the grand jury testimony?

MR. GOULD: Still January.

Q In plain English, you didn't put it in your narrative and you didn't put it in the grand jury, the threat to bury?

A Not that I didn't put it in, I wasn't asked the question.

Q You didn't tell anybody about that conversation in the narrative, did you?

A The grand jury is a question and answer program.

You don't narrate, like I am not allowed to narrate with

you.

Q Mr. D'Onofrio, the testimony, the story, the recollection about Stoller threatening to bury Bonavia is not in your narrative?

A That's correct.

Q And then after the narrative youhad a number of sessions with Sorkin and Doonan and other people in which you refreshed your recollection and then you went before the

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

D'Onofrio-cross

grand jury on January 10, 1974, and you added or corrected, right?

- A The narrative, yes, sir.
- Q But you didn't mention the threat of Stoller to bury Bonavia?
  - A No, sir.
- Q When for the first time did you think of that one?

  MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, I object. He has testi
  fied to that on several occasions. I think he said it

  was about a month ago.

THE WITNESS: About a month ago.

THE COURT: By this time I wouldn't be sure, but rather than argue, let's ask him.

THE WITNESS: About a month ago.

- Q When for the first time did you mention that conversation to anybody?
  - A About a month ago.
- Q That is something else that occurred to you about a month ago. Then there was a meeting, was there not, in February or March 1971, and I am referring to testimony which appears at Page 310 of the transcript, and as I understand it, you testified that Stoller said, "I am going to tell you something, Mr. D'Onofrio, if you mention anything at that trial about Phil, Jerry, Training With the Pros, or

24

25

the act.

something that took place years after the act, that it was

important enough to mention in my narrative --years after

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

rmb-7

D'Onofrio-cross

- Q How many years after the act was it?
- A A couple of years.
- Q It was in February or March '71, you said?
- A That's right.
- Q You told about a lot --

MR. SORKIN: I missed the last answer.

THE WITNESS: That is two years after the act, after the conspiracy and the crime.

Q You have told about a lot of things that happened in '70 and '71, haven't you?

A Yes, but I wasn't questioned as to whether I ever received threats from Mr. Stoller or asked about it by anyone.

If I had been asked, I would have told them.

Q We can agree on one thing. You never told this story about rapping him in the mouth until about a month ago, isn't that right?

A I told the story of the visit and the elements of the visit to the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

O When?

A A long, long time ago, when Phil visited my
office. I told them he visited my office and was trying to
make arrangements to get tax leniency in order to be a
witness against Mr. Pfingst and wanted to have me make arrangements with him with the Eastern District of New York that he

rmb-8

## D'Onofrio-cross

would become a witness against Mr. Pfingst and he says, "I can even tell Mr. Ryan the color of Mr. Pfingst's tie the day he was talking about the bribe."

During that conversation I recalled then but

I did not tell the FBI --

- Q You told us you did tell the FBI --
- A But I recall at that time what took place and he even told me he would tape the FBI, Mr. Scuderi, but I did not bring it out in this conversation because it is unrelated to this case. If you ask me, there is more to that conversation, about him taping Mr. Tony Scuderi in his child's room, but it didn't relate to the case and I didn't think it was important enough to mention at that time.
- Q In dealing with my questions, don't exercise any editorial powers. Just listen to the question and if you can answer it, answer it and if you can't, his Honor will help you on it.
  - A I am sorry.
- Q I want to know when and where you told this story about Stoller threatening you, threatening to bury you and you hitting him in the mouth, when you told it to the FBI --
  - A No, sir, I said I recalled it at that time.
  - Q But you didn't tell --

....

End 2B

A I told them about the part of the conversation that related to them about him taping them, and they knew they were being taped, they said, but that is when I recalled it.

THE COURT: Unless you object, I would like to take a recess at this point. Is that all right?

MR. GOULD: Certainly.

THE COURT: We will take a recess at this point, ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Gould and Mr. Sorkin, if you can come over here.

(Discussion off the record in the robing room.)
(Recess.)

3

5

7

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right, gentlemen.

BY MR. GOULD:

Mr. D'Onofrio, without going back over a lot of things --

I heard nothing of what you just said, I am sorry. A

I am sorry, we will wait until things quieten down. Keep in mind now these things I have been asking you about, the Eleanor Wein thing, the Bonavia meetings, the threats and so on. Can we agree between us that not only didn't you have it in the narrative and in the January 1974 grand jury testimony, you appeared before the grand jury on July 10, 1973, do you remember that?

Α I don't recall it, no, sir.

MR. GOULD: Mr. Sorkin --

MR. SORKIN: I will concede that.

You appeared before the grand jury July 10, 1973, November 19, 1973, January 10, 1973, January 17, 1973, and the last one that we mentioned before --

MR. SORKIN: Excuse me, Mr. Gould . I think that is January 17, 1974.

MR. GOULD: Excuse me, '74.

Those were your appearances before the grand jury and in none of those appearances before the grand jury did you mention --

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

MR. SORKIN: Excuse me, Mr. Gould. There is one other that he appeared, 3/19/74, and it is 3501H.

MR. GOULD: All right.

Q March 19, 1974.

MR. SORKIN: 3501H.

Q Let's get it clear. You appeared before the grand jury July 10, 1973, November 19, 1973, January 10, 1973, January 17, 1973. March 19, 1974, and in all of those you were questioned about Training With the Pros, correct?

- A Exclusively, sir?
- O No.
- A I am sorry.
- Q Not exclusively.
- A All right. Then I accept that as correct.
- Q All right. Can we agree, sir, that in none of those appearances did you ever mention the Wein, Stoller call to Eleanor Wein?
  - A That's correct, sir.
- Q And in none of those did you ever mention the meeting, yourself, Stoller and Allen at the time that Bonavia had been called bythe United States Attorney's office when Stoller said he would have him hit by a truck and killed?
  - A That's correct.
  - Q Never mentioned it in any of them?

gab-3 D'Onofrio-cross

- A In those grand jury appearances?
- Q That's right.
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q In none of those did you ever repeat the testimony which you gave at Pages 364 and 5 of the transcript in this trial, conversation between you and Bonavia in which Bonavia said he was worried, according to you, because Phil is going to kill me and so on?
  - A That's correct.
  - Q Never mentioned it in any of them?
  - A That's correct.
- Q In none of those did you ever mention the conversation which is referred to at Page 307 of the transcript in which Stoller threatened to bury Mr. Bonavia?
  - A That's correct, sir.
- Q In None of those did you mention the meeting in February or March 1971 in which, according to your testimony, at Page 310 of the transcript Stoller threatened to bury D'Onofrio if he revealed the Swiss transactions during his cross-examination in the Pfingst trial?
  - A That's correct, sir.
- Q All right. Now, sir, when you heard Mr. Stoller threaten to have violence done to Bonavia, violence at one point to you, that disturbed you, did it not?

	gab-4	Dionofria anna
1	gab-4	D'Onofrio-cross
2	A	Did it disturb me?
3	Q	Yes.
4	A	I think I acted on my own when he threatened me.
5	Q	You hit him in the mouth?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Then you walked out of the room?
8	A	Yes, sir.
9	Q	What did he do when you hit him in the mouth?
10	A	His eye glasses fell to the ground or to the floor
11	Q	Did he bleed?
12	A	No, sir.
13	Q	He didn't try to hit you back, did he?
14	A	No, sir. He called up the next day and apologized
15	for his act	ions.
16	Q	He apologized to you?
17	A	For his actions to me.
18	Q	I see. That is the first time you mentioned that
19	isn't it?	
20	A	That's right, sir. No one asked about it before.
21	Q	And then thereafter you were good friends?
22	A	No, sir. We were never friends. Just business
23	partners.	
24		MR. GOULD: Would you be good enough to mark this

for identification, please.

1)

D'Onofrio-cross

XXX 2

(Defendant Stoller Exhibit G was marked for identification.)

MR. GOULD: For identification. Thank you.

- Q Now, Mr. D'Onofrio, have you made threats against any of the people involved in this matter?
  - A Mr.Stoller.
  - O Just to Mr.Stoller?
  - A I think just to Mr. Stoller, yes, sir.
- Q Would you please tell us when and where and under what circumstances you have made these threats to Mr. Stoller?

appeared in Zurich, Switzerland, in late '73 or early '73, which I couldn't recall, and after I told Marty Frank to get his ass out of Switzerland and promised me -- he promised me he would take care of Phil and make sure he would cause no more disturbance. The following day the bank informed me that Marty did not attend the meeting, which means I succeeded in at least having Marty not be with him and, however. Marty left Phil with a full power of attorney.

MR. SORKIN: May we find out who at the bank informed you?

and another gentleman from the bank. I do not remember his name, a president of the bank.

gab-6	D'Onofrio-cross 611
Q	Do you have a time when
A	I told you the day after. If you would check
Mr.Frank's	passport, when he left and came back, it would be
that day.	
Q	Don't you remember what year it was?
A	No, I am sorry.
Q ·	The year?
A	I said either late '72 or early '73.
Q	Winter or summer?
A	I said late '72, November or December, or January
of '73.	I don't recall.
Q	This is in Switzerland?
A	Winter.
Q	This is in Switzerland?
A	Yes, sir.

- Tell us what happened. All I want to know is
- when and where you threatened Mr. Stoller? 18
  - I am getting to that point, sir. You didn't let me finish answering.
    - I will let you finish.
  - All right. So the bank was very disturbed and said I had not succeeded because even though Marty Frank didn't come to the meeting, Mr. Stoller was left with a power of attorney for Mr. Frank to act on his behalf.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

## D'Onofrio-cross

That didn't disturb me too much, but a couple of days later the bank called me back again, Bank Hofmann.

Q I don't want to interrupt you. All I asked you was when and where?

A I am getting to it, sir.

Q Try answering my question first, please, and we will save everybody's time.

THE COURT: Tell him when this was.

telegram from Mr. Frank. I wrote a letter to Mr.Frank, and the substance of the letter, as best as I can recollect it, is: "Dear Marty: If you don't take care of your partner and watch him in some way, shape or form, take care of him, keep him out of my hair at Bank Hofmann -- you know I am about to make a deal -- I will make a deal some day with the Government. When I do get back to the Government, I am going to bury him. He is your partner. I don't hold you in lawyer confidence with this letter. I want you to repeat it to him because he is your partner, "something to that effect. That letter was sent a long time ago. I have never seen it since, so I cannot recall exactly.

- Q You say it was in late '72?
- A or early '73.
- Q or early '73?

A To the best of my recollection.

Q But you have already told us about many conversations in1968 and 1969, haven't you?

A Yes, sir, but those I reviewed in my mind before.

Q Would you review in your mind so that you can conscientiously tell his Honor and the jury just exactly what threat you made against --

A I threatened to bury him with the Department of Justice. Herbert was so -- Herbert helped me create the letter. He gave me a document that showed that I could incriminate --

Q You could what?

A He gave me a document from the bank that bore Mr. Allen's and Mr.Stoller's signature. He says, "Add this to the letter. This way Marty will make -- they will know you mean business, that we don't want their asses back over here."

Besides the letter I enclosed a document which had their signatures on a photostat on a Swiss bank account document.

Q You remember all of this, it is all clear in your mind?

A Not all clear, no. It is the first time it has been brought to me in a couple of years. It is coming to

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 me. Keep going.

Q I am going to try.

THE COURT: That will bring us to our next subject.

Before we get to it, Mr. Witness, would you please

6 tell me, are we to understand you to say that the only threats

you recall you made was against Stoller, but it was in the

8 form of a letter to this man Frank, is that so?

A And I released him from confidence --

THE COURT: No.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Please, I didn't ask you that. I am confused and I am only trying to clarify it.

THE WITNESS: Against Stoller, you are correct, sir.

THE COURT: It was in the form of this letter to the lawyer Frank?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And you think it was in late '72 or early '73, in the winter?

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. Gould, I don't want to have to say this again to your people who apparently feel free to interrupt you from back there. I would ask you gentlemen no more snickers.

You are perfectly free to have your thoughts about what is

1.7

going on, but please do not interrupt your own champion here,
Mr. Gould, when he is examining.

And also I think that it could be understood that we only need one person jumping up at a time to assist Mr. Gould. It is a little bit confusing to the persons here other than you. One at a time.

This does not bind you, Mr. Stoller. Of course, you are entitled to slide across there. On this end, gentlemen, the lawyers don't have to keep jumping up and bending back and forth as much as you have in certain periods today. I know you didn't mean this. I want you to keep it in mind from now on.

Let's go ahead, and I am sure we won't have any more interruptions.

- Q Do I understand you to have said to his Honor that was the only time you ever threatened Stoller?
  - A The only time to my recollection, sir.
  - Q How about Frank, did you threaten Frank?
- A I don't ever remember threatening Mr.Frank. I don't recall. I may have threatened him in the context of that letter because he is his partner, he is likely to go down the drain with him.

THE COURT: I think the question is -MR. FELDSHUH: May I have that answer?

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## D'Onofrio-cross

THE WITNESS: Because he is his partner, he is likely to go down the drain with him, and get the hell away from him.

THE COURT: Before we were interrupted, other than this letter I believe the cross-examiner is asking for.

THE WITNESS: No other time.

THE COURT: One thing to you, Mr. Witness. Please listen to these questions. Don't offer any more than you are asked. Remember, this came up and I asked you to do this with Mr. Sorkin. The same rule applies with this gentle man, Mr.Gould. It will apply with Mr. Feldshuh.

THE WITNESS: I apllogize.

THE COURT: Can we now go ahead and make some speed here?

> MR. GOULD: Yes, sir.

I show you this document, which has been marked as Defendant Stoller Exhibit G for identification.

Can you tell me if that is the letter that you wrote to Mr.Frank or a Xerox of it, a reproduction of it?

Yes, sir, this is the letter except it is missing the documents.

But you wrote this letter? You wrote it with your 0 own hand?

It is not complete as to what was in it, sir.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q It is all I have got.

A There was sample documents in it, sir.

Q For the moment this certainly is the letter, that is all --

A That is part of the enclosure of the letter that you just --

Q I want to ask a couple of questions about this letter, Mr. Witness. You said before that in this letter you told Mr. Frank that if Stoller didn't get off your back, you were going to bury him, is that right?

A That's the words I thought I used, yes, sir.

Q What did you mean by "bury" him?

A I would testify against him if the United States

Government required or asked if I had any knowledge of any

crimes that he committed with me on any one or more occasions.

Q So you were threatening him with that.
What did you want him to do?

A Get off my back.

Q What does that mean, "get off my back"?

A Start -- st >> telling the bank that Freddie and I stole \$40,000 from Marty Frank.

Q That is what you complained about?

A Viciously.

Q Viciously?

		618
1	gab-13	D'Onofrio-cross
2	A A	Yes. Viciously means with strength.
3	Q	I see. Vigorously.
4	A	Vigorously. That's the better word.
5	Q	All right. What you mean is you were angry with M
6	Stoller b	ecause he had told the bank that you had stolen
7	\$40,000 f	rom Mr. Frank?
8	<b>A</b>	No, that Mr. Herbert and I had stolen it.
9	Q	And he had already told that to the bank, right?
10	A	And he continued to do so.
11	Q	You mean he kept telling them
12	A	He kept coming over every week.
13	Q	You saw him when he was there?
14	A	No, only on the one occasion, through the opaque
15	glass and	on exiting my office I saw him.
16	Q	That's what you wanted him to stop?
17	A	Yes, sir.
18	Q	Did you ask him for any money?
19	A	Me ask Phil for money?
20	Q	Yes.
21	A	No, sir.
22	Q	Never?
23	A	Never.
24	Q	I see.
25		Did you ask Mr.Frank for any money?

li

A No, sir.

Q When you said in the letter, and your Honor will excuse the language, "I also know you talked to Feeney. Tell him from me I've got him by the balls also."

A That is correct.

Q That is what you wrote in the letter?

A Yes, sir.

Q Is that right?

A Yes, sir.

End 3A 10

zz

()

1	gwrf 2 D'Onofrio-cross	
2	A I can't recall, sir. If you could look at the	
3	postmark, you could see. It is probably the same day.	-
4	O You wrote it from England, didn't you?	
5	A I don't know. It may have been mailed from England	
6	Ω Don't you remember writing it?	
7	A I wrote it in Switzerland.	
8	O You did?	
9	A Yes. If it is mailed from England, I used	
10	stewardesses as couriers, to have all my mail dropped	-
11	from either Italy in fact, some mail I had dropped in	
12	Folev Square that went to Brodsky. He didn't understand	
13	how it got here. I used stewardesses to courier my mail	
14	O You wrote it from Switzerland but you put British	
15	stamps on it?	
16	A No, I didn't use British stamps. I gave it to	
17	a stewardess who had her next flight to mail it.	
18	O Why did you do that?	
19	A Because I didn't want people to know I was in	
20	Zurich. I was a fugitive, Mr. Gould.	
21	o You didn't mind the stewardesses knowing you were	
22	in Zurich, did you?	
23	A No, I did not mind them knowing it.	
24	O How many stewardesses knew you were in Zurich?	
25	A Three or four.	

(7

	622
1	gwrf 3 D'Onofrio-cross
2	Q You were afraid the mailman would find out you were
3	in Zurich, is that it?
4	A The mailman?
5	O Yes.
6	A Swiss mailman?
7	Q Yes.
8	A I had good relationships with the Swiss police
9	at the time and had authority to stay there
10	O What were you afraid of?
11	A I was afraid of the Department of Justice.
12	Q You are sure you wrote this from Zurich?
13	A Yes.
14	Q So it must have been before what date was it
15	you went to England?
16	A March 1st.
17	Q So it was before March 1, 1973?
18	A Yes. When I gave it to somebody to mail, they
19	could have mailed it a week later, two days later. At
20	that time they had what they called bomb scare mails and
21	stewardesses were worried about taking any letters for
22	friends because they had these bombs in it.
23	Q They weren't worried about taking your letters,
24	were they?
25	A They were worried about any kind of letters.

1	gwrf 4 D'Onofrio-cross
2	O But they did it?
3	A Eventually, yes.
4	Now I find out he has the letter.
5	Q We have it that you wrote this letter then some-
6	time between what month?
7	A December November, December or January.
8	Q November, December '72, January '73?
9	A That is correct, sir.
10	Q Right?
11	A That is correct, sir.
12	Q How about March, could it have been March?
13	A It could have been mailed by the stewardess later.
14	I could have wrote it in February and it could have been
15	mailed a week later. You have to watch the postal
16	dates. They reverse them in foreign countries.
17	Q When you wrote this letter you said Bob Morvillo's
18	offer sounds better, juicier daily?
19	A To me, yes.
20	Q What offer did you have from Bob Morvillo?
21	A The one you spelled out to me in the memorandum.
22	$\Omega$ You mean the one that is in the memorandum that
23	we were talking about yesterday?
24	A Yes, sir, the one that said I could plead guilty
25	to one count. He could not promise my any jail; if I

. . .

gwrf 5 D'Onofrio-cross

needed protective custody, he could get it for me and my family and about \$800 a month income, and as the days went by and the more I started to think, it sounded juicier to me every day.

O Morvillo never told you he would do those things, did he?

A He said he would agree to those things in that -over the telephone and in the memorandum and give me my
passport so I could work.

MR. SORKIN: That is Defendant's Exhibit B.

O You are telling us now that as of the end of October, November or December 1972 you had a proposal from Morvillo?

A No, sir. I had a telephonic communication with them in which he made offers to me, and the more I thought about them and the more I kept living abroad, the more it sounded juicier and the more I was going to call him up and say I'm coming back, when can I meet you? Just what I said to Marty in the letter. It sounds juicier to me every day.

Q Did Marty know about the offer from Morvillo?

A He didn't know the full extent of the offer, just that I was negotiating with them.

- O Did Stoller know about your offer?
- A I have no knowledge.

	023
1	gwrf 6 D'Onofrio-cross
2	MR. GOULD: I will offer in evidence the document,
3	Stoller Exhibit G.
4	MR. SORKIN: No objection, your Honor.
5	(Defendant Stoller Exhibit G received in
6	evidence.)
7	MR. GOULD: I will just read this to the jury, with
8	your Honor's permission. It will take a minute.
9	O One question.
10	You say you released Mr. Frank from attorney-
11	client confidence?
12	A Yes, in another letter which you evidently do
13	not have.
14	Q Do you have it?
15	A I just sent it. I remember
16	Q When you sent him this, you wanted him to show
17	this to Stoller, didn't you?
18	A That is correct. I think I recall sending him
19	another letter releasing him from all attorney-client
20	in reference to Stoller.
21	Q Mr. D'Onofrio, would you please just answer my
22	question. When you sent him this letter, Stoller Exhibit G
23	in evidence, you wanted him to show it to Mr. Stoller and
•	

хx

25

you wanted him to show it to Feeney, didn't you?

I wanted him to talk to Feeney.

	626
1	gwrf7 D'Onofrio-cross
2	O You wanted him to tell Feeney what you said?
3	A That's right.
4	0 So
5	A I wanted him to tell Stoller what I said.
6	Q If that is so, why did you write at the top of the
7	letter, "In client, counsel confidence."
8	A I didn't want him to give it to the United States
9	Government.
10	Q When you said, "in client, counsel confidence,"
11	that meant you could show it to anybody you want but the
12	United States Government?
13	A No, you can show it to Phil and I mean to
14	Phil Stoller or Jim Feeney, but not to any governmental
15	agency.
16	O That is what you meant by the words "In client,
17	counsel confidence"?
18	A Yes.
19	O Thank you very much.
20	(Mr. Gould read from Defendant Stoller Exhibit
21	G in evidence to the jury.)
22	Q Now, Mr. D'Onofrio, you have told us, have you
. 23	not, that this is the only threat that you ever made to
24	Mr. Stoller?
05	

The only one I ever recall, sir.

1	gwrf 8 D'Onofrio-cross
2	O Would you think for about ten seconds and see if
3	you can recall any others?
4	A I can't recall any others, sir.
5	O You said in the letter "For the final and last
6	time," you were not referring to any others?
7	A I was referring to a conversation I had with
8	Marty in Zurich, Switzerland when I toldhim to get Phil
9	off my back.
10	Q When you said, "For the final and last time," you
11	meant Mr. Frank, this is the last time I'm going to tell
12	you to do it?
13	A To tell him.
14	O I see, good.
15	Was there another conversation in which you said
16	you wanted Mr. Frank to get out of Switzerland?
17	A Yes not another conversation. I think it was
18	the same conversation.
19	O You threatened Mr. Frank, didn't you?
20	A I told him to get out of Switzerland. I was
21	advising him, not threatening him.
2 <b>2</b>	Q You said, didn't you, "Get your ass out of
23	Switzerland"?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	Q "You don't belong in Switzerland, you have never

That was friendly advice?

gwrf 10 D'Onofrio-cross
λ It was darn good advice.
Q But friendly?
A Yes, because we were in a friendly conversation.
Q Not menacing?
A Excuse me, sir?
Q You were not menacing?
A With Mr. Frank, never.
O Now, did you in that conversation threaten Mr.
Stoller?
A On a conversation with Mr. Frank?
O Yes.
A Yes, I think I told him, too, "I'm fed up with
the guy. I'm up to my eyeballs with him. Keep him the
hell out of Switzerland. Keep him away." He just kept coming
back.
' Q Didn't you say to Mr. Frank, "I'm telling you
one thing, Marty, if I ever get back and make my deal
with the United States Government, I am going to hang
your goddam partner for getting you to do this and for making
me smaller in the eyes of the bank and my friends than I am"?
Did you tell him that?
A Yes, sir, I did.
O That was a threat to Stoller, wasn't it?
A It is the same threat as the other one.

630 ·
gwrf 11 D'Onofrio-cross
O This was at a different time from when you wrote
the letter?
A No, one was a conversation and the other was the
· letter following it because it didn't succeed.
Q How long was it between the letter and the
conversation?
A I believe only a couple of three or four days.
O I see.
Then you said about Mr. Stoller, "I have no love
for him"?
A I told you that, too, sir.
Q Yes. You said, "He is nothing but a goniff"?
A Yes.
O What is a goniff?
A A thief, but beyond that.
O Worse than a thief?
A Worse than a thief, worse than me.
Q Worse than you?
A Yes, sir.
O And then you said to him, and this was also
I assume a friendly piece of advice, "Marty, get your
ass on a plane and get the hell out of here, otherwise you
have nothing but tzuris"?
A My sister doesnt' know how to spell Jewish

	0.31
1	gwrf 12 D'Onofrio-cross
2	words too well.
3	Q You didn't say that Stoller would have nothing
4	A I said that Marty is going to have nothing but
5	tzuris.
6	O That was friendly advice, too?
7	A That is friendly advice. You are going to have
8	problems living with a goniff like that, bad company.
9	Q You were trying to protect Mr. Frank
10-	A Darn right I was. I wish he would have taken my
11	advice.
12	Q As you sit there can you think of any other
13	friendly advice that you gave either Stoller or Mr. Frank?
14	A I can't think of any, sir, at this point, no.
15	Ω Would you say you never gave them
16	A I would not say I never gave them any, but I
17	can't think of any.
18	Q Did you ever threaten them with violence?
19	A No, sir.
20	Q Did you ever threaten anybody with violence?
21	A Yes, I threatened akiyoshi Yamada once with
22	violence.
23	Q You did?
24	A Yes.

What did you threaten him with?

1 gwrf 13 D'Onofrio-cross 2 I threatened to beat him up. I call that violence. 3 I didn't threaten to kill anybody. He owed me \$300,000 and welched and I threatened to beat him up. 5 Did you beat him up? 6 No. Excuse me, sir. I did -- was violent with 7 someone else. 8 Really? Q 9 Yes, sir, Mr. Feeney. 10 Mr. Feenev? 11 Yes, sir, when he broke up my partnership. 12 0 I'm so sorry. 13 When and where did you threaten Feeney with vio-14 lence? 15 I didn't threaten him. A 16 Didn't you tell us you threatened somebody else? 17 I was violent. 18 You were violent with him? 19 Α Yes, sir. 20 What did you do to Feeney? 21 I hit him like I hit Mr. Stoller when he broke up 22 my partnership and interjected his private thoughts in my 23 married -- new marriage. I did hit him in front of his 24 brother-in-law and my accountant one, two or three times 25

in a row.

1	gwrf 14	D'Onofrio-cross			
2	0	You mean one, two or three separate occasions?			
3	А	No, one, two, three.			
4	O.	I see.			
5		Did you ever carry a pistol?			
6	A	Carry a pistol?			
7	Q	Yes.			
8	A	No, sir.			
9	Ú	When you went to Zambia, didn't you carry a pistol			
10	with you	?			
11	A	I don't recall, sir.			
12	Ú	Don't you remember whether you had a pistol or			
13	not when you went to Zambia?				
14	. А	I don't recall, sir.			
15	Ó	As you sit there you have a picture of yourself			
16	getting on a plane, haven't you, going to Zambia with Gail,				
17	the British agent?				
18	A	I think I met her over there, sir.			
19	Q	You have a picture of getting on the plane and			
20	going to	Zambia?			
21	Ā	Yes, sir.			
22	Q	Right?			
23	А	Yes, sir.			
24	Ω	Do you remember how you were dressed?			
25	А	No, sir.			

- 1	i									
1	gwrf	15	D'Onofrio-cross							
2	es.	Ö	Don't remember?							
3		Α	No, sir.							
4		Ö	And you don't remember whether you had a pistol							
5	when	you	got on that plane?							
6		A No, sir.								
7	O Is it possible you had a pistol?									
8		λ	I doubt it very much.							
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14			•							
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										

(5

1	4a pm rmrf l D'Onofrio-cross 635					
2	Q Didn't somebody give you a pistol?					
3	A No, sir.					
4	O What is your answer, you did or you didn't have					
5	one?					
6	A I didn't.					
7	O Do you remember that you didn't?					
8	A I recall that I didn't.					
9	MR. SORKIN: I am just going to object. I don't					
10	see the relevance of carrying a gun with this, but					
11	MR. GOULD: The question is how violent he is.					
12	MR. SORKIN: I think he has already testified,					
13	but if you want to proceed					
14	MR. GOULD: I am finished with the subject for					
15	the moment, your Honor.					
16	MR. SORKIN: I don't see what relevance that					
17	has to anything.					
18	THE COURT: Well, it is a little late, and we are					
19	going on to something else, he said.					
20	MR. GOULD: We will indeed, your Honor.					
21	O Do you remember testifying before the SEC in					
22	the matter of AK Electric and the matter of Harwyn					
23	Industries, sir?					
24	A In front of the SEC a separate proceeding?					
25	O Two separate proceedings.					

		1	rmrf 3 D'Onofrio-cross 637
		2	A I don't recall it word by word. I remember the
		3	affidavit.
	( 9	4	Q You remember that affidavit. You remember that
		5	THE COURT: Mr. Gould, before we go forward,
		6	do you remember you were going to mark in evidence,
Dr.		7	and we are about to use another document
		8	MR. GOULD: Yes, your Honor. The document I
		9	would like to have assigned a Stoller Exhibit No. is
		10	35 <b>01-cc.</b>
		11	(Stoller Exhibit H received in
	××	12	evidence.)
		13	O Your acquaintance with Mr. Sorkin goes back
		14	some time, does it not?
		15	A Acquaintance?
		16	O You know him for some time, don't you?
		17	A Adversarily, yes, sir.
		18	Q You knew him in the early summer of 1970?
•		19	A Yes, sir.
		20	Q Did you have some problems with him? Were you
		21	friendly or unfriendly?
		22	A I had tremendous problems with him. He had
Translation of the	(3	23	problems with me. Both of us had problems with each other.
		24	Q He was then in the Securities and Exchange
		25	Commission?

23

That is boilerplate lawyer's language. I

accusations and irrelevant, scurrilous innuendos?

don't know what it means.

Q Without reading it, you said that you have read the affidavit of Ira Sorkin on July 15th and his supplemental affidavit and "of my own knowledge said affidavits contain numerous false statements, unwarranted accusations and irrelevant, scurrilous innuendos."

That is what you said?

A I don't speak like that, I don't have that vocabulary. That is what my lawyer said. I don't even know what the words mean.

- Q You couldn't care less what they mean?
- A Not at that time, no.
- O You didn't care if you were making a charge of that serious nature against this man when he was a lawyer with the SEC?
  - A Nor did my lawyer care.
- Q Let's forget your lawyer. He is not testifying here. I want to know about you.
  - A He prepared the document.
- Q You didn't hesitate one minute to sign this paper with those accusations against this fellow in it?
  - A Nor did anyone else in the case.

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, I don't think the accusations were against me. I think they were directed towards an affidavit filed in a civil suit by me.

- 1					
1	rmrf 7	D'Onofrio-cross 641			
2		MR. GOULD: Well, I must say, your Honor			
3		THE COURT: If that is an objection, I overrule			
4	it.				
5		MR. SORKIN: Very well, your Honor.			
6	Q	I may not be able to read it too good, but			
7	it says he	ere you have read his affidavit and of your own			
8	knowledge	it contains false statements.			
9	A	I didn't read his affidavit.			
10	Ó	You were lying when you said that?			
11	A	That is correct.			
12	Ó	But you didn't mind signing it?			
13	A	Willy nilly, no.			
14	Ö	It didn't make any difference?			
15	A	No.			
16	0	Do you have any recollection at all of what it is			
17	you charged Mr. Sorkin with doing in this affidavit?				
18	A	No, sir.			
19	Ó	Do you have any knowledge at all on which you			
20	based these charges in this affidavit?				
21	A	I don't recall it at all, sir.			
22	Ó	Didn't you charge Mr. Sorkin in this affidavit with			
23	"Doing th	ings with witnesses," improper things with			
24	witnesses	?			
25	A	If that is what it says			
- 1	1				

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE

1	rmrf	9		D'Onofr:	io-cross		643	
2		Q	Yes.					
3		A	I don't re	emember in	the sprin	g of '74.		
4		Ö	What do yo	ou remember	?	,		
5		A	Last Octob	er sometime	e.	,		
6		Ú	Let's assu	me it was (	October.	You met	him in	front
7	of t	his b	uilding?					
8		Α	Yes, with	Mr. Segal,	I believ	e.		
9								
10								
11			,					
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17	,							
18								
19								
20						•		
21								
22								
23								
24								

	!!		044	
Tk 4B	1	rmb-1	D'Onofrio-cross	
	2	Q	And you had a conversation with him, correct?	
	3	A	I don't recall the conversation.	
	4	Ç Q	Well, maybe I can refresh your recollection. Did	
	5	you say to	him or did he say to you, "How have you been? You	
	6	are looking	y very well," and you said, "I'm fine."	
	7		Then he said, "What are you doing here?"	
	8		And do you remember what your answer was?	
	9	A	No, sir.	
	10	Q	Did you say to him, "I'm here to deliver bodies,	
	11	bodies, fa	celess people, etc."?	
	12	A	That's a lie.	
	13	Q	That is a lie?	
	14	A	You're darn right it is.	
.: ;	15		MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, I will ask for an instru	C-
	16	tion at th	is time that the answer is evidence and not the	
	17	question.		
	18		MR. GOULD: Of course.	
	19		MR. SORKIN: It is, of course, to Mr. Gould, but	
	20	it may not	be to the jury.	
	21		THE COURT: That is true and the jury will hear	
	22	me say it	again.	
:	23	Q	Did he ask you whether you were working for the	
	24	Governmen	t?	
	25	A	No, sir.	

1	rmb-2	D'Onofrio-cross
2	Q	If he says that, that is a lie too?
3	A	You're darn right.
4	Q	Did you tell him you were getting three or \$400
5	a week from	m the Government, plus your traveling expenses?
6	A	That's a lie. I had no discussion at all about
7	moneys.	
8	Q .	Did he ask you where you lived?
9	A	Yes. I believe I told him I live in Las Vegas
10	now.	
11	Q	Did you tell him that you live in Las Vegas
12	and travel	to New York and back at the Government's expense
13	about once	a week?
14	A	I don't think I referred to that at all, sir.
15	Q	You think that is another lie?
16	A	No, I don't think it is a lie. It is a true state
17	ment, but	I didn't discuss that with him.
18	Q	It is true that you live in Las Vegas?
19	A	Yes, and I travel when the Government requires
20	me to come	back.
21	Q	Did you say to him, "It is no problem of yours,
22	but some o	f your friends are on a greased rail to nowhere."
23	A	No, sir.
24	Q	Did you tell him you had reached an understanding
25	or arrange	ment with the Government about what sentence

1	rmb-3 D'Onofrio-cross
2	you were to get and where you were going to serve it?
3	A Absolutely not. I did
4	Q All lies?
5	A Let me correct the last statement, sir. I said,
6	" I have reached an agreement with the Government."
7	He said, "What is entailed?"
8	And I said, "I don't know how long I will be going
9	away. The only concession I got is that I may be able to
10	choose a prison near my home."
11	Q YOu have known Mr. Hiller quite a while?
12	A Many years.
13	Q Is he an honest, reputable citizen, to the best
14	of your knowledge?
15	A No.
16	Q He is not?
17	A No.
18	Q Do you know something bad about him?
19	A I know a lot of things.
20	MR. SORKIN: I dn't know if this is character
21	testimony or opinion testimony or reputation in the community
22	your Honor. I think
23	MR. GOULD: Mr. Hiller?
24	MR. SORKIN: I think it is entirely irrelevant,
25	but if Mr. Gould wants to open the door, then on redirect

1	rmb-4	D'Onofrio-cross
2	I deserve	the opportunity to go into Mr. Hiller
3	Q	You know some bad things about Hiller?
4	A	Yes, a lot.
5	Q	That is all I want to know. Did you ever threaten
6	him?	
7	А	No, sir. I love him.
8	Q	Did he ever threaten you?
9	A	No, sir.
10	Q	You recall, sir, testifying in the grand jury abou
11	Mr. Brod?	
12	A	Yes, sir.
13	Q	And you testified in the grand jury that Mr. Brod
14	had a Swis	ss bank account?
15	" A	Yes, sir.
16	Q	And that you opened that for him?
17	A	Yes, sir.
18	Q	Was that true or false?
19	A	That was true.
20	Q	You prepared an affidavit, did you not, and
21	notarized	it, in which you said you never opened a Swise
22	account fo	or Brod?
23	A	Yes, sir, for his benefit.
24	Q	This was a false affidavit?
25	λ	For Mr. Brod, yes, sir.

1	rmb-5	D'Onofrio-cross
2		MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, may we have that
3	marked, if	it is being shown?
4		MR. GOULD: Well, give me a chance.
5		MR. SORKIN: I am sorry, but you did show it to
6	Mr. D'Onof	rio. I am sorry.
7	Q	This affidavit which you say you prepared was a
8	false and	perjurous affidavit?
9	A	For his benefit.
10 .	Q	Never mind for whose benefit. It was false and
11	perjerous?	
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And you knew it was false and perjurous?
14	, <b>A</b>	Yes, sir.
15	. Q	Mr.Brod was your old boss, wasn't he?
<b>16</b>	A	Yes, sir.
17	Q	And you went into the grand jury and testified
18	against hi	m?
19	* A	Yes, sir.
20	Q	Did you tell the Government that you had given his
21	this affid	avit for his benefit when you went in and testifie
22	ag <b>ainst hi</b>	m?
23	A A	I told the Government that I had given him an
24	affidavit.	I don't know if I told them in the grand jury -
25	0	Did you tell them at any time before Mr. Brod

rmb-6 D'Onofrio-cross 2 was indicted on your testimony? 3 Yes, but I told them the conditions upon which I gave it to him. 5 You told them it was false? Yes, sir. 7 And you put your signature on it and swore to it 8 and put your picture on it? 9 Never in a million years. Mrs. Barder gave him A 10 that picture. 11 Who is she? 12 My ex-mistress. She is around passing out pictures 13 and things. 14 Q She hates you? 15 With a vengeance. 16 Did you treat her badly? Q 17 I treated her very well. 18 Is that why she hates you? 19 She is a scorned woman. 20 You left her for another woman? 21 Yes, but I left her with a lot of money at the 22 time. 23 In this --24 MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, may we have that marked? 25 MR. GOULD: Oh, sure, sure, let's have it marked.

		650
	1	rmb-7 D'Onofrio-cross
	2	Mark the xerox, if you will.
xxx	3	(Defendant Stoller Exhibit I was marked for
	4	identification.)
	5	(Pause.)
	6	MR. SORKIN: With the exception of the picture, I
	7	accept
	8	MR. GOULD: Do youwant the one with the picture?
	9	MR. SORKIN: That is okay.
	10	THE WITNESS: He has a hundred of them.
	11	THE COURT: I don't follow you, Mr. Sorkin. What
	12	are you objecting to?
	- 13	MR. SORKIN: I am not objecting to it. I thought
	14	I saw a picture on it.
	15	MR. GOULD: Let's change it. I don't want him
	16	to be unhappy.
	17	Would you mark this one with the picture?
	18	THE COURT: I thought you said you objected?
	19	MR. SORKIN: No, your Honor.
	20	MR. GOULD: Since we have it marked that way
	21	Q Now do you know: this picture came from Mrs. Barder
	22	That is the same lady you lived with for seven years?
!	23	A She has 9, 10, I say 5. There is a big difference.
	24	It grows a year every week.

It happens to many people. This sort of con-

1	rmb-8 D'Onofrio-cross	
2	fusion is perfectly understandable.	
3	How do you know he got this picture from her?	
4	A She had a stack of them that were used for me when	
5	I was an executive for a large major corporation and along	
6	with it went my personal belongings how do I know	
7	Q How do you know Brod got them from her?	
8	A Because she has been passing them to everybody	
9	and anybody who would listen to her.	
10	Q This was to publicity people?	
11	A For a magazine, yes, sir.	
12	Q And for your fan mail?	
13	A What do you mean?	
14	Q If people wrote in and wanted your picture	
15	A No, that went into a brochure.	
16	MR. GOULD: May I inquire at what point your Hono	r
17	wants to recess? I am under some pressures from some other	
18	source.	
19	THE COURT: I was going to let you go a little	
20	further, but if you want to stop, we will stop. I was going	
21 .	to stop in a few minutes, and if you would like to, I would	
22	stop.	
23	Any objection?	
24	MR. FELDSHUH: No, your Honor.	

MR. SORKIN: No, your Honor.

rmb -9

## D'Onofrio-cross

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we will

recess now then, and resume at ten o'clock.

I want to remind you of something I said earlier, that on Friday I will be sitting in other cases all day, and you can plan on having the day off to go about your own business on Friday.

We will have an all-day session tomorrow, but Friday you will have off.

See you tomorrow at ten o'clock sharp.

(Adjourned to Thursday, September 12, 1974, at 10:00 A.M.)

1	WITNE	SS INDEX			
2	Name	Direct	Cross	Redirect	Recross
3	Pierre Henchoz	654	667		•
4	Sheld <b>on Levine</b>	670			
5	Jean Francois DeCharriere	675	678		
6	Herbert VonBredow	687	690		
7	Ramon N. D'Onofrio				
8	(Resumed)		702		
9					
10	ЕХНІ	BIT INDEX	<u> </u>		
11	Government	Identific	ation	In Evidenc <b>e</b>	-
12	18			660	
13	16			673	
14	)				
15	,	-			
16					
17	Defendan <b>t</b>				10
18	Stoller				
19	J	737			
20	к	739			
21	L	752			
22	Court				
~	2	779 820			
23	2A through 2E	620			

()

24

New York, New York September 12, 1974

10:00 a.m.

rmrf

United States of America

vs

Philip Stoller and Martin Frank

5

2

6

7

\_

•

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Trial resumed.)

(In open court, jury present.)

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

MR. SORKIN: May I proceed, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SORKIN: With the leave of the Court, your Honor, we ask to interrupt Mr. D'Onofrio's cross examination and call Pierre Henchos.

THE COURT: In other words, ladies and gentlemen, by agreement of counsel, we are interrupting Mr. D'Onofrio's cross examination, he will return later, so that certain other witnesses can appear now and be completed.

PIERRE HENCHOZ, called as a

witness by the Government, being first duly sworn,

DIRECT EXAMINATION

testified as follows:

BY MR. SORKIN:

Q Mr. Henchoz, would you please keep your voice up and talk loudly and clearly?

2

3

4

5

6

7

•

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

:6

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

rmrf 3
as to what you mean by servicing the account.

MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor.

MR. GOULD: Would your Honor explain to the witness when I stand up it indicates there may be an objection and he should wait until your Honor rules?

THE COURT: Did you hear that?

THE WITNESS: Not quite well. I am sorry.

THE COURT: Let's go ahead. We will take care of

it.

- O What was your position in Emanuel Deetjen?
- A At this time?
- O Yes, sir.
- A I was registered rep and also assistant manager of this firm.
- What were your duties as a registered representative?
- A By servicing accounts. I mean, we were acting -a broker in Switzerland, acting as a transmission office
  between the head office in New York and our clientele. Our
  clientele in Switzerland is mainly institutions and banks.
  So we were splitting the charges between the old RR office,
  registered rep, and among the banks I had to take care
  of was the Bank Hofmann.
  - O Do you know a gentleman by the name of Alfred

1	rm <b>rf 4</b>	Henchoz-direct 657
2	Herbert?	
3	A	Yes, I do.
4	0	How long have you known Mr. Herbert?
5	А	It's back from around '66 yes, '66.
6	0	Do you know who Mr. Herbert is?
7	λ	Yes.
8	Q	Who is he?
9	Α	You mean now?
10	Ú	Then.
11	А	Then he was at the bank, working at the bank.
12	o	Which bank?
13	А	Bank Hofmann.
14	n	Do you know in what capacity?
15	А	As far as the record he was the translation
16	in Englis	th as officer.
17	Q	An official of the bank?
18	λ	Yes.
19	Q	Let me show you, Mr. Henchoz, what has been
20	previousl	y marked Government's Exhibit 18 for identification
21		MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, I have copies for defense
22	counsel.	
23	O.	Would you look at that, please, and don't read
24	from it,	just tell us what it is, the type of document

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE
FOLLY GIANE, NEW YORK N.Y. CO 7 4580

This document is a copy of the copy that our

25

it is?

Α

1	rmrf 5	Henchoz-direct 658
2	Lausanne	office did receive from the bank.
3	Q	Is that, Mr. Henchoz, an exact copy of the copy
4	you recei	ved from the bank?
5	Α	Yes, because it is stamped with our receipt in
6	Lausanne.	
7	Q	When was that received?
8	A	On March 13, 1969.
9	Q	Do you recall seeing that when it arrived at our
10	office?	
11	A	Yes, I did.
12		MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, at this time we offer
13	18 in evi	dence.
14		MR. GOULD: May I ask one or two questions about
15	the docum	ment, your Honor?
16		THE COURT: Certainly.
17	VOIR DIRE	EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. GO	OULD:
19	Ö	Did you turn this document over to the Government?
20	A	Myself? No.
21	Ú	Did your firm, Emanuel Deetjen turn it over to
22	them?	
23	А	I don't know.
24	n	Do you know where it came from?
25	A	This document?

1	rmrf 6	Henchoz-direct 659
2	O.	Yes, sir.
3	A	I saw this document here.
4	О	For the first time?
5	λ	No, the first time I saw the document was the copy
6	at Emanue	el Deetjen.
7	o	Have you compared this with the original at
8	Emanuel D	Deetjen?
9	Α	No, but I am sure this is the copy.
10	Q	I understand you are sure, but you have not made
11	a compari	ison of this with the document at Emanuel Deetjen?
12	λ	No.
13	. 0	And the first time you ever saw it was here in this
14	building	,
15	А	This copy, yes.
16	Ó	When it was shown to you by Mr. Sorkin or somebody
17	connected	d with him?
18	A	Yes.
19	9- -	MR. COULD: I object to it.
20	BY MR. SO	ORKIN:
21	n	Do you know where the original is?
22	А	Should be in the files of Emanuel Deetjen.
. 23		MR. SORKIN: We offer it in evidence.
24		MR. GOULD: Same objection.
25		THE COURT: I take it you join in this, Mr.

1	rmrf 7 Henchoz-direct 660
2	Feldshuh.
3	MR. FELDSHUH: I do, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: May I see the document?
5	MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor.
6	(Handing.)
7	THE COURT: Mr. Henchoz, in your recollection, is
8	that an accurate and complete copy of the one which you
9	remember receiving in vour office in Lausanne?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
11	THE COURT: The objection is overruled. It will
12	be received.
13	(Government's Exhibit 18 received in
14	evidence.)
15	MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, may I just read it briefly
16	to the jury?
17	THE COURT: Yes.
18	MR. SORKIN: Will counsel stipulate that
19	vir seden ihnen heuter means "We send to you today"?
20	MR. GOULD: Yes.
21	MR. FELDSHUH: I have no objection.
22	(Mr. Sorkin reads to jury from Government's
. 23	Exhibit 18 for identification.)
24	

хx

1	gab-1	Henchoz-direct 660a
2	Q	Mr. Henchos, after receiving this latter did you
3	have a con	versation with Mr. Herbert?
4	A	Yes, I did.
5	Q	How soon after, sir?
6	A	It was let's say two or three days after because
7	I did send	a telex to New York.
8	Q.	All right. Was it by phone or in person?
9	A	By phone.
10	Q	Tell us, please, Mr. Henchos, what you said to.
11	Mr. Herber	t and what Mr. Herbert said to you?
12	A	After receiving the wire from New York that he did
13	receive th	e stocks, I did advise Mr. Herbert we did receive
14	the stocks	in New York.
15	Q	Did Mr. Herbert say anything to you?
16	A	Mr. Herbert told me, "Please confirm that you are
17	going to c	change these stocks into street name."
18	Q	After that conversation did you have another con-
19	versation	with Mr. Herbert by telephone?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	What did you say to him
22		MR. FELDSHUH: When?
23	Q	How soon after the first conversation?
24	A	Because I did send back a telex to New York asking
25	"Please co	onfirm you are going to put these stocks in street
	I	

name."

2

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 .

--

25

Q How soon after the first telephone conversation did you have the second conversation?

A It should have been the same day or the day after.

Q Tell us please what you said in the second telephone conversation, what you said to Mr. Herbert, what Mr. Herbert said to you?

A Well, after receiving the telex from New York I phoned Mr. Herbert telling him that we do need a proof of ownership for these shares to be registered in street name.

Q What, if anything, did Mr. Herbert say to you, sir

A He was surprised and asked me, "Well, what is a proof of ownership? Please elaborate. I would very much appreciate if you can confirm this and send me a telex," which I did.

Q Let me show you now what has previously been marked as Government Exhibit 19. Would you look at 19, Mr.

Henchos and tell us, please, just what it is. Don't read from it or anything, just tell us what it is.

A This document is a copy of the telex that I did send to Bank Hofmann.

Q Is that an exact copy, Mr. Henchos, of the telex that you sent?

Yes, I do recognize exactly the telex that I did

1	gab-3	Henchoz-direct 662
2	send.	
3	Q	Is the telex in English or French, sir?
4	A	It is in French.
5	Q	Do you speak French?
6	A	I do.
7		MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, at this time we move to
8	have Gover	enment Exhibit 19 into evidence. I show it to
9	counsel (1	nanding).
10		(Pause.)
11	-	MR. GOULD: Are you offering it?
12		MR. SORKIN: Yes, I am, Mr. Gould.
13		MR. GOULD: I have no objection.
14	VOIR DIRE	EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. FE	LDSHUH:
16	Q	When was the last time that you saw the original
17	of Govern	ment Exhibit 19?
18	A	The last time?
19	Q	Yes, Mr. Henchose, before today.
20	А	Today.
21	Q	Before that when was the last time?
22	A	Before?
23	Q	Yes.
24	A	It was yesterday.
25	Q	And before yesterday when was the last time, sir?
	I	

1
•

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

A One month ago when I came to New York -
MR. SORKIN: Excuse me, Mr.Feldshuh --

- Q In the interval of time between March 28, 1969, the date that Exhibit 19 for identification bears, and a month ago, when did you see a copy of this?
  - A I saw this copy, it was on August 11th or 12th.
  - Q of what year, sir?
  - A I beg your pardon?
  - Q Of what year?
  - A This year.
  - Q This year?
  - A Yes.
- Q When after March 29, 1969, did you next see a copy of Government Exhibit 19?
  - A It was last month, August 12.
- Q So I take it, sir, that there was an interval of some five and a half years or five years and three months between the time that you first saw the original and the time that you saw a copy, is that correct?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q Did you compare this copy with the original, sir?
  - A No, sir.
    - MR. FELDSHUH: I object to it, your Honor.
    - THE COURT: Objection overruled.

	1	gab-5 Henchoz-direct 664
	2	Government Exhibit 19 will be received.
xxx	3	(Government Exhibit 19 for identification
	4	was received in evidence.)
	5	BY MR. SORKIN:
•	6	MR. SORKIN: I will not take the time to have
	7	Mr. Henchos translate this at this time, your Honor.
	8	MR. GOULD: We can agree on the translation.
	9	Q In substance, what did you ask Mr
	10	MR. GOULD: No.
	11	MR. SORKIN: I don't know how to proceed, your
,	12	Honor. I will ask him to translate it, if that is all right
	13	with your Honor.
	14	THE COURT: I think either we get it translated
	15	or we don't. I don't see why if you put this in evidence
	16	we proceed this way.
	17	MR. SORKIN: I was going to have Mr. Henchos
	18	translate it.
	19	THE COURT: Fine.
End 2	A 20	
	21	
	22	
( ;	23	
	24	
	25	

J

Q Would you tell us please, Mr. Henchoz, what is contained there?

MR. GOULD: I would like to have a copy while he is doing it. Your Honor, do you mind if I look over his shoulder?

THE COURT: You mean to say we have no other copies other than this, Mr. Sorkin?

MR. SORKIN: We have tried to make the best copies possible. Some of it just won't print up on any machine.

I'm sorry.

A "Lausanne, dated March 28, 1969, 3-38 p.m., attention to Mr. A.P. Herbert.

"Good morning. Reference your remittance in deficit of 40,900 shares Training With The Pros as per the detail of your shipments and your letter, memorandum, March 12, 1969. As per our various telephone conversations, we herewith confirm that our head office of New York" -- "Our office of New York is asking you a proof of ownership of these shares. In other words, it is a confirmation duly signed by the various clients who sold these shares to the Bank Hofmann. We remind you" --

MR. GOULD: Excuse me. May I make a suggestion to the witness?

"We have to have a confirmation. We have to have

Α

Right, sir.

1		
1	gwrf 4	Henchoz-cross 668
2	0	And the decision as to whether they would be
3	transfe	rred or not really rested with the transfer agent?
4	А	Yes.
5	n	That is the way it worked?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And it was not an unusual event, was it, for you
8	to requi	ire a customer to present proof of ownership?
9	A	No.
10	Ω	It happened very often?
11	А	Sure.
12	Ũ	And there were various ways in which customers
13	could p	resent proof of ownership, were there not?
14	А	Yes.
15	Ω	You could get signatures guaranteed by a bank?
16	A	Yes.
17	Ó	You could get signatures guaranteed by a member
18	firm in	New York?
19	А	Right.
20	0	Or even by an over-the=counter firm if you knew
21	them we	11?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	And there were other people whose guarantees you
24	would a	ccept as well?

Α

Yes.

MR. SORKIN: No further questions, your Honor.

previously been marked as Government's Exhibit 16 for

Let me show you now, Mr. Levine, what has

in charge of foreign margin accounts.

23

	11		
1	gwrf	7	Levine-direct 671
2	iden	tific	ation.
3			MR. SORKIN: Copies to defense counsel.
4		Ö	Would you please look at this and tell us what it
5	is?	Don'	t read from it, just tell us what it is.
6		A	It is a copy of a letter from the bank.
7		Ö	Mr. Levine, which bank?
8		Α .	Bank Hofmann, Zurich.
9		Ú	Is that the original that you received?
10		A	It is a Xerox copy of the original.
11		Ö	There are certain initials on top.
12			Whose initials are those?
13		A	Mine.
14		Ö	Did you put them there?
15		λ	Yes.
16		Ö	That is SL.
17		A	Right.
18			MR. GOULD: Up here you mean?
19			MR. SORKIN: Yes.
20		Q	When did you put those initials there?
21		A	When I received this.
22			
	l		

24

-	
1	3a am rmrf 1 Levine-direct 672
2	O Are there any other identifying marks on this
3	document?
4	A Yes. The account number.
5	O Who put that on there?
6	A I did.
7	O Is that your handwriting?
8	λ Yes.
9	MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, we offer No. 16 in
10	evidence.
11	MR. GOULD: Am I in error, that this is the same
12	paper that we just had a little while ago?
13	MR. SORKIN: It is a copy of it but it is not
14	the same document itself.
15	MR. GOULD: It appears to be a Xerox of the
16	same thing.
17	(Counsel confer off the record.)
18	MR.GOULD: All right, I have no objection.
19	MR. FELDSHUH: Based on your Honor's ruling,
20	no objection.
21	THE COURT: This is all very fine, gentlemen,
22	but since I have never seen any of this I couldn't know
23	what you are talking about.
24	MR. GOULD: You better show the Judge both of them.
25	(Documents handed to the Court.)

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

xx

25

THE COURT: Well, I understand, now that I see these, what you are talking about, but may I ask you, Mr. Sorkin, what is the point of adding to this?

MR. SORKIN: Very simply, your Honor, number 18 was sent to the Lausanne office --

THE COURT: Why do we have to duplicate all this? MR. SORKIN: No. 16, your Honor, is the very one Mr. Levine received with the shares in New York, and I will elicit that from him.

MR. GOULD: We will concede that. Why do we need both papers?

MR. SORKIN: They are really two separate documents, your Honor, received in two separate places.

THE COURT: I understand that, but what does it add to our total learning in this case where, presumably, we are having some small search for the truth?

MR. SORKIN: If I may develop it --

THE COURT: If you are going to pile paper upon paper for the sake of piling paper upon paper, fine, but I don't think that is what you are intending to do. Since defense counsel don't object, I won't press it at this moment, but I will press it later if we keep doing this.

(Government's Exhibit 16 received in evidence.)

1	rmrf 4 Levine-direct 675
2	MR. GOULD: None, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: You may be excused.
4	(Witness excused.)
5	MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, the Government calls
6	Jean Francois DeCharriere.
7	JEAN FRANCOIS DE CHARRIERE,
8	called as a witness by the Government, being first
9	duly sworn, testified as follows:
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. SORKIN:
12	MR. SORKIN: May I proceed, your Honor?
13	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
14	O Mr. DeCharriere, please keep your voice up.
15	From 1957 through June 1969 were you employed
16	by the firm of Emanuel Deetjen & Company?
17	A Yes I was.
18	Q From approximately January 1969 through June
19	of '69 what was your position?
20	A Which period of time?
21	O January '69 through June '69.
22	A I was senior partner.
23	Q Do you recall where you were on March 28, 1969?
24	A I was in Paris, at the Plaza, and we had an office
25	there also.

23

Tk 3B

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Tell us, please, what you said and what Mr. Herbert said.

I said to Mr. Herbert that regarding the transfer in street name of the 40,900 shares of Training With the Pros, I will not do it unless I get proof of ownership, and Herbert got very upset about it and said, "Well, it is breaking the law of the bank's secrecy if I do so."

I said, "That is your problem, not ours. law is the law, and I am senior partner of Emanuel Deetjen and therefore I have to apply the law of the United States," and I was a resident of the United States at that point.

Herbert said, "Well, you know we are very important customers of yours."

I said, "Yes, I do know about it."

He said, "You know that we may become very less active then."

I said, "I couldn't care less whether or not you become less active or not active whatsoever, but I will n-t transfer to the street name unless I get proof of ownership."

That conversation must have been taking place between 5:30 at night, P.M., and 6:00 P.M., and it seems to me that he addressed a letter to me that I never saw --

Was there any mention of a letter in that conversation?

And so when you indicated to Bank Hofmann that you

	679		
1	rmb-3 DeCharriere-cross		
2	wanted proof of ownership, you were doing so in reliance on		
3	what he told you from New York?		
4	A Sure. That is the reason why we paid him.		
5	Q Sure, that is what he was there for?		
6	A Exactly.		
7	Q And these questions came up all the time, didn't		
8	they, as to the propriety of transfers?		
9	A We never had such a case fromSwitzerland.		
10	Q InSwitzerland you never had such a case?		
11	A No.		
12	Q Is it the fact this was not		
13	A It was a very unusual request, from a bank, a Swiss		
14	bank.		
15	Q Why was it unusual?		
16	A Because we always bought street name direct.		
17	We never received shares the way we received these shares.		
18	Q Normally the shares you would have received would		
19	already have been transferred into street name in New York?		
20	A Normally the shares we would have which were		
21	nominees either of the Swiss Bank Corporation or Union Bank		
2 <b>2</b>	of Switzerland or Credit Swiss		
23	Q That is the same as street name in New York?		
24	A Yes.		
25	Q In other words, you would get normally in securiti		

20

21

22

23

24

25

rmb-4

### DeCharriere-cross

transactions, where the securities were delivered to you, certificates which had already been transferred into either nominees or street name?

- A Street name.
- Q That is the normal thing?
- A True.
- Q But you have seen cases before where certificates were delivered to you in the name of individuals?
  - A Not to my knowledge.
  - Q Never saw that?
  - A Not to my knowledge -- at least not in that amount.
  - Q Was that an unusual amount?
- A 14,900 shares trading at the time at 66 was pretty hectic.
  - Q Pretty what?
- A Was a pretty high price stock, coming from 26 a couple of weeks ago.
  - Q So you wanted to be sure --
- A I was not the guy that wanted to, our lawyer put
  a finger on it and as I was the senior partner and I was
  located in New York, not in Europe, but I was at that time
  traveling with one of our partners, where we made speeches
  in Europe -- every year we made speeches all around Europe -and he came up with another case which was very important too,

1	rmb-5 DeCharriere-cross
2	and I said that I will not accept any transfer unless I get
3	proof of ownership.
4	Q Or bank guarantees?
5	A No.
6	Q You would not take a bank guarantee?
7	A No.
8	Q You wanted to be sure that the people whose names
9	were on those certificates and who had purportedly transferred
10	them to the Bank Hofmann, that they were the real owners?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q You wanted to be sure they were not stolen?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q Or lost?
15	A Well
16	Q In other words, that they had come into the hands
17	of Bank Hofmann in a perfectly lawful way?
18	A Pardon me?
19	Q In a lawful way?
20	A Yes, that Bank Hofmann owned
21	Q What you were concerned about was, shall we call
22	it, security? Is that right? Prevention of the transfer
23	of stolen securities?
24	A You are going much further than I went.
25	Q How far did you go? What were you concerned about?

A I was concerned with the purpose of what our lawyer was saying --

- Q You just said what he said.
- A More or less. He was paid for it.
- Q You understood what it was that concerned him, didn't you?
  - A Yes, I understood what he was concerned with.
  - Q And he was concerned --
- A And I went further than that and said, "You should go to Donald Hayes," who was the outside lawyer, "and make sure that we are fully protected on this deal."
  - Q Against receiving stolen securities?
- A No, I never said that word. Don't put words in my mouth.
- Q I am not. I am trying to understand what you were concerned about.
- A I wanted proof of ownership, besure the shares were bought by the bank in regular ways.
- Q And you wanted to be sure that the names that were on the certificates were the names of people who had actually bought them, that they had actually ben transferred to Bank Hofmann?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q That is what you wanted to be sure about?

23

rmb-	٠7
------	----

#### DeCharriere-cross

A Yes, sir.

MR. GOULD: Thank you very much. That is all.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. FELDSHUH:

Q When you spoke to your in-house lawyer -- you described him as your in-house lawyer?

A No, he described it to me.

Q Maybe we are not understanding each other. Did your lawyer tell you what kind of proof of ownership you should have?

A Yes.

Q When he told you what kind of proof of ownership you should have, what did he say?

went on between Herbert Van Bredow, who was the manager of all the foreign operations, and Ned Pervis. It so happened that I was at that point in Paris with Herbert Van Bredow, and that Herbert from the Bank Hofmann said, "I will not give the proof of ownership or write a letter in that respect unless I get a phone call from your senior partner."

MR. SORKIN: There are two Herberts, Herbert
Von Bredow --

THE WITNESS: He was the manager of all the foreign entities in Europe, and he was what we call the

rmb-8

# DeCharriere-cross

•

End 3B

Q So that there is no confusion to his Honor and to the jury, you have now used the name Herbert in two different ways.

back office lawyer, and everything.

A Herbert VonBredow. He was in constant contact with Ned Pervis on the case, and it happened that Herbert Von Bredow was with me in Paris on that specific day and he put me onto what went on and then Pierre Henchoz called me and said to call Herbert at the Bank Hofmann because Herbert at the Bank Hofmann will not send a letter unless I give him my story and I will tell him I want the proof of ownership, and without that we will not transfer the shares in street name.

Q In your conversation with Mr. Herbert of Bank
Hofmann, did you tell him the kind of proof that you wanted,
the form of the proof?

A I said the proof of ownership. He understood very well what I meant by proof of ownership. He was more aware of what I meant than I was myself.

MR. FELDSHUH: Could I have Government Exhibits 21 through 25, please.

MR. SORKIN: Yes.

Tk 4A

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Sir, I show you Government Exhibit 21 in evidence, and I ask you, sir, were you ever shown the proofs of ownership that you talked about with Mr. Herbert?

A No, I was not.

Q Look at the exhibit 21. In your conversation with Mr. Herbert did you understand that you would get the kind of document referred to in Exhibit 21 in evidence?

A No, Ned Pervis told me what it was all about.

Q This is a very simple focument?

A Yes, a simple document.

Q I show you, sir, Exhibit 5, Government Exhibit 5 in evidence. Would you look at that, sir. You see it is in ordinary handwriting, is that right?

A Sure.

Q Is this the kind of proof of ownership that satisfied you upon that occasion?

A No. We wanted proof of ownership from the bank that they really bought the shares from people.

Q Did you get any further document from Bank Hofmann other than copies of these?

THE COURT: Just a moment, please. There has been no establishment that he received any such documents at all.

So first things first. I remind you, Mr.Feldshuh, that now you have made Mr.DeCharriere your witness.

MR. GOULD: Nothing, your Honor.

been marked in evidence as Government Exhibit 18.

Yes, sir.

Α

Do you recall seeing this, sir?

22

23

24

25

Let me show you, Mr. Von Bredow, what has previously

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

conversation?

No, I mean I would say about five to six days

690 gab-6 1 Von Bredow-direct cross partner of Emanuel Deetjen & Company. 2 MR. SORKIN: No further questions, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOULD: 5 You have been in the securities business, Mr. Von 7 Bredow, for some time? 8 Yes, sir. I joined Emanuel Deetjen Company 9 in New York in 1959. In connection with your work in the securities 10 business, you know something about the rules governing trans-11 fer of securities, don't you? 12 Yes, sir. A 13 That is part of your education in this sort of 14 work, isn't it? 15 Yes, sir. A 16 You know, do you not, that one of the problems with 17 the transfer of securities is that from time to time securities 18 are stolen or they are lost and they come into the hands of 19 people who are not entitled to them? 20 21 A Yes, sir. And that is something which is a chronic problem 22 in the securities business? 23

And, indeed, I am right, am I not, that every

Yes, sir.

brokerage firm and investment banking firm has a set of precautions to prevent stolen or lost securities being handed off to them?

A Right.

Q You know that? You have heard about that for a long time?

A Yes, sir.

Q And so we have developed almost internationally, have we not, certain rules under which we control the transfer of securities, right?

A Yes.

And we know, do we not, that when securities are handed from a bank to a brokerage firm, the brokerage firm wants to be pretty sure that the securities belong to the person who is handing them to them, and you wanted to know that before you presented those securities to the transfer agent for transfer into your street name or some other name?

A Yes, sir.

Q The normal thing would have been to take the securities, look them over and if the endorsements looked good or they were guaranteed, you would simply send them over to the transfer agent and get back a certificate in a street name?

gab-8

Von Bredow-cross

A That is what our headquarters in New York would do.

Q You worked in New York and you know that is the normal routine everyday way of doing it, correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q When you see a certificate in the name of individuals, you are a little more concerned than when you see a certificate in the name of an institutional owner?

A Usually.

Q Usually. In other words, if these certificates for Training With the Pros had been registered in the name of the Prudential Insurance Company with the endorsement of an officer of the insurance company and a bank guarantee on the signature, you wouldn't have hesitated a second, would you?

A I would not say so, sir, because it was very rarely that Swiss Banks mail in securities directly to a broker.

O It was unusual--

A It is not -- it happens, but it doesn't happen very often, sir.

gwrf 4b am 1 VonBredow-cross 2 What was it that disturbed you? 3 Because they were mailed in from Switzerland. . That is why we were extra careful. 5 I see. 6 In other words, as a matter of experience you 7 had to assume that maybe these certificates had been lost or stolen in the United States? It could have been the case. 10 That is what you were concerned with, wasn't it? 11 It could have been the case, sir. A 12 You were concerned that these might have been 13 hot securities? You have heard that expression, haven't 14 vou? 15 Certainly. 16 And hot securities means securities that have 17 been stolen by a messenger or somebody else and because 18 they are afraid to transfer them in the United States, 19 they send them to Switzerland? 20 Λ Yes, sir. 21 You were afraid that the Bank Hofmann in Switzerland 22 had bought these securities from the thief? 23 Not -- certainly they didn't have to buy them. 24 Or that the thief had presented them to them? 25 Yes, sir.

1 gwrf 2 VonBredow-cross 2 Why didn't you simply ask the Bank Hofmann to 3 guarantee the validity of the certificates? You apparently can't answer my question, why you didn't. It didn't occur to you, did it? I do not think that our legal counsel would have accepted that quarantee. They were a respectable institution, weren't they, 9 Bank Hofmann? 10 7 Definitely. 11 I mean, you regard them as decent people? 12 Yes, sir. 13 Well known in the Swiss banking community? 14 A Yes, sir. 15 And it was most unusual for you to question their 16 certificates that they presented, wasn't it? 17 No, sir. 18 The usual thing? 19 If they deliver any securities to either our Swiss 20 office or mail them into New York to our office, we always 21 are extremely careful. 22 0 I see. 23 With all of the Swiss banks. 24 Of all the Swiss banks. It didn't make any 25 difference to you whether these certificates came from Bank

į	
1	gwrf 3 VonBredow-cross 695
2	Hofmann or from Union Bank?
3	A It would have been the same.
4	O That is the biggest bank?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q If they come over from Union Bank, you would have
7	acted the very same day?
8	A Or if they had mailed it in.
9	O Normally, the Union Bank would have sent
10	them to its own office in New York?
11	A To Chase.
12	O That's right.
-13	As far as you were concerned, this was a routine
. 14	thing, you were doing what you normally do?
15	λ Yes, sir.
16	Q It was nothing extraordinarily suspicious about
17	this transaction?
18	A In the beginning I don't believe so, sir, no.
19	Q And in the end there was nothing suspicious, was
20	there? You never learned that these were stolen, did you?
21	A Stolen? Not to the best of my knowledge.
22	O You never learned they were spurious certificates,
23	did you?
24	A I wasn't informed of that, no, sir.
25	O So far as you know, these were perfectly legitimate

	li	·	
1	gwrf 4	VonBredow-cross 696	
2	certifica	ates which had belonged to the individuals whose name	
3	were on	chem?	
4	A	In the beginning, yes, sir.	
5	n	How about in the end?	
6	. А	In the end we heard that the SEC is investigating	
7	it.		
8	Ú	That doesn't make the SEC right, does it?	
9	А	No.	
10	Ú	You never heard that they were stolen, did you?	
11	λ	No, sir.	
12	Ω	All you heard is what you heard from these fellows,	
13	isn't that right?		
14	Α	No, sir.	
15		MR. SORKIN: Which fellows?	
16		.MR. GOULD: I'm sorry. That's very undignified.	
17	0	Did Mr. Sorkin tell you that they were stolen	
18	securities?		
19	A.	Never, sir.	
20	0	That they were spurious certificates?	
21	A	They were what?	
22	0	Spurious, fake certificates.	
23	A	No, sir.	
24	Q	So as far as you know, back in 1969 you were	
25	doing in	your brokerage firm exactly what you would have	
- 1			

Q

II			
qwrf 6 VonBredow-cross 698			
A Our company, Emanuel Deetjen, at that time.			
O But you have never seen them?			
λ No, sir.			
O Just heard that from somebody?			
A Yes.			
O I see. With regard to this somebody, he said			
he had proof of ownership, is that it?			
A No, he didn't say proof of ownership. He said			
they received a certain kind of proof of ownership at			
that time.			
MR. SORKIN: I didn't hear the last part.			
Λ I heard from our New York office that they had			
received a certain kind of proof of ownership.			
O At that time, in March of 1969, you stated that			
you were in the Lausanne office in Switzerland?			
A Yes, sir.			
O Did Mr. Herbert send any papers to you other than			
these stock certificates?			
A He never sent the stock certificates. He			
sent			
MR. SORKIN: I object, your Honor.			
THE COURT: Gentlemen, I can't hear a word			
with everybody talking at once.			

 ${\tt MR.}$  SORKIN: I think there was testimony that the

23

24

25

gwrf 7

VonBredow-cross

699

stock certificates were received in New York, your Honor?

THE COURT: That may be. That isn't a valid ground for an objection. Let's go back.

Would you put a question that we can understand here, Mr. Feldshuh, and we will start all over again before you were interrupted.

O Sir, did Mr. Herbert deliver to you at the
Lausanne office any papers referrable to this situation
of Training With The Pros?

A The only papers I received in the Lausanne office was a copy of the letter which accompanied the shipment.

- •O Just a copy?
- A Which I just --
- O You just saw?
- A I forget the number.
- O That's Government's Exhibit 18.
  - A 18, I believe.

MR. FELDSHUH: Mr. Sorkin.

MR. SORKIN: Thatis correct, Mr. Feldshuh.

O So it is the fact that other than having heard from the New York office that they got some papers --

- A Yes.
- O -- you didn't know anything about the form of

(Recess.)

(Jury not present.)

MR. GOULD: If your Honor please, before we get
the jury back — this is more a cry of pain than a complaint.

I don't understand what has happened here this morning.

There is not one word that was elicited from these three
witnesses that I would not have been prepared to
stipulate. It is all record stuff and even the conversations
I would have stipulated to them.

I am not complaining about what I regard as the incredible extravagance of bringing people like this from Switzerland for what we saw this morning -- that is for somebody other than me, I am just a poor, old taxpayer -- but I am making the point because if Mr. Sorkin has other testimony of this character we don't have to waste the time of the Court and jury and the energies of counsel and I am perfectly prepared to stipulate if he will tell us in advance what he wants to elicit.

THE COURT: I understand you, Mr. Gould, and I have some sympathy for this, and certainly I do generally, but on the other hand as you know American judges are not supposed to try cases for either side and therefore I was not apprised of this any more than you were.

However, in fairness to Mr. Sorkin, I must sav in the early going when we had Mr. Henchoz on there were

objections as to the competence of certain documents -MR. GOULD: Just the one document.

to assume that might be so. However, the point you are making, which came from your own lips, and you correct me if I am wrong, is a good one. If there is any other proof of this material may I suggest, Mr.Sorkin, you ask Mr. Gould and Mr. Feldshuh and we may well save considerable time and expense, an item which is of considerable importance to the wretched people of the United States who don't seem to fully realize yet just what has fallen upon us.

MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor, I will do that.

THE COURT: Are you in good voice and feisty enough to resume your cross examination, Mr. Gould?

MR. GOULD: Yes, sir.

(Jury present.)

RAMON N. D'ONOFRIO, resumed

the stand and testified further as follows:

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. GOULD ( Continued.):

THE COURT: As you know, ladies and gentlemen, we are, by agreement, resuming the cross examination of Mr. D'Onofrio by Mr. Gould.

O Mr. D'Onofrio, as I understand what you said here

No, no. 1967, I was only a year out of the

25

Α

would call considerable.

in which you said you had no assets whatever?

1	rmrf 6	D'Onofrio-cross 706
2	А	I filed two affidavits, sir.
3	0	Two of them?
4	А	Yes, sir.
5	Ú	They were both false?
6	λ	Yes, sir.
7	Q.	They were lies?
8	A	Yes, sir.
9	O.	You said, "I have no assets of any kind except
10	earnings	from my employment which are needed for my sub-
11	sistence	and requirements" correct?
12	Α	Yes, sir.
13	Ú	And that was false?
14	. A	Absolutely.
15	Ú	Sworn to before a notary public?
16	A	I believe so, yes, sir, my lawyer.
17	Q	And filed in a court?
18	λ	Before the Court of Judge Weinstein, the one
19	who sente	enced me.
20	Ú.	The Federal Court, United States District Court,
21	Eastern I	District? .
22	A	Yes, sir.
23	Ü	So you filed two false and perjurous affidavits
24	in that	court?
25	Λ	That is correct

1	rmrf	7	D'Onofrio-cross 707	
2		Ö	And you knew them to be false and perjurous?	
3		A	I wanted to cover up my crime.	
4		O	Which crime were you trying to cover up then?	
5	e*.	Α	The bankruptcy fraud.	
6		0	You mean stealing from the bankrupt estate?	
7		Α	I don't know if you call it stealing, misappropr	ria-
8	tion	is	the word, but stealing is a good word.	
9		Ö	So we have it, then, that you were a thief?	
10		Α	Yes, sir.	
11		O	And you were a liar?	
12		λ	Yes, sir.	
13		Ö	And you were a perjurer?	
14		Α	Yes, sir. Isn't lying and perjury the same thir	ng?
15		Ú	Well, I don't know.	
16		A	Then why did you put them in two questions?	
17		0	I am a bad man, but I don't think so.	
18			Did you ever testify before the New York Department	nent
19	of Ag	ric	culture and Markets?	
20		Λ	Yes, sir.	
21	¥.	0	And that was in connection with an investigation	1
22	into,	le	et's say, the milk business, the dairy business?	
23		λ	The same thing we were talking about previously,	,
24	the m	ilk	fraud.	
				,

You remember when vou vere there, don't you?

rmrf 8	D'Onofrio-cross	708		
A	I don't remember when I was there, I ju	ust remember		
I testified falsely 1966, I believe, May or June.				
0	You testified falsely before them, too	?		
λ	That is correct.			
0	And you were sworn? You were a witnes	s?		
A	Yes, sir.			
0	You raised your right hand and swor to	tell the		
truth?				
Α.	Yes, sir.			
Ú	And then you lied?			
Λ	That is correct, sir.			
	•			
	•	• ,		
	•			
	A I testif  O A O truth? A	A I don't remember when I was there, I just I testified falsely 1966, I believe, May or J O You testified falsely before them, too A That is correct. O And you were sworn? You were a witnes A Yes, sir. O You raised your right hand and swor to truth? A Yes, sir. O And then you lied?		

Do you have any idea of how much you owe the

Yes, sir.

United States Government in income taxes?

23

24

### rmb-2

## D'Onofrio-cross

2

3

5

6 7

8

٥

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A It is now coming to light. I have been assessed for the year of 1968 \$1,100,000 plus \$580,000 in penalties. They are working on '69, '70 -- they are working on each year. We have been working on this over the past eight or nine months.

- Q You have not paid them a dime?
- A Not yet, sir.
- Q Do you expect to pay them?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q \$1,600,000?

A I expect that some day after I get out of jail to go out and earn money and I have an intelligent brain and I expect if I was a smart crook, I could be a smart businessman legally and I could have immense earnings and pay back the Internal Revenue.

- Q That is your intention?
- A That is my absolute intention.
- Q But the fact is since 1965 you have not paid them a dime?
  - A No, no, that is false. Since 1965?
  - Q You heard me say '65.
- A I wanted to correct myself so I didn't mishear you. I paid taxes in 1965, '66, '67, and I paid partial

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

taxes in 1968.

- Q You filed a tax return for the year 1967?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q How much income did you show?
  - A I don't know, but it is a matter of court record, if they can produce it. Maybe 58, \$60,000, something like that.
    - Q Did you file an honest tax return for '67?
  - A I believe I did. It was the year Mr. Brod paid me about \$55,000 in fees.
  - Q Isn't it a fact that the only money you reported is what had been reported as being paid to you by Mr. Brod?
  - A I don't recall, sir. I don't recall my filed return.
    - Q You had other income, didn't you?
    - A In '67?
  - Q Yes.
  - A I could have. I don't recall.
- Q Did you have income fromTraining with the Pros?
  - A '67?
  - Q Yes.
  - A No, sir.
- Q Didn't you get 50,000 shares of stock for your services?

A No, that went to Xanadu Properties and R. Scott Barter.

Q Those were companies in which you had a proprietary interest?

A Not companies. One was a person and one was a company. I had no proprietary interest.

Q They were your nominees?

A I controlled the companies but did not own them, nor did I own Scott Barter.

Q Did you show on the income tax return you got those 50,000 shares?

A No, they showed it on their returns.

Q Did you file returns for them?

A Why should I? They were their own people.

Q You had nothing to do with it?

A No, sir.

Q Didn't you tell Mr. Sorkin that you didn't file income tax returns for the years 1967 through 1972?

A I may have told him, but I think I made a mistake.

I think I filed '67.

MR. GOULD: If your Honor please, in putting my question I was acting in reliance on the fourth supplemental bill of particulars furnished to us by Mr. Sorkin in which

25

24

rmb-5 MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, could this be done 2 at the side bar? If I put '67 I was under the impression, 3 as Mr.D'Onofrio said, that he had not filed in '67. 5 6 7 8 9 of a witness. 10 11 12 13 14 15 just said. 16

MR. GOULD: I am under the impression when I get a bill of particulars from the Government I am entitled to ask questions assuming the veracity of it and not to be made to look like a fool or a man taking an unfair advantage

THE COURT: I would agree with all of that. So I press my question: What is the problem? Go right ahead.

MR. GOULD: I want Mr. Sorkin to tell me or to concede in front of the jury that the information imparted to him by this witness was that he did not file an income tax return for the year 1967, contrary to what the witness

THE COURT: I would permit Mr. Sorkin to respond, but I don't know the facts of what happened, so I am not going to put words in his mouth.

Do you want to respond to this?

MR.SORKIN: Yes, your Honor. In the fourth supplemental bill of particulars, I think I stated to all defense counsel that to my knowledge --

THE COURT: You must have the document --

I have it, your Honor. MR. GOULD:

25

24

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

I will quote "Ramon N. D'Onofrio did not file tax returns for the years 1967 through 1972," and I was under the impression and I think Mr.D'Onofrio told me he didn't file for '67.

I don't see really what the problem is. In good

I don't see really what the problem is. In good faith I told Mr. Gould that.

THE COURT: Just a moment.

The problem is we want to set the record straight.

Is there anything further?

MR. GOULD: No. I will just ask the witness another questions.

End 5B 14

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

TK 6A

gab-1

D'Onofrio-cross

Didn't you tell Mr. Sorkin that you did not file an income tax return for the year 1968?

> He asked me the years --A

Just answer me yes or no.

I did not file I told him.

You told him you did not file?

My best recollection. A

That wasn't true? Ο.

That was my best recollection. It was obviously A wrong.

You told him that within the last two or three Q months?

Yes, sir.

Mr.D'Onofrio, I am referring to Page 118 of the transcript in this trial. You testified, did you not, as follows:

> Do you know Mildred Stoller's middle name? "0

Shirley, I think I just answered that. "A

Where did you first learn that? "0

I learned it much subsequent to the fact of "A me learning his code name.

Where did you learn that?

I don't remember if it was at Phil's Bar Mitzvoh or a lawn party that he held that I was present, Mr.Frank

25

23

I don't recall, sir.

Was it held in 1973?

I do not recall.

Is it possible that you were at Stoller's Bar Mitzvoh party in 1973, or a lawn party?

> I don't recall. Α

What year was it?

I do not recall, sir.

Absolutely no recollection --Q

Of the year, no, sir. A

Could it have been in 1969?

I don't recall, sir.

But it was at that party that you learned that Stoller's wife's middle name was Shirley, right?

Yes, sir.

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 And it was at that party that you learned that 2 that was the name or you understood that that was the name 3 that was used on the coded account? No, sir, I didn't say that. I said that is the 5 first time I learned her name was Shirley. As to associa-6 tion, I never made any references at all. 7 I see. You remember testifying in the grand jury 8

Yes, sir.

on July 10, 1973?

You remember being questioned about where the name Shirley came from?

> Yes, sir. A

And do you remember what you said?

I said I don't recall, I don't know.

No recollection at all?

No, sir. A.

If I am not right, you correct me. The time you learned about it must have been after July 10, 1973, wasn't it?

No, sir.

It was before?

I learned her middle name was Shirley. never associated it in my mind. I had no reason to.

When you testified before the grand jury in July

24 25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1973, and you were asked about this code name Shirley, where it came from, youhad no idea where it came from?

He could have had a dog named Shirley, too.

I see.

Mine was named after a dog. I wouldn't know that he named -- maybe he didn't name it after the middle initial of his wife or his wife's middle name. Maybe Shirley is the name of his airplane or his boat. The question was -sked me if I knew where the middle name came from, and I would have to say no, truthfully. How could I say it came from some other source?

When you were asked about it here in this trial Q you knew where it came from, didn't you?

I was asked did I know Mr.Stoller's wife's middle name.

- That is all you were asked? Q
- That is what I recall, sir.
- All right. 0

Now I am referring to Page 179 of the transcript. You remember being asked in this case right here whether you learned the name of the account that was opened for Mr. Frank?

- That's correct, sir.
- And you said yes, you knew what the account was? 0

		719
1	gab-5	D'Onofrio-cross
2		Do you remember saying yes?
3	A	Yes, sir.
4	Q	And you said it was Lance?
5	A	Here, yes, sir.
6	Q	That is what you said here in this case?
7	A	Yes, sir.
8	Q	You remember being asked about that in the grand
9	jury on Ju	ly 10, 1973?
10	A	Yes, sir.
11	Q	What did you say there?
12	A	I was erroneous. I confused it with Valiant.
13	Q	You thought it was something else?
14	. А	Yes. I had a lot of knight's names, Red Baron,
15	The Black	Knight, Valiant, and I confused Lance with Lancer.
16	because wh	enever I transferred money into Marty account,
17	I used the	word Lancer and I confused it with Valiant.
18	Q	Did something happen between July 10, 1973, and
19	the other	day when you testified here which reminded you
20	that the n	ame of the account was Lance?
21	A	Absolutely, yes, sir.
22	Q	What happened?
23	A	I found some notes on another stock on another
24	deal that	showed me transferring funds into the account of
25	Lance, and	I turned those notes over the other day in the

	720
1	gab-6 D'Onofrio-cross
2	yellow sheet form of my scratch paper and put them in with some
3	other papers.
4	Q You did turn it over just the other day?
5	A To the Department of Justice, yes, sir.
6	Q Can I have them, please, what you turned over the
7	other day?
8	A It doesn't refer to this case.
9	MR. GOULD: I ask counsel to produce the paper
10	the witness says he turned over the other day.
11	MR. SCRKIN: Your Honor
12	MR. GOULD: Or to concede that there was no such
13	paper.
14	MR. SORKIN: First of all, I object to Mr.
15	Gould muttering under his
16	MR. GOULD: I am not muttering, I am talking loud
17	and clear.
18	MR. SORKIN: There is no need for him to get
19	excited.
20	MR. GOULD: I am not excited.
21	MR. SORKIN: We will honor the request. He doesn'
22	have to yell, your Honor.
23	MR. GOULD: Let's have it.
24	THE COURT: Gentlemen, if you both quiet down. You

are going to look and see if you have it?

gab-7

D'Onofrio-cross

End 6A

--

MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor.

I don't have my files here. I will certainly look in my office as I have --

MR. GOULD: I don't know what these are, if your Honor please.

If they are not his files --

Gould, we will take a recess now and wherever he has these or wants to look --

MR. GOULD: That is what I would like, Right now I would like him to stay in the courtroom and I would like somebody else to go and find this piece of paper.

MR. SORKIN: That is all very well, your Honor.

These people may not know where my files are.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT HE PORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. CO 7: 4580

- 1	
1	6b am gwrf 1 D'Onofrio-cross
2	THE COURT: Please, dentlemen. Let's simmer down.
3	We will take a recess so that you can make a search as
4	you see fit to produce these notes if they were turned
5	over.
6	Ladies and gentlemen, we will take a recess. I
7	would hope that it will be no longer than ten minutes.
8	MR. GOULD: Excuse me, your Honor. Before we
9	take the recess, may I ask the witness?
10	BY MR. GOULD:
11	O It was a yellow paper, right?
12	Λ Yes, sir.
13	O It was in your handwriting?
14	A It was in my handwriting.
15	O Does it have a date on it?
16	A I don't recall because there are three sheets
17	of yellow paper.
18	O All right. Three sheets of yellow paper that
19	you say
20	MR. SORKIN: I have it, your Honor. I will go down
21	right now.
22	O It has got a reference toLance.
23	A Lancer because I put E-R in case I was picked up
24	by federal authorities. I didn't want them to know the code
25	name. I added the word E-R.

MR. GOULD: Let's have it.

gwrf 2

D'Onofrio-cross

THE COURT: Let's subsist here. As I just said, ladies and gentlemen, we will take a recess. You may file out to your jury room.

(Jury absent.)

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I feel very badly. I do not have a left ear. I have been compensated for 28 years by the United States Government for this. When I do not hear him or make a mistake on his words and I ask that the United States Attorney inform -- I had this problem in the Pfingst trial. I don't think I should be admonished from him if I don't hear the right word. I only have one ear. I don't want him to admonish me in front of the jury for my bad hearing --

THE COURT: I understand.

THE WITNESS: -- when we know the problem.

THE COURT: I understand you.

THE WITNESS: I even ask --

THE COURT: Mr. D'onofrio, I quite agree.

If you cannot hear something, I wish you would just state

it.

THE WITNESS: Sometimes I think I hear it. I would be asking him to repeat every question then.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I know of no way in which another human being can tell when somebody can't

2

gwrf 3

hear something.

6 7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 THE WITNESS: He knows this and we all know it.

THE COURT: I don't know if he knows it or not. That is the point I'm trying to make. If you cannot hear anything, however, you have every right to say you don't hear it and ask to have it repeated. I don't care how long it takes. You have that right.

THE WITNESS: I just try and keep it flowing. Sometimes I make a mistake because I didn't hear right.

THE COURT: If you don't hear, I would prefer infinitely that you say so.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Gould and Mr. Sorkin and Mr. Feldshuh and everybody else can't for certain be sure you don't hear something unless you say so.

THE WITNESS: Sometimes he starts off low --THE COURT: Believe me, I will protect your rights on that subject at any time no matter how long it takes.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't you step outside and relax.

(Witness leaves courtroom.)

THE COURT: Mr. Sorkin, you do whatever you have to do to find these papers.

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

has --

21

22 23

24

25

MR. SORKIN: I will, your Honor.

THE COURT: And anything else, by the way, that he turned over, whether it is three pieces of yellow foolscap or not which has not vet been turned over to the defense. You see what I'm trying to say?

MR. SORKIN: Yes.

THE COURT: Anything else he turned over on that occasion I want to see.

MR. SORKIN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: The yellow foolscap goes to defense counsel forthwith, but if there was anything else, I would like to see it forthwith myself.

MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor. I have turned it over already to defense counsel. I think Government's Exhibit 71 through 81 was turned over to Mr. Gould on Devon International.

MR. GOULD: That's the paper?

MR. SORKIN: No, it is not. Some of it

THE COURT: May I suggest you not dilate any further. It doesn't help you at all. You go down and get these so-called notes or whatever they are and anything else which may have been delivered by Mr. D'Onofrio to you on that day --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- in the very close approximation of two to three weeks or one week ago.

I would ask defense counsel to rest gently and say nothing further until this is done.

(Recess.)

(In open court, jury absent.)

THE COURT: Am I to understand that some pieces of paper have been delivered to Mr. Gould by you, Mr. Sorkin?

MR. SORKIN: I thought I was instructed to turn the whole file over to the Court.

THE COURT: No, that wasn't what I said.

MR. SORKIN: I'm sorry. I turn the whole file over to Mr. Gould?

THE COURT: That is very fine, but you apparently missed the point I was trying to make.

Mr. Gould has brought out that the witness delivered what he said were three pages of vellow notes. I was the one who insisted that anything else he might have turned over, even though he hasn't said as much so far, be shown to me.

MR. SORKIN: Okav.

THE COURT: I think Mr. Gould heard me say that, di

you not, sir?

2

3

4

5

6

•

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

. .

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. GOULD: Yes, sir, that is what I understand.

MR. SORKIN: There happens to be more than three There happens to be five, Mr. Gould.

THE COURT: Gentlemen, I will examine this material and I may have it turned over to you if it hasn't already been done so.

MR. GOULD: I presume we can go forward with the cross examination of this witness, or does your Honor want us to wait?

THE COURT: I will do just as you suggest when you tell me you are ready.

MR. GOULD: Just give us a minute to look this over.

THE COURT: Surely.

MR. COULD: Thank you, sir.

(Pause.)

MR. GOULD: I'm ready, your Honor.

jury in, I want you all to sit down. I want to make a list on the record so there is no doubt of this.

When in your recollection, Mr. Sorkin, did you receive these documents, some of which Mr. Gould has in the form of vellow notes, some of which I have which appear

to be various documents?

MR.SORKIN: My recollection is, your Honor, this weekend, this past weekend. I don't remember whether it was Saturday or Sunday.

THE COURT: I think at this point is is appropriate for this Court to say to you, Mr. Sorkin, is there anything else this witness has turned over? It strikes me very much like a tooth pulling operation. Every so often somebody stands on the Government's chest and we pull out another thing. We have all these great men sitting by you, Messrs. Doonan and Flannery, and I recognize that Mr. Flannery is a newcomer and he cannot be charged with all of this, apparently. But you and Doonan are no strangers to this business I must assume.

Now, I repeat, I want to know no later than 2:15 today, and counsel for the defense wish to know, what, if anything, other than we already know about may have been delivered by this witness, whether it is today, three weeks ago, three years ago or whenever. You see, it may well be that there has been a bit of gamesmanship being played here by the other side, but I'm past any notions of that, Mr. Sorkin. It is your office's responsibility.

True, frequently we are told in the law it is the

trial judge's responsibility, but he hasn't got the documents so I never quite cottoned to that notion and I doubt that any reasonable judge or lawver upon reflection would either these days.

• Don't be bemaused by some of the earlier case law in this field. It is the Government's responsibility really to comply.

See what I'm getting at?

(Jury and Mr. D'Onofrio not present in the courtroom.)

MR. SORKIN: I certainly do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, I ask you, for the last time, reflect and use these assistants of yours. I am sorry, but this is not a new requirement on the United States Attornev's office. This is a statute which has been in existence for many, many years.

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, he has turned over other documents to us on a number of other cases and --

THE COURT: No, no, no.

I am only concerned with Training With The Pros, which is another way of saying our case. Of course he has. You know and so do these defense lawyers that he is named in other cases, including at least one or two before this judge. I know that. Now, stop indulging in all of this irrelevancy. You know what I am talking about, don't you?

MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor, and I was under the impresssion those were not related to this case.

THE COURT: That may be. I am not talking about anything but what I just talked about. Do you want me to repeat it?

MR. SORKIN: No, your Honor, I will certainly

look.

THE COURT: If it has to do with this case and might comeply with Section 3500 of Title 18, it is your obligation, not the clerk's, not somebody else downstairs in your office, not this Judge or any other judge, it is your obligation and you must know it.

MR. SORKIN: I do, your Honor.

that there has been delivered to me some documents. One is a letter by one Alfred P. Herbert, who I will not describe further for obvious reasons, dated June 20, 1973, to Ramon D'Onofrio. The other is a copy of a letter addressed to Mr. Frank back in 1971 from Bank Hofmann and it doesn't appear to have anything to do with the witness' testimony or indeed with Training With The Pros, although perhaps it might.

The other is a letter from a man named William Sievert, dated 18 December 1972, written in German, to Mr. D'Onofrio, and though I do not speak German fluently, it would not appear to have anything to do with this man's testimony.

The fourth item appears to be in German, a certified copy of the corporate or firm organization papers of that establishment known as D'Onofrio & Feeney AG.

O

I would not consider this to be 3500 material because this witness, as I am sure you will all agree, thankfully did not draft or utter this document.

However, due to the exigencies of this case and the law, as it is considered and applied from time to time, I am going to mark this folder containing these documents as a Court Exhibit. If, as and when there is any appellate review, the record will be as complete as possible.

I will not direct the United States Attorney to turn these documents over at this point because I don't think he has any obligation to do so, from what we have heard so far.

Believe me, if we hear anything further from this witness on direct or cross, redirect or recross, I will be free and I hope alert to change my ruling, if need be.

We will now proceed to bring in the jury and I will suggest to you, Mr. Sorkin, as that is being done, when one of these matters arises again, rather than having another emotional upheaval here in front of our jury we can take the matter very plainly and I will do my best to accommodate you and/or Milton Gould, Esq., and/or Sidney Feldshuh, Esq., so please don't repeat what went on here earlier.

Thank you.

1 rmrf 4

MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

**22** 

23

24

25

THE COURT: Incidentally, in due course, Mr. Gould, when you are through with these, as I am sure you and Mr. Sorkin will agree they must be marked with a Government's Exhibit 3500 number.

MR. GOULD: Yes, I assume so. I will probably mark them, if I use them as I go along.

THE COURT: Fair enough.

(Jury present, witness D'Onofrio resumes stand.)

THE COURT: Now, Mr. D'Onofrio, remember what I said to you earlier. If you feel that you cannot hear one of us, it is your right and obligation, if I may say so, to let us know so that we can handle ourselves accordingly.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

BY MR. GOULD:

O Mr. D'Onofrio --

A I cannot hear you, sir.

o Mr. D'Onofrio, I am going to talk loud just so you hear me --

A I hear you normally but sometimes you start softly and get louder. If you talk one tone, I am all right, or if you are up near here, I am all right.

O Mr. Witness, I understand you to say that you did not remember the name of Mr. Frank's code account,

the code name of his account --

4

5

6

8

-9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A At the time of the grand jury?
- O You must wait, you see --
- A I thought that was the end of your question.
- O Listen to me carefully. I know you have trouble hearing and I will be very careful, explicit and I will talk a little louder than usual because I don't want any misunderstandings.

I understand you to have told his Honor and the jury that you didn't remember the name of Frank's coded account and that you thought it was Prince Valiant, or something like that, but you couldn't remember the name, and that when you went to the grand jury in July 1973 you still didn't remember the name, correct?

- A Yes, sir.
- O That is what you said?
- A Yes, sir.
- O And then I understood you to say that when you testified here the other day you remember that the name was Lance?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q And that something had happened between July '73 and September of '74 which reminded you of what the name was?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

....

23

24

25

A Yes, sir.

Ω And you said that what it was was something you found in some papers that you turned over to Mr. Sorkin?

A Well, the Government has the papers on the sixth floor, but in perusing them and relevant to another case, I saw these notes of mine.

O And these were notes --

A And I told Mr. Sorkin, "You know, I made a mistake.

Mr. Frank's code name is Lance," and he didn't remark to

the mistake that I had previously made.

Of This was a conversation that you had with Mr. Sorkin?

A Last Saturday or the Saturday before last, yes, sir.

- O The Saturday before last or this last Saturday?
- A One of the two, I am not sure.
- Q Well, try to tell us.

A I think it was the week before last. I am not sure.

O Your best recollection is that it was the week before last that you had this conversation with Sorkin?

- A Yes, sir, but very quickly.
- O Who was present, just you and Mr. Sorkin?
- A I think Mr. Flannery may have been present, Mr.

Tom Flannery.

2

1

4

5

7

Ω

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Q And in this conversation you said to Mr. Sorkin and Mr. Flannery, and we want to be very explicit now --

- A Not to Mr. Flannery. He was present.
- O But he was there?
- A Yes, but I didn't say it to him.
- O He could hear you?
- A Yes.
- Q You didn't whisper it to Mr. Sorkin?
- A No, I spoke normal.
- O You said to Sorkin, in the presence of Flannery, and I am trying to quote you and you correct me if I do it improperly, "I made a mistake about the code name for Frank's account. It was Lance "?
- A That is not what I said. Do you want to know what I said?
  - O Tell us the words you used.
- I says, "This may be of no importance at all or it may be of importance, Mr. Sorkin, but in perusing the files upstairs on another case I have come across some documents in my own handwriting that indicate to me that I may have made a mistake on Marty Frank's code name. So it may be highly possible during cross examination that someone will say, like yourself, 'How come you have a

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

different name now than then?' and I want to be darn sure
this is where I recall it from and these are the conditions
and it is in another stock called Galco Leasing Systems
and has nothing to do with this case, but I am giving
it to you for whatever it means."

He says, "Fine, just leave it here with the papers."

- Q Then you didn't hand him any papers, did you?
- A Those papers.
- O How do you know these are them?

A They look like it, yellow sheets of paper with my handwriting.

MR. GOULD: Well, let's mark this one for identification.

(Defendant Stoller Exhibit J marked for identification.)

- O How many sheets were there?
- A 3, 4, or 5, 2, 3, 4, 5, I'm not sure.
- Q 2 or 5?

A I have no recollection. Maybe 3 or 4. They were stapled and I don't know how many you've got.

- Q You don't know how many I have?
- A No, sir.
- Q What do you care what I have? How many do you remember?

хх

C)

I'm quite -- I would have to say that I am

хx

rmrf 10 D'Onofrio-cross 739 pretty sure, yes, sir. What is your best recollection? My best recollection is that this is one of them. That is one of them. Right. MR. GOULD: Would you please mark this as a Stoller exhibit. (Defendant Stoller's Exhibit K marked for identification.)

2

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Q	Now,	Mr. D	Onofri	.o, I	am	showing	you th	he last	pag.
of	a paper	consi	sting	of 1,	2, 3	, 4,	5 sheet	t, and	I ask	you
if	that las	st pag	e is o	one of	the	pape	rs you	turned	over	to
Mr.	. Sorkin	a wee	k ago	Saturd	lay,	when	you had	the o	conver	sa-
tic	on you ha	ive te	stifie	ed to?						

- A Can I look at them all?
- Q No, sir, just the last page.
- A Yes, I think it was, sir, to my best recollection.
- Q Aren't you sure about it?
- A To my best recollection, yes.
- Q So we have it now that "J" and the last page you are sure about, right?
  - A I am quite sure.
- Q Look at the front page. Is that one of the papers that you turned over?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q Let me have it back, please. Where did you find these papers?

A They were in the files that were taken from my office by U.S. marshals and brought to the U.S.Government and they remain on the sixth floor in many cases that I am working on and when Mr. Sorkin is busy or Mr.Doonan is not available to me, I sit in this office working on other cases and there are papers in there regarding other cases

1 rmb-2 D'Onofrio-cross that you were talking about before, these other crimes. 2 3 And suddenly on Saturday, a week ago Saturday, you discovered these papers? A No, I had come in onFriday, I believe, and he could not have anything to do with me on Friday, he was too busy, 6 7 and I went up to the office and went through some more files and found more papers. 9 So a week ago Friday you discovered these papers 10 in the file? 11 They have nothing to do with Training With The A 12 Pros. 13 0 Nothing whatever? 14 A No. 15 0 Why were you looking at them? 16

I was looking at anything, to keep myself busy. I was getting a \$20 witness fee for the day and I went to He usually says, "If I can't use you, go up and do work. some work."

- Q All of a sudden you stumbled over these papers?
- Yes, sir.
- You did not even remember they were there?
- I remembered there were many papers there on many other cases, yes, sir.
  - But these particular papers, you have no recollec-

25

24

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

tion of, right?

A I had a recollection of the papers, but not pertaining to Training With the Pros.

Q What are these papers all about, what did you work them up for?

- A Fould you like to ask each individual item?
- Q No, in a general way.

A A stock manipulation, a transfer of funds to many accounts, the stock manipulation primarily of Galco Leasing Systems.

Q These were your own records in your own handwriting?

A I always make records before going to Europe,
and after, of where money goes and what direction it goes to,
gets what, howmuch I have to bring back in cash, how much
to British Honduras, to London, to the Bahamas.

You had an account for somebody called Lancer?

A No, Lance. I put the "er" in order if someone picked up the papers -- for instance, there were other accounts, I used my own names, like Fish, meaning something else -- to whatever it would mean to me that week, that day, but Lancer, I added the "er" for Mr.Frank's own protection in the event I was picked up with the papers and someone would ask me, or someone could collate another paper.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE

rmb-4 D'Onofrio-cross When you wrote the word "Lancer" on any papers -Q On that paper. A 3 No, no, I mean on other papers. You have written the word Lancer before? No, sir. A This is the only paper where you ever wrote the word Lancer? 8 I don't think so. I don't know. That is the only one I have. In other words, you didn't want to put on this paper the names of any real accounts? 12 Of course I did. Α 13 You did or didn't? At times I did and at times I didn't. 15

are some real and then there are some that I add a prefix or suffix or a stamp. I may have one account in particular 1 put his name as orange, because he eats big oranges, but I know that is orange, and I have to bring him back money. He buys giant oranges on a fruit stand --

- That is your own code name?
- For him. A
- You are the only one that knows about it?
- I keep it in my mind. Α
- How about Sartorious? Q

25

1

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

l		744
1	rmb-5	D'Onofrio-cross
2	A	That is a stock exchange
3	Q	You didn't disguise that one?
4	A	No.
5	Q	How about Shelly?
6	A	Shelly Salmon, the one I told you I was involved
7	in another	stock manipulation Shelly, did I put his last
8	name? The	ere are a million Shelleys in this world.
9	Q	Lancer, did you put the name there?
10	A	No. That is Marty's code name and I added the
11	"er" for h	is protection.
12	Q	These are the papers you turned over to Sorkin?
13	A	Yes, sir.
14	Q	And in these papers a week ago Friday you saw
15	that you h	ad written down some time in what year?
16	A	1971, the time of the Galco manipulation.
17	Q	you had written down the words on the second
18	pag <b>e,</b> "Deb	it city 20,000, Lancer," and then you remembered
19	that the c	ode name for Frank's account was Lance?
20	A	That's right. Right. I remembered it before,
21	but it did	n't come into my mind.
22	Q	Then as soon as you could get to Sorkin on the
23	following	day you told him, "I might have made a mistake.
24	The code n	ame was Lance"?
25	А	Amongst some other things I told him.

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

25

Q You didn't turn any other papers over to him, did you?

I gave him a copy of D'Onofrio A.G. Incorporation in the event you said I couldn't identify Mr. Herbert's signature, it was there, notarialized in Zurich. I gave him a copy of a letter from the Swiss police to me as Robert D'Onofrio, when I was cooperating --

- All I asked you --Q
- Let me finish my answer.
- I just asked you if you if you turned any other papers over.
  - Α Letters from the Swiss police --

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, he is answering the question.

MR. GOULD: I didn't ask for a description of all the stuff he turned over. I just wanted to know if he turned something over. That is all I asked.

THE COURT: Now that we have had everybody speaking at once, the point is lost, in any case, so why don't you put the question again and, Mr. D'Onofrio, you answer, as I have told you before, no more and no less than the lawyer asks you.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

Did you turn other papers over to him?

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Yes, sir. Α
  - Did you turn a file over to him?
  - No. sir.
  - A folder of material?
- No, sir. Α
  - Just papers which you found in the files on the sixth floor?
    - That's right.
    - You didn't bring anything in?
    - No, sir -- what do you mean by bring in?
    - From outside the building.
    - No, sir.
  - So whatever you found and turned over to him was already in the Government files on the sixth floor?
    - But under various different headings.
  - This Amitalia which is referred to in some of these papers --
    - Amitalia Fund.
  - Did you want to conceal the name of that from anybody?
  - No, sir, but you don't write out long names. So you put Amitalia.
  - How about this one here, you look at it, what does that indicate?
    - Caye Chapel.

1	rmb-8	D'Onofrio-cross
2	Q	What is that?
3	A	That is a hotel I lost \$150,000 on in British
4	Honduras.	
5	Q	You didn't want to conceal the name of that?
6	A	No. It was a legitimate bankruptcy. I
7	was taken	again by someone else.
8	Q	How about Royal, did you have an account
9	A	That is Royal Bank of Canada.
10	Q	That is a real account?
11	A	Yes, sir.
12	Q	You didn't try to conceal that?
13	A	No, sir.
14	Q	Monita.
15	A	That is the name of another Swiss client of mine.
16	Q	You had an awful lot of them, didn't you?
17	A	About twenty.
18	Q	And they were people for whom you opened Swiss
19	bank accou	ants and where you traded the accounts, right?
20	A	Not totally correct, no, sir.
21	Q	Where am I incorrect?
22	A	Not that I traded the accounts. Sometimes they
23	traded the	em thhmselves.

Yes, sir.

You had about 20 accounts in Swiss banks?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## D'Onofrio-cross

- Q Where you had opened them with powers of attorney from individuals in the United States?
  - A I don't know if I opened 20 accounts or not.
  - Q How many did you open with powers of attorney?
- A About that, but I don't know -- with powers of attorney for me?
- Q No, with people -- I will withdraw it. There were at least 20 accounts which had code names with which you were familiar, correct?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q Which were the accounts of customers, clients, friends or other connections of yours?
  - A That's correct.
- Q And you had in some cases powers of attorney from those people to the Bank Hofmann or other Swiss banks authorizing you to deal in those accounts?
- A From these people, I never had a power of attorney from them.
  - Q How did you open the accounts?
- A I just gave them the documents, they signed them here and they brought them over and the bank had the power of attorney.
- Q I see what you mean. You don't know what those documents were?

		1
		2
		3
		4
		5
		6
		7
		′ 8
		9
		10
		11
		12
End	7В	13
		14
		15
		16
		17
		18
		19
		20
		21
		22
		23
		•

n	0	10	f	~	i	_	_	٠.	,	r.		c
IJ	OI	10	I.	I	1	O	-	C	L	O	S	S

- Of course I know what they were.
- They were authorizations from the individuals to the bank --
  - To give them the power.
- I understand. They had the power. But you actually handled the accounts, you gave the buy and sell orders?
- I could give instructions only if followed later by the individual's ascertaining of the instructions I had already given in their own handwriting or if they telephoned Mr. Herbert and said, "I authorize Mr.D'Onofrio to make that trade."

rmb-10

24

Tk 8A

23

24

25

Wait a second, Mr. D'Onofrio, because ? don't

over in their own handwriting.

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

want to confuse you and I don't want to get confused.

Let's take a typical account.

- A Yes, sir.
- Q You had an account called Gypsy, didn't you?
- A Yes, sir.
  - Q Who gave the instructions to Herbert on Gypsy?
- A Myself.
- Q Nobody but you?
  - A It was my account.
  - Q Your account?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q And he acted on that account, didn't he? Now you had an account called Pumpkin?

A I rarely gave instructions to Mr. Herbert on Gypsy, only to Mr.Ballmer because Mr. Herbert was my partner and Mr.Ballmer always accepted the instructions on Gypsy.

MR. GOULD: Mark that for identification, please.

- A The letters went to Herbert, but Ballmer would follow through.
- Q Did you give instructions to Herbert or didn't you give instructions to Herbert?
  - A For him to give them to Mr.Ballmer.
  - Q Did you give them to Mr. Herbert or not?
  - A Yes, written.

25

1	gab-3	D'Onofrio-cross 752
2	Q	Written instructions to Herbert?
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	Just like the one I am showing you here, Stoller
5	Exhibit L,	right?
6	A	Yes, sir.
7	Q	You wrote hundreds of those to Herbert, didn't
8	you?	
9	A	Maybe a thousand.
10	Q	A thousand of those?
11	Α	Yes, sir.
12	Q	We do have it that you gave the instructions on
13	these acco	unts to Herbert?
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Q	On all those accounts?
16	A	Yes, sir.
17		(Defendant Stoller Exhibit L was marked for
18	iden	tification.)
19	Q	And you had an account called Pumpkin, didn't you?
20	А	I was that was a nominee account, yes, sir.
21	Q	And you managed that account, you gave the instruc-
22	tions on i	t?
23	A	Yes, sir.
24	· Q	And you had an account called Melita, didn't you?

xxx

25

Yes, sir.

		753
1	gab-4	D'Onofrio-cross
2	Q	And you managed it?
3	A	Yes, sir.
4	Q	And you bought securities and you sold securities
5	in all of	those accounts?
6	A	Yes, sir.
7	Q	And you did that before you had anything to do
8	with Trair	ning With the Pros, didn't you?
9	A	No, sir.
10	Q	Started afterward?
11	A	Maybe after, about the same time, I am not sure.
12	Q	Tell us your best recollection.
13	A	About the same time, sir.
14	Q	About the same time that you say you had all these
15	conversati	ions withStoller and Allen about Training with the
16	Pros, you	opened how many accounts in Bank Hofmann?
17	A	Many.
18	Q	How many, 20, 30?
19	A	Eight, 9, 10 or 12 at that time.
20	Q	And over the next few months how many?
21	A	Another 3, 4 or 5.
22	Q	And Stoller and Allen had nothing to do with those
23	accounts,	did they?
24	A	No, sir.
25	Q	You managed them?

.. -

1

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes, sir.

Q You bought, you sold and you got the confirmations from the bank, didn't you?

A I answered that no, not in all instances, sir.

Q In the majority of instances with respect to these managed accounts, you got the confirmations fromBank Hofmann?

A The confirmations coming back, yes, sir.

Q You just told me no, didn't you?

A No, you said I bought and sold for them.

Q I said to you, you got the confirmations from the banks, and you said no, didn't you?

A You give a question that requires a yes on one end of it and a no on the other. It is very difficult to answer.

Q I am confusing you, is that right?

A By giving me a question that takes a yes and a no answer.

Now, sir, I want you to tell me whether it is not the fact that you managed these accounts, you bought the securities or gave orders to buy the securities, you sold the securities and you got the confirmations?

A That is a fair statement, sir.

Q That is right. Thousands of transactions?

A Yes, sir.

Q And in none of those transactions -- we will

leave aside Training With the Pros, just forget about it -in none of those transactions did Stoller or Allen or Frank
have anything to do with it?

- A In none of them?
- In none of them.
- A That is a loaded question. I have to think a while.
  - O You have to think.
- A I'd have to say you are absolutely wrong. In many of these transactions Mr.Stoller, Mr.Allen and in some Mr.Frank had something to do with.
  - Q They did?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q In which accounts other than the ones you have identified?
  - A The total transactions? You said --
  - O Other than --
- Training With the Pros, they were very accive in the Resource Control manipulation in which stocks went back and forth to each other and they signed papers with me.

  Mr.Frank was extremely active in Health Evaluation Systems, the one I pleaded guilty to, in covering up the -- when I got stuck, when the SEC stuck me for \$100,000 with Dominick Dominick and Pressprich, and he represented me, which I

		757
1	gab-8	D'Onofrio-cross
2	which you	sat with them and they told you what questions
3	are going	to be put to you
4	A	By you?
5	Q	By me.
6	A	Never.
7	Q	Or by defense counsel without identifying me.
9a <sup>2</sup>	A	No, they never told me. They had no idea of what
٤	defense c	ounsel was going to say to me.
10	Q	That is what they told you?
11	A	I asked questions, "What do you think they are going
12	to ask me	?"
13		They said, "We have no idea."
14	Q	How many sessions did you have in which you dis-
15	cussed wi	th them what you were going to say in response to thei
16	questions	3?
17	A	To their questions?
18	Q	Yes.
19	A	About 7, 8 or 9.
20	Q	Seven, 8 or 9?
21	А	Yes, but they are small ones sometimes and longer
22	ones other	ers.
23	Q	How many hours did you spend going over your testa
24	mony?	
25	А	I can't calculate the hours.

.. -

		758
1	gab-9	D'Onofrio-cross
2	Q	Ten, 20?
3	A	I said I can't calculate it.
4	Q	More than 10?
5	A	Sir, you can say could it be more than 100.
6	Q	Could it have been 100?
7	A	I can't calculate it.
8	Q	You have no recollection of how many hours you
9	spent gding	g over your testimony with Mr. Sorkin and
10	Mr. Doonan	?
11	A	Not in hours, no. In days I told you, eight or
12	nine. It	is split up with other cases.
13	Q	You mean eight or nine full days?
14	A	No, sir, some half days, some quarter days, some
15	full days.	I know three or four full Saturdays with Mr.
16	Sorkin. T	hat I am sure of.
17	Q	Let's stick with that. In those three or four fact
18	Saturdays	he asked you questions and you gave him answers,
19	right?	
20	A	No, sir, he didn't ask me yes, there were
21	questions	and answers.
22	Q	Really?
23	A	Yes, sir, but not questions that he thought you
24	wer <b>e</b> going	to ask me.
25	Q	No, we are talking now about something else.
	II	

1	gab-10 D'Onofrio-cross
2	You told me they never talked to you about what I was going
3	to ask you.
4	A No, I asked him, what are you going to ask me.
5	He said, "We don't know."
6	I said, "What do I" I am sorry. You didn't
7	ask me a question.
8	Q I am assuming now that you are telling me what
9	happened, you are telling it to me right.
10	You had no preparation whatever for cross-examina-
11	tion?
12	A Practically zero. The only thing I got as prepare
13	tion for cross-examination I said What happens if the
14	defense counsels bring up prior criminal acts of these defen
15	ants?"
16	He says, "If they bring it up, you just answer the
17	questions straight, but do not bring up any prior criminal
18	acts while we are asking you questions."
19	Q Only if the cross
20	A If you bring it up, "You speak the truth and tell
21	them."
22	Q I haven't brought it up, have I?
23	A Yes, you did, sir.
24	Q I did? I brought up prior criminal acts?
25	A You did the other day.

• ...

24

25

gab-11 D'Onofrio-cross

Q But you didn't tell me anything?

A Yes, you were mentioning stocks, was this one involved, was that one involved at one point. You were fortunate you didn't ask who the other persons were involved and I didn't have to answer you.

Q So that much preparation you had?

A And once you asked me, I said "Resource Control." Everybody jumped up and it stopped.

Q Let's get back to how much preparation you had for your direct.

A For my direct --

Q Your direct testimony.

A I told you about four full days.

Q Four full Saturdays?

A Plus some -- another three, four or five days of half, quarters, parts.

Q What did you do, go over the same ground over and over again?

A Not really. He asked me a question and I would give him an answer. I have had a lot of time in between the weeks to think. Sometimes I would expand my answers, sometimes -- I don't think I had any deletions, mostly expansive.

O Adding to it?

9.

gab-12

## D'Onofrio-cross

A Because I could remember. Once you are in the mood and the tempo of a previous act of crime of a million dollars -- I mean, after all, a million dollars is like the Bank of England, two million, it is the biggest in the world. It is a big caper. You can remember a lot about it. I can't remember much about a \$100,000 one.

Q You only remember big ones?

A I only remember a million dollars. I could remember that more.

Q I want to get finished with you. Just listen to my question.

The first Saturday you came in you went over the whole story, right?

A I don't think we got from beginning to end, only once did we go from beginning to end.

Q The first Saturday you went over it you were there all day?

A But not beginning to end. I only got halfway. He got interrupted, he got phone calls, he was on duty or something, had a lunch break.

- Q You did as much as you could that day?
- A We worked as hard as we could.
- Q Then you came back another Saturday?

	762
1	gab-13 D'Onofrio-cross
2	Λ Yes, sir.
3	Q Did you go over the same stuff again?
4	A Direct testimony, yes, sir.
5	Q That's right. The same stuff you had done the
6	previous Saturday?
7	A But I probably expanded one or two sentences in
8	between that I recall.
9	Q You remembered new stuff on the second Saturday?
10	A Because I am thinking all week. I had nothing
11	else to think about. They didn't bring me in on any other
i2	crimes. No other U. S. Attorney was allowed to bother me.
13	If the SEC called me, Mr. Sorkin said, "Leave the guy alone.
14	He is in the middle of getting ready for a trial."
15	So I could think about this. Prior to that I
16	couldn't think about it.
17	Q All right.
18	Then the third Saturday you went over the same
19	ground again, didn't you?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q And you add 1 more?
22	A I don't know if I added any more.
23	Q I thought you told us
24	A I said each time I probably would add a sentence.
25	Q You know

gab-14	D'Onofrio-cross
	D Onorro-cross
A	Or a phrase.
Q	I see. You changed phrases, right?
A	Me, I would change.
Q	You changed them?
A	He would make notes. I don't know what he did.
Q	He made notes of your changes?
A	I don't know if he made notes of my changes.
Q	Didn't you see him making notes of your changes?
A	I can't read upside down and backwards.
Q	All right, I understand. You saw he was writing
something?	
A	I saw he was writing.
Q	And you don't know what he wrote?
А	I think he was making notes.
Q	In other words, on that Saturday, which was about
a month ag	o, the first Saturday?
A	Yes, sir.
Q	About a month ago you sat with Mr. Sorkin and you
discussed	your testimony and he made notes?
A	He always made notes.
Q	I see. Then the second Saturday you came back and
you added	some things and he made notes of that?
A	Yes, sir.
Q	The first Saturday was about a month ago in August
	A Q A Q A Q Something? A Q A Q a month ag A Q discussed A Q you added A

	761
1	gab-15 D'Onofrio-cross
2	right?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q And the second Saturday was a week later, also
5	in August, correct?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q Then you came back on the third Saturday and he
8	made some notes and again you added things?
9	A Are you saying that?
10	Q I am asking you.
11	A Ask me the questions. You are always telling me
12	things.
13	Q That is my style.
14	A My style is giving it back to you.
15	Q I know. You do. I am very upset by that.
16	A I am not upset by you.
17	Q I am by you.
18	A I don't want to tackle with you.
19	Q No, no. I just want to know. The second Saturday
20	we know he made changes, he made notes of your changes, correct?
21	A I don't know if he made notes of my changes. He
22	made notes all the time.
23	Q He made notes all the time, right, of what you were
24	saying?
25	A He may have been making notes of what other things
	that were on his mind for his own part in this trial.

()

23

24

25

D'Onofrio-cross

O I see.

8b am gwrf 1

While he was talking to you and asking you the questions that were going to be asked --

- A He may have been making notes concerning himself.
- Q You mean the notes he was making had nothing to do with what you were saying?

A No, Training With The Pros, but maybe concerning some strategy of his or what would be going in here. I don't know what it could be.

- O You are not worried about whether he made any notes about what you were saying, are you?
  - A I hope he made notes about what I was saying.
- Q Really? And he made notes about a month ago in August, correct?

Would you recognize his notes if you saw them?

A I would recognize his handwriting, but I cannot read it. He writes like a chicken. So don't -- I don't think you are going to be able to read it either. He's very difficult to read. He's handed me documents to read. I said, "Don't give me the documents, I can't read your writing."

MR. GOULD: And was that done in August?

MR. SORKIN: There are 19 pages here, Mr. Gould.

MR. GOULD: Was any one done in August? I would

like counsel to represent to the Court that any one of those was done in August. If it was, I will be glad to subside. Just tell me which were done in August.

MR. SORKIN: If your Honor will just give me a moment, please.

(Pause.)

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, shall I represent this to the Court now? I'm quite sure that the last three pages were definitely not in August and it is very possible that -- I didn't date any of these. It is very possible --

MR. GOULD: 1'm astonished.

MR. SORKIN: I'm sorry if you are astonished, Mr. Gould.

MR. GOULD: I am astonished. I would --

THE COURT: Gentlemen, please. Let's not make any of these comments. It is not helping.

MR. GOULD: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Whether you are sorry or surprised is of no moment. Finish what you were about to say in response to the legitimate question of counsel.

MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor. I'm quite sure that most of these notes, probably came up from one to maybe

12, were not made in August. It is possible all of them

were not made in August.

MR. GOULD: I would like counsel's representation as to whether there is a single page in that exhibit which was made in August.

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, I would say of the 19 pages, maybe only the last pages 14 to 15 were made in August. The rest were prior to August.

MR. GOULD: There are no dates, your Honor.

MR. SORKIN: On the last three pages, Mr. Gould, there are dates.

MR. GOULD: Okay, the last three pages. Show me the dates, please.

MR.SORKIN: The last three pages are dated

MR. GOULD: I'm confused. Are you telling me the last three pages were made in August?

MR. SORKIN: No, that is not what I said.

THE COURT: Apparently your pages are not numbered either.

MR. SORKIN: They are numbered, your Honor.

THE COURT: Would you just physically point out to inquiring counsel the pages so we can bring an end to this unseemly affair?

MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor. I would say perhaps pages -- the numbered pages 12, 13, 14 and one line on 15

representation, not a maybe or a perhaps.

were maybe made in August.

2

1

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

MR. GOULD: That is not good enough, your Honor. I'm sorry. This is 3500 material. We are entitled to a

MR. SORKIN: That is the best I can do, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: May I say, gentlemen, that I am not privy to the notekeeping habits of any of you. Therefore, I am not about to say anything further. If he doesn't know the date, he doesn't know the date. If you can suggest to me, Mr. Gould, what other process might be humanly and practically available to you, I will be glad to hear them. Let's make it quick.

MR. GOULD: I'm doing my best, your Honor. I don't think your Honor can feel I'm delaying this process in view of what has occurred. I would say the first step is the production of the originals of which I have the Xerox.

THE COURT: I would certainly back you up on that. But you are missing the point that I'm trying to make.

The lawyer for the Government says he doesn't know for sure. I don't believe that this Court is powerless to help you specify, because as I say, I don't know anything further to do on that phase of the subject.

MR. GOULD: All I'm seeking, your Honor, now is

to

to see if I can conscientiously accept the representation that maybe certain pages -- 12, you say --

MR. SORKIN: The pages that are numbered 12, 13, 14 and one line on 15.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, in view of the hour I see no purpose to hold you up on this matter.

We will resume at 2:15. It is now about five to 1:00. Have a good luncheon.

(Jury absent.)

THE COURT: All right, Mr. D'Onofrio, 2:15, sir. (Witness leaves courtroom.)

MR. GOULD: If your Honor please, I have never seen such a handling of 3500 material in my life.

except I want you to understand unequivocally from me
that I am powerless to instruct people how to keep notes.
I appreciate that various personages, mostly lawyers, ask
judges to do all kinds of things. I cannot account for
one's notekeeping habits. I can say that my own are probably
less than credible in your mind, too. What does that prove?

My obligation is to assist you in getting what you want, but I cannot go so far as to sit here and condemn a man for his notekeeping habits. Whatever I may think, what was done, was done. Therefore, I am exceedingly

1 awrf 6 D'Onofrio-cross unnerved and perplexed that one of your experience generally 2 and experience before this Judge would persist in the 3 quaint notion that I can do the impossible. 5 I'm getting a little fed up to hear it from you, 6 frankly. 7 MR. GOULD: I don't think your Honor can do any-8 thing about it --9 THE COURT: All right. Let's hear --10 MR. GOULD: Except to assist me in doing what 11 I conceive to be my duty, which is to get to the bottom 12 of this 3500 material. 13 THE COURT: Fine. 14 MR. GOULD: Let me show you how cavalier and 15 careless --16 THE COURT: Please, Mr. Gould. We are faced with 17 a set of circumstances which in the fullness of hindsight 18 may seem to you to be a little less than perfect or a 19 little different than you would do it. I quite agree. I 20 would hopefully do it differently. 21 I can only ask him to produce what he claims are 22 his notes. I think it is unfair of you to suggest to me that I can do more. 24 MR. GOULD: Except to understand that I am 25 facing a situation in which the Government has done less than

	771
1	gwf 7 D'Onofrio-cross
2	its duty in the delivery of 3500 material.
3	THE COURT: I don't follow that.
4	MR. GOULD: I will show your Honor in a
5	moment why I say that. You have just heard a representation
6	from this man, having looked at this without preparation,
7	and he says to the Court, well maybe or perhaps pages 12
8	MR. SORKIN: 12, 13, 14 and one line on 15.
9	MR. GOULD: Very meticulous. The first entry on
10	page 12 in his handwriting is No. 3. The last entry on
11	page 11 is No. 2. Obviously 11 was prepared at the same
12	time and
13	THE COURT: Just a minute. Excuse me.
14	(Pause.)
15	THE COURT: All right.
16	Let's go back.
17	MR. GOULD: You see, he says to you, well,
18	page 12 and page 13 and so on
19	THE COURT: Mr. Gould, can we get to the bottom
20	of this? I do not have copies of his notes.
21	MR. GOULD: I'm very sorry, your Honor, I thought
22	you did.
23	THE COURT: I do not have copies of anything in
24	this case. I have already observed that at least once in
25	your hearing and that of other counsel. Second of all, it is

not the function of you or me to reconstruct his notes, bad as you or I may think they are.

My function is to back you up in seeing to it that you get anything or everything that fits with the statute or any other rulings of the Court. But I persist in the quaint notion that today we are not going to rewrite Mr. Sorkin's notes.

MR. GOULD: I disagree with your Honor. I think the Court has both the power and the obligation to see that the Government complies honestly and conscientiously with the provisions of Section 3500.

THE COURT: I agree with you.

MR. GOULD: When new stuff keeps popping up --

THE COURT: This is not new stuff. This has been in your hands and that of other defense counsel for quite some time.

MR. GOULD: And never yet identified except now by the witness --

THE COURT: Mr. Gould, the point I keep trying to make is, it seems to me from what you have established here that Sorkin does not date his notes. I'm sorry, but there is nothing I can do about that.

Tt is not my purpose to manufacture dates or -MR. COULD: He does date his notes. Here is one

2 W

3

1

4

6

7

9

11

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

with a date on it.

THE COURT: So he dated one. I will show you my notebook if you would like. I have some notes that I date, sometimes I don't date them.

MR. GOULD: I am saying to the Court next with my protest that the handling of 3500 material has been at the best cavalier.

THE COURT: You have made that point ad nauseum, echoed faithfully by your co-counsel. I have spent more time in this case trying to assist you and Mr. Feldshuh in getting documents which have not been turned over than I can recall. I agree with you there, but that has nothing to do with the present problem as I understand it.

I cannot put myself in this lawyer's mind and tell you something he cannot tell you.

I am getting awfully tired of what I consider to be a bootless colloquy at best.

You don't seem or you don't choose to get my point, and for that I am sorry.

MR. GOULD: I do my best. Your Honor knows I regard whatever you tell me with the greatest respect.

THE COURT: Perhaps so.

MR. GOULD: Maybe I'm not making my point.

My point is, your Honor, when we finally understand

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

23

24

25

what it is he is talking about, and I tried to find out whether this mass of stuff that he has given us --

THE COURT: This being --

MR. GOULD: This being 3501-K. When I tried to find out whether this is what the witness is talking about, he says to me, well, from page 12 on. Now, that is either careless --

THE COURT: Mr. Gould, I would agree with you privately, but what am I going to do about it? Am I going to remake Ira Sorkin? I cannot play God.

MR. GOULD: All I can do, your Honor, is ask you to give me a little latitude. I hoped to be finished with this man long since.

THE COURT: That is your choice. I'm not stopping you.

MR. GOULD: I'm very unhappy about the length of this cross examination. The only thing I can do, I'll try to get back and get from the witness on the basis of the material in here whether that has happened in August.

THE COURT: Over many years of experience in dealing with you I have great affection and respect. I have never thought that you or any lawyer of lesser ability would ever come before me and make the representations or claims you are now making, to wit, something that I can

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

do to reconstruct a lawyer's mind or memory.

MR. GOULD: I agree with you, there is nothing you can do.

THE COURT: Then I wish you wouldn't keep pressing me. That is what is irritating me.

MR. GOULD: There isn't anything the Court can do in this case?

THE COURT: I don't see how I can remake notes for another man. I was not there, I assure you, and you know that.

MR. COULD: All right.

THE COURT: There is one other problem.

MR. GOULD: I will subside on that subject.

THE COURT: No, let me not subside on one other point.

Just because a witness presently in the box tells you and me and everybody else assembled that his memory is such and such doesn't necessarily mean that that is the gospel. You of all people would be certain to agree with that, I'm sure.

MR. GOULD: Indeed, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you feel that there is something that has not been produced, and you know and I know that I have been part of the effort to stand on the chest of

Mr. Sorkin from time to time to extricate an additional writing for you and for Feldshuh. I would be glad to try again. I don't see that to be your present inquiry. If I am wrong, correct me.

MR. GOULD: No, your Honor. Your Honor is quite right. I think I have overstated my position perhaps from an excess of indignation of the way this case has been handled and prepared by the Government. There is nothing your Honor can do about it?

THE COURT: There is one other thing.

I want you to remember, Mr. Sorkin, something I said earlier before this latest nasty little contretemps arose. Perhaps I better press it for your sake and that or the United States Attorney's office, which I consider to be primarily responsible for acting under this statute for very obvious reasons. Is there by chance any other notes or jots which, although you can very well say are not produceable, you nevertheless might have been taking or making when the witness, D'Onofrio, was in your office sometimed during August or, indeed, at any other time?

MR. SORKIN: The only other notes that I was making while I was talking to Mr. D'Oncfrio in the last several weeks were on witness sheets that I had previously prepared based upon these notes. Many of the notes were

of D'Onofrio after Mr. D'Onofrio left my office. They were revised by me.

THE COURT: No.

MR. SORKIN: That is it, your Honor.

THE COURT: I assume even Mr. Gould and Mr. Feldshuh would agree with me that you have every right to do what they have every right and do do. That is not my point.

Anything that you may have been jotting, even if you were doodling in the presence of this witness, D'Onofrio, who, as we all know, is a ver voluble fellow.

MR. SORKIN: No, your Honor, no other notes I am aware than what was turned over to Mr. Gould.

THE COURT: I have asked you on behalf of the defense and on behalf of your own interests as well to search today and use the services of anybody you can.

Now, gentlemen, there is another matter in which I'm going to make a complaint to you.

Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

(Luncheon recess.)

Tk 1 PM

Q

-1 AFTERNOON SESSION 2:15 P.M.

(In open court; jury absent.);

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, let me report what

I have done. We went back to our offices. Mr. Doonan went

up to the 6th floor where we have file cabinets, documents

Mr. D'Onofrio turned over to us, and I went back to my office

with Mr. Flanner and we looked through the Training With the

Pros case, the Sensory Systems case, which is the name change,

the Pfingst case, and we have pulled out what we feel is

virtually every document that either has Mr. D'Onofrio's name

on it or Training With the Pros' name on it.

It is our belief, your Honor, that these documents do not constitute 3500 material, and we are prepared to turn them over to the Court at this time for inspection.

We even have stock certificates of Training With the Pros which Mr. Doonan pulled out.

in any of this material which you just described are there any is tes by you or any other Government lawyer or agent?

MR. SORKIN: No, sir.

THE COURT: In other words, at least Mr.Gould should be satisfied that you are representing that you could find no other notes of yourself or any other Government lawyer particularly having to do with Mr. D'Onofrio, but,

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE

rmb-2

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

indeed, in any respect?

MR. SORKIN: That is correct, your Honor. will point out to the Court that there are documents in here which we did pull from another file, which we have under the Xanadu file, and since Mr. Gould dealt on it extensively on cross-examination as to Mr. D'Onotrio's net worth, we have certain documents turned over to us by Muriel Barder some time ago, and I don't think Mr. D'Onofrio even knows we have them, and it is our position that is not 3500 material, but since Mr. Gould dwelt on it on cross-examination, we are presenting it to the Court.

THE COURT: Let us mark this folder the next Court exhibit.

(Court Exhibit 2 was marked for identification.) I will examine these and, Mr. THE COURT: Gould, unless you disagree, I suggest we go ahead with your I assure you if I find anything in there I think cross. should be produced not only under 3500 material or indeed for any other reason, I will do that before the witness is released.

MR. GOULD: I would like to make this suggestion, your Honor: I may be finished with him before you get a chance to examine it and why don't I finish up and if your Honor finds anything appropriate, we will call him

XXX

21

22

23

24

25

rmb-3

back.

I imagine he could be brought back.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, also included in this is a transcript of one of Mr. D'Onofrio's testimony before the SEC. It is 73 pages long and I think Pages 46 through 51 -- the same Paul Strauch is mentioned. He was one of Mr. D'Onofrio's nominees. The name is mentioned in connection with another stock.

MR. GOULD: Why impose on the Court the burden of reading 76 pages of testimony if that is the unly basis for doing it?

MR. SORKIN: I am trying to comply with your direction, your Honor.

MR. GOULD: Your Honor doesn't want all that junk if it has nothing to do with the case.

THE COURT: I want to make sure it doesn't, so I assume the burden.

Bring in the jury.

(Jury present.)

RAMON N. D'ONOFRIO resumed.

CROSS-EXAMINATION (continued)

BY MR. GOULD:

Q Mr.Witness, I want to come back to this occasion

- 1	
1	rmb-4 D'Onofrio-cross
2	a week ago last Saturday when you found this piece of paper
3	which reminded you that the code name for Frank's account
4	was Lance.
5	That is what I am addressing myself to. Am I
6	clear?
7	A That doesn't remind me, but verified to my memory.
8	Q You mean you knew it all the time?
9	A No, sir, it verified my memory.
10	Q Didn't you tell us this morning that you saw it
11	and then you remembered that whenyou had said it was Prince
12	Valiant or something
13	A In the grand jury, yes.
14	Q That was wrong?
15	A Yes. I knew it before but I didn't recall it in
16	the grand jury.
17	Q In the grand jury you thought it was Prince
18	Valiant?
19	A Yes.
20	Q You met with Mr. Sorkin and he was busy on Friday
21	when you found it and on that Saturday you br-ught that to hi
22	attention, among other things?
23	A Yes.
24	Q What did he say to you when you said, "Look at
25	this piece of paper. This reminds me that the code name

rmb-5

## D'Onofrio-cross

for Frank's account was Lance, not Prince Valiant, I made a mistake"?

Is that what you said to him, in substance?

- A You want to know what I said to him?
- Q In substance, briefly. In substance, you said, "Mr.Sorkin, I have just seen this and that reminds me that the code name for Frank's account was Lance, not Prince Valiant." Isn't that the substance of it?
  - A I would prefer to tell you what I said to him.
  - Q Do it.

A I said, "Mr.Sorkin, this group of papers I have is on another case entirely, but it indicates here that the code name for Martin Frank is Lance and that in the event," - because I know I had testified wrongly in the grand jury for Prince Valiant -- "in the event the defense attorneys cross-examine me and want to at some point say to me, when did I recall this or when did it happen, at least now I have it down in my mind when I did recall it precisely and it is in my own handwriting, and he may never ask, but these are the papers for your disposition."

Q He said, "Fine, I am glad that you" --

A He didn't say that. He was so busy getting into the case, he said, "I am going to put the papers on the side, and I think he may have put them in a folder of his own, and I don't think he replied to me.

End 1A

Ω

I thought you found out you made the mistake

1

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

when you found these papers the other day?

No, no. That was for verification, in case such astute, eminent counsel such as yourself would be putting me through precisely what you are putting me through now, that I have a document in my own handwriting and I don't have to resort to memory.

- Have you read the indictment in this case? 0
- No, sir. A
- Never looked at it?
- No, sir. A
- Never shown to you?
- It was shown to me, I never read it. À
- Not interested in it? 0
- I have no interest in it.
- You testified in this case, sir, that it was Joe Pfingst who initially presented the Training With The Pros proposition to Stoller and Allen, isn't that right?
  - Yes, sir. Α
- And I am referring to pages 135 to 139 of your testimony. You remember what you said, I don't have to read it.
  - In substance, yes. I remember the substance --A
- Well, the substance was that you and Joe Pfingst were playing what you called Neckel & Jeckel?

MR. SORKIN: I object to Mr. Gould testifying, your Honor.

MR. GOULD: He is right. I will read it.

Q "Do you remember testifying that on the airplane you had a conversation with Pfingst and then you told about the conversation you and Pfingst had and there was an interruption -- "

Was there any mention of Training With The Prosin the conversation?

A When Mr. Pfingst said to me, have you talked to the boys -- he called Mr Allen and Mr. Stoller the boys -- that was his vernacular or words when referring to them. I said no, I have not spoken with the poys about Training With The Pros, which I want you to understand is still M&H Studios, but I am referring to it as Training With The Pros.

O Go ahead.

A He said why not, Ray?

I said, "You don't understand" -- and I will skip the rest of the answer. If Mr. Sorkin wants it, he can read it.

I go down to the middle of page 138, same conversation, he says, "I am a lawyer. Suppose I bring the deal up."

24

25

He says, "You and I play Heckle & Jeckle and you act like you never even knew I was bring it up.

When we get to Switzerland at the right moment at the right time -- make sure the right moment is after we closed our deal and collected our money."

I says, "I buy the Heckle & Jeckle idea. I think it is a good idea and we will play the game that way."

- O Mr. D'Onofrio, when you got to Switzerland did there come a time when you met Mr. Stoller, Mr. Allen, Mr. Pfingst at a hotel called Baur au Lac?
  - A Yes, there did.
- O Did you have a conversation with them, "And you gave a date, and then you testified"-- I am leaving out about the order --
  - A Am I entitled to hear that, your Honor?

    THE COURT: You are entitled to look at it.
- O Do you want to look at it? You told what Allen ordered --
  - A Well, I didn't hear you.

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, you asked me to speak up if I didn't hear.

THE COURT: That is correct, go ahead.

- O "O Tell us what was said and by whom?
- "A Mr. Pfingst, while the four of us were sitting

there, looked and referred to Jerry Allen and he said, Jerry, have you heard about Ray's new deal, Training With The Pros, and Jerry said, no, I haven't heard about it, I said, it is a fantastic new deal," and so on.

Now, that is the testimony you gave in this case?

- And you remembered where the conversation took place, no problem about it, isn't that right?
  - To my best recollection.
  - You remembered what each one said to the other?

  - You gave me exact words, didn't you?
  - As best I could recall.
- You didn't tell us anything you didn't remember about the conversation?

No. I told you it is subject always to adjectives adverbs, prepositions. If I want to perform a memory test, you would say I memorized everything. So I have not memorized. I am subject to fallibility, with adjectives, but not with the substance of the deal and the conversations, not with the substance.

23

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

Tk 2A

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

D'Onofrio-cross

You didn't have any hesitation about telling the jury the exact words that Pfingst said to Jerry Allen and Phil Stoller?

As I recall them.

Do you have any doubt now that it was Pfingst who started the conversation and used these words, used the words "Jerry, have you heard about Ray's new deal, Training With the Pros"?

- I have no doubt about that, sir.
- You have no doubt about that?
- No, sir.
- And Jerry said, "No, I haven't heard about it." No doubt about that?
  - No doubt about it. A
  - That is exactly the way it happened?
  - Maybe not exactly, but in the substance.
- You remember it, your mind says to you that is exactly the way it happened, right? Well, at any rate, there is no question it was Pfingst who by prearrangement with you opened the subject to the boys?
  - Yes, sir. A
- Now, you remember testifying before the grand jury on November 19, 1973? Do you remember that? MR. GOULD: Page EJC4, Mr. Sorkin.

21

22

23

24

25

D'Onofrio-cross

gab-2

Do you really want me to answer do I remember that

Q Yes, I really want you to tell me whether you remember it or not.

MR. SORKIN: Thank you, Mr. Gould.

I don't remember testifying at the grand jury on a certain date that you quote. If you say I have testifien, yes, I have.

0 You do --

I don't remember a particular day. From the --I have been in front of the grand jury dozens of times.

You remember sitting under trees at the Baur au Lac with Pfingst and Stoller and Allen?

Yes, but I have had many grand jury appearances. They didn't make me a million dollars, that did.

That is why you remember that?

Yes.

It stuck in your mind and there was never any doubt about what was said in that conversation?

Never any doubt about the whole conspiracy.

There never was any doubt in your mind about that conversation under the trees at the Baur au Lac that you described --

- In substance, you are correct, sir.
- That was an important thing in your life? Q

3

4

5

6

7

0

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

A Not then. We didn't make the million dollars. It was just a start.

- Q How about in November '73, was it important then?
- A Was it important to me?
- Q Yes.

A It was important in a purpose of cooperating with the Government.

Q "Q Now, am I correct that there came a time that you told Mr. Stoller about a company called Training With the Pros"? The question put to you by Mr. Sorkin. You are there, you are under oath and you give the following response.

"A Yes, I believe myself and another individual, but I cannot be perfect as to who told him."

Did you give that answer to that question?

- A Yes, sir, I also modified --
- Q Just answer the question. If Mr. Sorkin wants any more from you, he will ask for it.
  - A Thank you, sir.
  - Q You gave that answer?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q And that is the same conversation that you just told us about when Mr. Pfingst said to Mr.Stoller, "Have you heard about Ray's new deal," and so on?

		791
1	gab-4	D'Onofrio-cross
2	Α	That is the other gentleman and expanded.
3	Q	I see. When you testified on November 19,
4	1973	8
5		MR. GOULD: Conceded, Mr. Sorkin?
6	1	MR. SORKIN: That is correct. I concede it.
7	Q	When you testified under oath and you were asked
8	about that	conversation, you were asked:
9	"Q	Am I correct that there came a time when you told
10	Mr.Stoller	about a company called Training With the Pros"?
11	You said "Y	es, I believe myself and another individual, but
12	I cannot be	perfect as to who told me."
13		That is the answer.
14		You didn't even remember it, did you, that it was
15	Mr. Pfingst	who Coldyou?
16	A	I said another individual. I did not remember at
17	the time.	
18	Q	You did not remember it was Mr. Pfingst?
19	A	I remembered it was Mr. Pfingst. I didn't remembe
20	which of the	e two.
21	Q 1	When you testified before the grand jury on
22	November 19	, did you say one word about Mr. Pfingst being at
23	the meeting	?
24	Α :	I think if Mr. Sorkin would have asked me to
	II.	1

express my mind and to think who was the other gentleman, I

So it is

		/93		
1	gab-6 D'Onofrio-cross			
2	"Q Where did you first see it? " "It"	being the		
з	draft of the indication letter?			
4	"A I was present at the drafting of it o	on a yellow		
5	tablet, piece of paper, in August of 1968 when 3	Joseph Pfing-t		
6	and I were in Switzerland, and Joe writes the le	etter"		
7	it says here.			
8	"Q You participated in the drafting of	it in August		
9	of 1968?			
0	"A Yes."			
1	Do you remember giving those answers	to those		
2	questions?			
3	A Yes, sir.			
4	Q Was it true? Was your testimony tr	ruthful at		
15	that time?			
16	A Yes, sir.			
17	7 Q When you testified to that, did you	actually have		
18	in mind a mental image of the paper being draft	ted on a		
19	yellow sheet, Mr. Pfingst drafting it			
20	A A mental image?			
21	a vas	v		
22		ts. So it i		
23	possible it could hve been graph paper. It pr	obably could		
24	have been the graph paper or a yellow sheet of	paper that		
24	have been the graph paper or a yellow sheet of	paper th		

24

25

the transcribing was done on. I think I probably said

1	794			
1	gab-7 D'Onofrio-cross			
2	yellow sheet, because yellow is used 99 per cent of the time			
3	and the Swiss bank's paper, two or three percent of the time.			
4	Q You really didn't have any recollection			
5	A That it was yellow.			
6	Q Let me finish, Mr. Witness.			
7	'You didn't really have any recollection whether			
8	it was a yellow sheet or the bank's draft paper?			
9	A Not draft, they use graph scrap paper.			
10	Q So when you said yellow sheet, that was a recon-			
11	struction by you of what you thought?			
12	A What was my best recollection.			
13	Q How about who wrote it out, whether it was Pfingst			
14	or somebody else?			
15	A That I recall, Mr. Pfingst.			
16	Q That you are sure of?			
17	A He is a lawyer.			
18	Q Do you remember him doing it or is that to a re-			
19	construction?			
20	A That I remember.			
21	Q You are going to sit here now and look back the			
22	way you did the other day and say Pfingst wrote it out?			
23	A In longhand.			
24	Q In longhand, I am not sure it was yellow, but			
25	I think it was yellow?			

T

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1ĥ

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And he was using -- may I add further or do you want me to end?

> Go on. You add anything you want.

Well, he was using a boilerplate of a letter that we had used for another manipulation as his guide.

Do you understand what I meant by that?

I heard you, but I don't understand it, no.

He was using a boilerplace. You are a lawyer. You know what a boiler plate is.

1?

Yes.

I know what it means to me. You go ahead, finish your answer.

He was using a boilerplate of another letter that we were currently using in another manipulation as his quide for drawing this letter.

Do you have the other letter?

I think the United States Government has it.

Have you ever seen the indication letter, the Bank Hofmann indication letter, Government Exhibit 4, is it?

The one in Training With the Pros, or the one you are asking me --

Training With the Pros.

I am sorry. We got into another subject.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SUJARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. CO 7 4500

24

Q No, we didn't, I am on the same subject. You got into another subject.

A Yes, I have seen it.

Q You have seen it? You saw it here, didn't you, this paper?

A Yes.

Q Now, before you saw it here had you ever seen it before except in Zurich when it was written?

A Sir, typed in Zurich -- rirst I saw it written.

Q Yes.

A Then I saw it typed on around October 12, 13 or 14.

Q Yes.

A Then I saw it again at Mr. Noss' office, but I brought a photocopy of it back in my own courier case.

So I had a copy in the event Mr. Moss's went astray, that the bank sent to him.

Then I saw it again when I gave photocopies of it to Mr.Stoller and Mr. Allen. Then I saw it again when we produced those copies at Marty Frank's office in November. Then I never saw it again because it was destroyed, I was told, until this trial when the Government asked me have I ever seen that document.

Q All right. We are very clear then. You saw it

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. CO 7-4580

			797	
	1	gab-10	D'Onofrio-cross	
	2	in Zurich	and then you saw it several times after that, righ	t
	3	A	In the United States.	
世	4	Q	In the United States?	
	5	A	Yes.	
	6	Q	Several times?	
	7	A	Yes, sir.	
	8	Q	And then you saw it again in this courtroom?	
	9	A	Yes, sir.	
	10	Q	Correct?	
	11	A	Yes, sir.	
End 2A	12			
	13		•	
	14			
	15			
	16			
	17			
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22		•	
\$	23			
	24			
	25			
			₩ *	

	798				
1	2b pm gwrf 1 D'Onofrio-cross				
2	O Now, going back to your grand jury testimony in				
3	November 1973, page RSK 2, question by Hr. Sorkin. This				
4	is on November 19, 1973.				
5	Before I ask you the question, you had seen it				
6	in the States prior to November 1973, hadn't you?				
7	Λ Years prior.				
8	O When you saw it in Zurich, that was in the year				
9	what year?				
10	λ 1969.				
11	O And then when you saw it at Frank's office what				
12	year was that?				
13	Λ Excuse me. 1968 I saw it in Zurich.				
14	O All right.				
15	Λ 1969 again in Frank's Office.				
16	O Then you saw it with Moss				
17	λ No, that was the same time.				
18	O The same time?				
19	Λ Yes. I hadn't seen it since 1969.				
20	O You saw it six or seven times, right?				
21	A Four or five times.				
22	O Four or five times, all right.				
23	Now I'm all straightened out.				
24	When you testified on November 19th you				
25	were asked this question and I think you gave this answer:				

gwrf 2

•

"O Am I correct that at some point in October, September or October or even possibly earlier it was agreed
based upon previous experience with another security
called Resource Control, Inc. to have the bank send a
letter of indication to Training With The Pros indicating
that they had heard there was going to be an underwriting
and they would be interested in acquiring such stock in
the underwriting?"

We are clear, aren't we, the letter you are talking about is Exhibit 4, right, the indication letter?

- A Yes, sir.
- O No question about it? And you answered:

"A Yes, I believe it was earlier than October or September, but I can't place the date. I've never seen the documents since."

So you were telling them than that you hadn't seen this letter?

- A That was my best recollection at that time.
- O Then?
- A Yes, sir..
- O This was November of '73. Then something happened between November '73 and the day when you testified differently in this courtroom the other day, as I have just read you, something happened to-remind you that you had

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT HE PORTERS L S. COUNTROUSE

could elaborate, change, alter, modify, correct, amplify any statement that I made because I'm Joing it under conditions that I'm not really ready for, if I'm shown documents or things to the other. At least that is what I remember doing at most of my grand jury appearances.

O You remember in that same grand jury testimony when you inadvertently gave the wrong answer to that question and told the grand jurors that you had never seen the document before — do you remember Mr.

Sorkin explaining to you what the penalty was for false testimony?

A Yes, but that isn't false testimony if you don't recall.

Q I see.

A Deliberate perjury is.

And then you said in November 1973 that, "The events relating to Training With The Pros are four or five years old and I've had very little or practically no material or evidence or paperwork and the statements

I'm about to give are from full recollection and memory and may be subject to amplification, correction, modification or altering in any way, shape or form in the future if I'm shown documents to the contrary, if I'm shown documents to the contrary, that may lead me to believe

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. CO 7:4580

what you said?

let me read it to you just the way you said it.

22 23

MR. SORKIN: I think he has read it to him already, your Honor. I don't see any need in going over it again.

THE COURT: I don't know what counsel is going to read so I can't answer that.

MR. SORKIN: I'm sorry, your Honor.

- O When you said to the grand jury that "And may be subject to amplification, correction, modification or altering in any way, shape or form in the future if I'm shows documents to the contrary that may lead me to believe that I made errors in my recollection today," and that is what you said?
  - A Documents. Notice the plural.
  - Q The plural?
  - A The plural is important.
  - Q What is important about the plural?
- M Because when I see transfer sheets, when I see my own written handwriting, when I see my distribution, documents make you recall and go back.
- O That is what I want to know, sir. What documents did you see?
- A Many. I had my own. Then I had my own analysis of my distribution of the stock, I had various documents.

  I had the offering circular to work with longer, I had reflections on those documents, I saw the transfer sheets,

I saw the various transfers into street names and, as you can see all these documents it becomes more vivid.

O Then you remembered that you had seen Government's Exhibit 4 in Marty Frank's office, after you saw those documents, right?

A After I recalled the whole series of the crime.

- O Those --
- A During the time.
- Q It came out of your head, not out of documents?
- A It came as a result of documents refreshing my memory.
  - O Okay.
  - A Why are you being a witness for me?
- O Isn't it a fact, sir, that every time you testified before the grand jury in this case -- do you remember all those dates I gave you -- you always said that what you were telling them was subject to being changed later on?

A Yes, I believe it may be true, but I may have missonit once.

Q But generally your practice was to say, I'm under oath, I'm telling you what I remember, but I may want to change it later on?

2 ||

A Yes, I had a good reason.

O I know. Do you want to tell me what the reason was or do you want me to tell you?

Λ I would rather tell you.

O Tell me.

November I was insurged by many United States Attorneys, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the United States Postal Authorities, state agencies, for crimes in other areas that I had not worked or had any recollection of the things that Mr. Sorkin, who was just then assigned to me to handle these cases -- and I wanted to give myself time to think about them. I had too many other things on my mind relative to many other crimes and I was not concentrating on the areas he was talking to me about.

Q Did you ever ask to delay your appearances before the grand jury because you weren't ready?

A No, but I did ask Mr. Sorkin — I says,
"Mr. Sorkin, are you the type of an attorney that goes
through a very deep grand jury testimony or are you
the type of an attorney that is very brief and doesn't make
it lengthy? Because if you are the type of an attorney
that goes extremely lengthy, 30 or 40 pages, you better
give me a lot of time for preparation atherwise" —

far more artistic.

- O You know, don't you, Mr. D'Onofrio, that when you testify in a grand jury you are a witness in a proceeding which has as its purpose under the law to determine whether or another human being has committed a crime?
  - A That is correct.
  - O And you know that if the grand jury is misled in any way an innocent person may be hadly hurt?
    - A That is correct.
  - Q Under that. And you know that to go into a grand jury and testify about facts when you are not sure is a pretty dangerous thing to do to other people?
    - A That is correct, sir.
  - O And so you went into this grand jury four or five.

    times up to November 1973 knowing that you had not done your
    studying and you testified and gave answers to questions?

MR. SORKIN: Your Monor, I object. There is no record that he went in four or five times.

MR. GOULD: How many times was it?

MR. SORKIN: Refore November. It is four or five after, but only once before.

- O Twice you went before a grand jury, once --
- A Once in a rush with Mr. Brodsky, I believe --
- O Well, that doesn't count.
- A Sure it counts.

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

Q You went in there, you were not ready, prepared, you made mistakes --

A If the Government wants to bring me in and I tell them I am not ready for full testimony, who knows, they may have thought I would drop dead tomorrow from a clot in my aorta and wanted as much information out of me as they could get.

O In a grand jury?

A I am not a healthy man and they probably wanted as much as they could get.

O You have heart trouble?

A Yes. I have a blockage of no main aorta. I am not looking for sympathy, I am just celling you the facts.

Q You have my sympathy. I was just astonished to learn you have heart trouble.

You were not ready and you went in anyway?
That is what it comes down to.

A If the Government tells you to go into a grand jury I cannot tell them I am not going in.

O You could say, "I am not sure of my facts" --

A I did, I modified it by putting that quotation in there. I did exactly what you told me I should have done, and I put it on the record. I didn't say it

before we went in.

Q Let's go back, page 141 of the transcript, in this case-- we are talking about this here case, page 141. Do you remember testifying here the other day that when Pfingst -- now, the four of you are sitting under the big awning outside the Baur au Lac, big tree, huge tree, terrace in front of the Baur au Lac.

 $\Lambda$  I wanted to hear you say it. it sounds so beautiful.

O A big tree, an awning, you look out over the lake, you remember that?

A Yes, sir.

O And the four of you are sitting there and you and Pfingst and Stoller and Allen and Ffingst is plyaing Heckle & Jeckle with you, remember, or with them, and they start to talk about Training With The Pros, right?

That is the conversation?

A Yes, sir.

O The first time that Mr. Stoller ever hears, as far as you know, about Training With The Pros?

A I may have previously when he asked what deals -I always used to throw deals on their desks, but never
discussed them. "What are you doing lately?"

"I'm doing Bio Derivitives" ---

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

O So far as you know, that is the first time Stoller hears about Training With The Pros, and by prearrangement with Pfingst, Pfingst is the one who tells him about it?

A Yes.

O Also, you told us the other day, Stoller wasn't very interested, was he?

A No, sir.

O In fact, he said to you, "I don't want to hear about any new deals. I have no interest in new deals at all," correct?

A Yes, sir.

O Those are the words? You remember the words?

A Yes, sir.

O Then Jerry Allen says, "Phil, let him speak his piece, let him finish, maybe they have something we can look at," that is what you told us the other day.

A Yes, sir.

O Stoller was very unenthusiastic when it was first mentioned?

A What is your question?

O When the subject was broached by Pfingst, Stoller said, "I don't want to hear about any new deals," and he was unenthusiastic?

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

24

23

25

A No question about that, six.

O No question.

Okay.

Let's go back to your grand jury testimony on January 10, 1974. By January 10th you were better prepared than you had been on November 19, 1973?

Not much better, no, sir.

O You were still fuzzy, still had not done your homework?

A No, sir. I was working on many, many other cases.

In fact, they shot me down to Tallahassee when --

O They shot you?

A I mean I was sent to Tallahassee on some state crimes down there and I was supposed to be up here working on Training and the whole case came in between and Mr. Sorkin was on a long trial and I was anable to see him for a few weeks. So I would have to say at that time I was just about as well prepared as I was the previous time.

- Q Which was not very well prepared?
- A Not very well prepared.
- O I'm reading from GH 4 of the grand jury minutes of January 10, 1974:
- "O And did Joe Pfingst present the Training With The Pros deal in your presence?"

2

5

6

7

9

10

11

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Mr. Sorkin asked you that question.

"A That is correct.

"O Am I correct that Mr. Stoller and Mr. Allen jumped at the deal and were very enthusiastic to go into it with you and Mr. Pfingst?

"A Extremely enthusiastic, yes."

A Yes.

O That is the truth?

A That is the truth, and so is the other question the truth.

O It is true that --

A One is a final result and one is an initial part.

O The final result was they jumped at the deal and were extremely enthusiastic?

A But at the beginning Phil was not enthusiastic.

O You were just giving the final result here?

A I was only answering the question.

O When you said to me before that Stoller was very unenthusiastic --

At the beginning, yes, sil.

O It was my fault because I didn't say he was unenthusiastic at the beginning?

A And then enthusiastic at the end.

25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE

25

O That is what I should have asked you?

A So I could give a proper answer.

THE COURT: We will take the afternoon recess
at this point, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, ten
minutes. Counsel please remain.

Would you remain, Mr.D'Onofrio?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

(Jury leaves room.)

but I have gone through this portfolio of documents which Mr. Sorkin produced with the help of his aids post-luncheon. This is the folio which as soon as the clerk gets to it should be marked Court's Exhibit 2 for identification. I have extracted a number of documents, some of which I need the help of Mr. D'Onofrio on.

Mr. D'Onofrio, I show you an exhibit, July 10, 1973, SDNY Grand Jury, Exhibit No. 4 something. Is that in your handwriting?

THE WITNESS: That is my handwriting, yes.

THE COURT: I show you another document which is a letter addressed to Mr. Sorkin, which appears to have your signature on it, dated December 3, 1973.

Mr. Stoller, if I were you, I would sit down and listen. The Court has not suspended.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12 .

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STOLLER: I'm sorry, sir.

THE WITNESS: Oh, yes, I could never get ahold of him so I had to write him a letter.

THE COURT: That's all right. I show you another letter which is on blue stationery and appears to be dated 5-11, perhaps, but some clerk put a hale through it for filing purposes, I guess -- is that in your hand?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, this is all in my handwriting. This is May 28, is the post stamp.

THE COURT: I guess it was the 27th, then.

THE WITNESS: About, correct.

THE COURT: Then there is a document which really consists of two, and the face sheet may be in someone else's hand, but the second sheet is in your's, I believe.

THE WITNESS: The first sheet. I don't know the handwriting.

MR. SORKIN: I think that is Hr. Doona, your Honor.

THE WITNESS: This is all my writing.

(Indicating.)

THE COURT: Thank you. You may be excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

(Witness leaves courtroom.)

4 5

. 11

THE COURT: Gentlemen, I am going to turn over to the defense the following and you may not choose to use any part of it and indeed I might say, although the judgment is yours, of course, I would be rather surprised if you would, but that is something I leave up to you.

Exhibit No. 4 something before our grand jury on July 10, 1973. That will be called Court's Exhibit 2-A, and I will put it on in my own hand, gentlemen, in case you forget and start badgering somebody else. It will be my writing.

Mr. Feldshuh, would you aid the Court by being a conduit and pass these documents.

MR. FELDSHUH: Yes, your Honor.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE

•

in the hand of Ramon D'Onofrio, dated December 3, 1973, to Mr. Sorkin. This will be Court Exhibit 2B for identification.

The next is the letter which we believe may be may be may be may be marked to Ira Sorkin, and it will be marked Court Exhibit 2C for identification.

The next, consisting of two pages, only one of which is in the handwriting of Mr. D'Onofrio --

MR. SORKIN: May we have the top one back, your Honor? It is our guide as to what the document is.

THE COURT: Court Exhibit 20 is an undated list headed "Fred," and then the sum of \$365 and there is an entry, "You owe me 5000 shares, TWP", which i believe, thanks to your eloquence in this trial, must mean Training With the Pros.

I come now to a transcript which on its face has nothing directly to do with our case at all which is Court Exhibit 2E for identification, and that is the SEC transcript, Pages 1 to 73, dealing with, I assume, an issue, Fast Food Services, Inc., and I have taken the liberty of putting some tabs on some pages which have some reference to names which have been mentioned on direct and cross-examination of the witness D'Onofric.

Also a lot of material in this case which doesn't have anything to do with the direct, 1 admit that, but I do think from what I have heard, couns! should fairly have this. For example, we have a reference to a person named Recca, a name we have heard about. We have a reference here to Herbert and the firm of Herbert & D'Onofrio, A.G. and some answers which you gentlemen might deem to be slightly inconsistent with what was given here.

I am frank to say, Mr. Sorkin, I won't impose great restrictions if the counsel want to read other pages in here. I better warn you of that. I would not permit them to get into some of the esoterica which is contained in here about some of the other things this witness was up to in his days with A.T.Brod and others.

I don't think that is fair and I don't think it is necessary here but I don't want to restrict the rummaging of counsel into this document because there are a few things they may want to see.

As soon as the clerk reappears from his duties outside here, we will have these formally marked.

MR. SORKIN: Mr. Doonan pulled out pamphlets
from the file entitled "Sensory Systems Presents Training
With the Pros, Automotive tuneup," and they are just pictures
and publications and I don't care whether counsel look at

1 rmb-3 D'Onofrio-cross 2 it or not. 3 MR. GOULD: A point of view devoutly shared by 4 counsel. 5 I have no interest in them. 6 THE COURT: I do feel counsel should see these 7 other documents. 8 MR. FELDSHUH: Thank you, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Perhaps that is not under Rule 3500 10 strictly or exclusively, but I think they should see them. 11 I think the Government is correct in their view that the rest of this folder, Court Exhibit 2 is not producible. 13 off the record. 14 (Discussion off the record.) 15 (Court Exhibits 2A through 2E were marked 16 for identification.) 17 (Recess.) 18 (In open court; jury present.) THE COURT: Before we begin, Mr. Gould, have you 19 had a chance to examine those documents which I explicated 20 21 on the record? MR. GOULD: They are looking at them, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: You are willing to go ahead? MR. GOULD: Yes, your Honor. They are going over 24 them and I am sure before very, long we will have a con-25

 $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$ 

That is what you were talking about at that

Yes.

You better keep that letter in front of you so you know that is the letter we are walking about. (Handing.) You were asked by Mr. Sorkin:

Tell us, please, what Mr. Frank said, if anything, "O with reference to this letter."

Mr.Frank told me that he had succeeded in getting the corporate books back from Miss Hertzfeld and one of the things that was most damaging to all of us was this letter and that he had seen to it that it was destroyed."

Yes, sir.

That was your answer?

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. SORKIN: Mr.Gould, I am sorry, I can't find

it. Is it GH4?

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GOULD: There it is (indicating).

A That is true, he told us to destroy it at one time, and at another time he told me he had destroyed it whenhe got it from Miss Hertzfeld.

- Q He told you both?
- A Yes.
- Q First he told you to destroy it and then he told you he had gotten it back and had destroyed it?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q Mr.D'Onofrio, have you ever told that to anybody else before, that there were two conversations with Martin Frank in one of which he told you to destroy it and in the other of which he told you he had destroyed it?
  - A No, sir, I don't think so.
- Q The first time you ever mentioned that was right here right now after you had heard what you said in the grand jury, isn't that right?
  - A I believe so, yes, sir.
- Q There isn't a word anywhere else about two conversations with Frank about destroying the letter?
- A There are an awful lot of conversations that are omitted from my testimony here and there are an awful lot that have not been submitted because I just didn't remember

at the time of direct.

Q And you just remembered for the first time since

- A That's correct, you are helping me recall.
- 0 -- that there were two conversations?
- A And the more you help me to recall, the more comfortable I am.
- Q You are now comfortable with the fact that when you were asked in this case what the conversation was, you said, "Mr.Frank told me that he had succeeded in getting the books back from Hertzfeld and that one of the things that was most damaging to all of us was this letter and that he had seen to it that it was destroyed."

A Yes, sir.

Q He said that after you had nad a different conversation which you have never testified to except here in the grand jury -- do you want to change it now?

A No. I want to tell you when the other conversation was.

Q Tell me when, where and who was present.

A It took place in the late April conversation when he told us that I would have to get the company to represent him and he said, "You better get that letter back and we are going to have to have it destroyed."

ю

## D'Onofrio-cross

Q	When	was	the	conversation	whenhe	told	you	he	had
destroyed	it?								

A The beginning of July or the end of June -- more near July or the middle of July.

Q We now have it there were the conversations and you and I are in agreement that never before have you told about the two conversations?

A You have helped more of the truth come out.

Q I am delighted. The first one is in April and in April Frank says, "You better get hold of that letter and destroy it," or words to that effect?

A In substance, yes.

Q But you don't go and get the letter, do you?

A Oh, I couldn't. I tried.

Q Why not?

A Miss Hertzfeld's brother was a lawyer and Mr. Moss said, "We have nothing to hide at all," and Miss Hertzfeld said, "Under advice of my brother, we are not going to take any other attorney. We did nothing and if those two comedians," meaning Stoller and Allen, "played around with our stock, we have nothing to hide and we are going in to the grand jury and say anything we want."

Q You are telling us between April, when Mr.Frank told you to get the letter destroyed, and July, when Mr.

rmb-9

## D'Onofrio-cross

Frank told you in a different conversation that he had gotten the letter and destroyed it, you made an effort to get hold of the letter to destroy it?

A I made an effort to have the firm of Feldshuh & Frank hired so that it could be destroyed, that he would have hold of the books.

Q Didn't you go to Miss Hertzfeld and say, "Give me that letter, I need it"?

- A No, sid, I said, "Hire the firm."
- Q What firm?
- A Feldshuh & Frank.
- Q In other words, Mr.Frank toldyou to get hold of that letter and destroy it?
  - A To get all the corporate books and that letter.

End 3B

exactly.

TK 4A

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have to read your testimony. You testified, you said in the grand jury, you said hr .Frank, to your recollection -- really Sorkin said. Let me read it

D'Onofrio-cross

"Am I correct, Mr.D'Onofrio, that there came a point in time when Mr. Frank, to your recollection, told you to destroy the original of the letter that was received from Bank Hofmann?

He told myself, Mr.Stoller and Mr.Allen to make sure the document was destroyed."

Now, that is what you testified to?

- Yes, sir. Α
- And that is the truth when you told it?
- Yes, sir. Α
  - And that was back in April?
- Yes, sir.
  - And you didn't do anything yourself betweenApril Q and July to get the letter destroyed, did you?
    - I couldn't get it.
      - Did you try? 0
  - I couldn't get the books, I couldn't get them A appointed as counsel.
    - Did you try to get the letter?
    - No, sir.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE NEW YORK N. 1 CO / 4580

1	gab-2 D'Onofrio-cross	
2	O So I am right, you didn't do a darn thing to	
3	get the letter and destroy it?	
4	A I tried to get them appointed as counsel so they	
5	could have custody of the books and records.	
6	Q The answer is you didn't do anything to get the	
7	letter?	
8	A That is not the answer, sir.	
9	Q You just told me that.	
10	A I did not tell you that. I said I tried to	
11	employ the firm because Marty Frank told us if the law firm	-
12	has custody of all books and records of the company, they	
13	will not be able to get them from him during the course of	
14	the trial, that the SEC would be coming up in.	
15	Q Have you ever told that to anybody before?	
16	A Yes, I think I told that to Mr. Sorkin, but	
17	I didn't testify here to that.	
18	Q You never	
19	Λ There are many things that I probably haven't tole	d
20	you. If you would like to go into this theme	
21	Q Now they are coming out. You and I	
22	A We have a good rapport.	
23	Q Terrific.	
24	The fact is that other than trying to get the	
25	firm of Feldshuh & Frank appointed as counsel for the compan	Y

11		
	D'Onofrio-cross	
1.	gab-3	
2	you didn't do anything?	
3	A You mean try to get the actual letter?	
4	Q That's right.	
5	A No, that's all inclusive. It is all books, records	,
6	documents from the underwriting, everything.	
7	Q Frank didn't tell you to destroy all the documents,	
8	he just said to get the letter and destroy it, isn't that	
9	right?	
10	A Yes, sir. But I thought it was prudent that I just	
11	get him appointed as counsel.	
12	Q That was your idea?	
13	A Yes, sir.	
14	Q And	
15	A No, it was his idea to be appointed as counsel.	
16	Q I am only using your words, Mr. Witness. Frank	
17	told me you said Mr.Frank, to your recollection, told you	
18	to destroy the original of the letter that was received	
19	from Bank Hofmann.	
20	"A He told myself and Mr.Stoller and Mr. Allen to	
21	make sure the document was destroyed."	

That is your testimony, isn't it?

That is correct.

23

24

25

Did he tell you that or did he tell you something different?

A Yes, sir.

24

25

was this letter, Government Exhibit 4, and it was destroyed?

11		1
		831
1	gab-5	D'Onofrio-eross
2	Q	And we are in agreement that this is the first
3	time it h	as come out in all of this case that there were
4	two conve	rsations about destroying the letter?
5	Α	That there were two conversations, yes, sir.
6	Q	And you never told that to Sorkin?
7	A	I don't recall, sir.
8	Q	You
9	A	I would have to agree with you, I don't recall.
10	Q	You would have to agree with me that you never
11	said that	before.
12		MR. GOULD: I will ask Mr. Sockin, am I not right,
13	this is	the first time
14		MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, does the Court
15		MR. GOULD: If he doesn't want to answer, that's
16	all righ	t.
17		MR. SORKIN: I will answer, Mr.Gould.
18		THE COURT: Just a moment. Nobody is requiring
19	you with	my approval at least to answer anything.
20	A	I don't
21		THE COURT: Just a moment. Please go on.
22		MR. GOULD: I meant by way of a concession. If
23	he won't	give it to me
24		MR. SORKIN: I will give him as much as he wants,
	II	

your Honor.

THE COURT: I want you to listen to me, gentlemen.

I am a little tired of these reactions because you don't

seem to hear.

If you have any more questions to put to this witness, I would prefer we do that. If you want a concession at a later time from Mr. Sorkin on something, fine. I don't think we should go back and forth here so that --

MR. GOULD: I agree, your Honor. I apologize.

All I did was to ask counsel if he would concede that this is the first time in the course of the case that two conversations had been mentioned. If he doesn't want to do it

THE COURT: I don't know quite why we have to ask counsel that. You have spent the last five minutes establishing that from this witness. If we want Mr. Sorkin, we can get him later.

I want one witness at a time.

THE COURT: I accept your Honor's admonition.

Q Do you remember your testimony in this case, Mr. Witness, Page 143 of the trial transcript --

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I would like to give this back to them.

THE COURT: Do you still wish him to hold onto the copy --

MR. GOULD: I am finished with it.

1	gab-

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

.

THE COURT: Very good.

MR. GOULD: Thank you.

- Q Page 143 of the transcript. Do you remember test fying that you had originally planned for Training With the Pros to come out at two or \$3 per share? Do you remember that?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q Do you want me to read it to you?
  - A No, I remember.
- Q And Stoller toldyou it had to be over \$5? Do you remember that?
  - A Yes.
- Q Is that right, that it was Stoller who told you it ought to be over five?
- A I don't remember if it was Stoller or Allen. It could be one or the other. I could be confused on that.

  Even if I testified here it was Stoller, it could be Allen; one of the two. I am not sure. But those were the reasons given.
  - Q When was that meeting --
- A That was the Baur au Lac meeting with the trees and the sun and the moon.
  - Q That was what month of the year?
  - A June 8th or 9th or 10th of 1968.

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 1	11			
	Q	But	certainly	June?

- A Certainly June.
- Q Certainly June in Switzerland.

Now, in your narrative, Stoller Exhibit H, you described the offering, the original offering price of the stock, didn't you?

A I don't recall, sir.

Q Let me read it to you. This is Page 3 of your narrative. You are describing a conversation with a fellow named Richard Kirschbaum.

Do you remember that?

- A No, sir.
- O Do you want to look at it?
- A No, you can read it.
- Q "Richard Kirschbaum, he was a friend of Glenn Wu and I told Richie about the company," the company being Training with the Pros, right?

A Yes, sir.

at 41-86th Street, which was really not much of a company.

It was a two-room apartment and Bud had one apartment which

he lived in and worked in with his drawing boards and Marilyn

Hertzfeld lived in another apartment next door.

"I told him that I was going to do an underwriting

22 23

1	gab-9 D'Onofrio-cross
2	and his mother had an account, I believe, at A. T. Brod,
3	Ida Kirschbaum, and should she have some money to invest,
4	I could use a \$20,000 loan."
5	Do you remember that conversation?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q Do you remember writing it in here?
8	A Yes, sir.
9	Q You also remember your testimony that it was
10	Stoller, that you said the stock would be coming out at \$3 and
11	Stoller said it should be \$5?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q And then the next sentence, "I would be sure the
14	stock would be coming out at" I am sorry. I read it
15	wrong.
16	"I would be sure the stock would be coming at \$5
17	or higher." Did you write that in here?
18	A Yes, sir. Does it tell you the date when I
19	brought Mr. Kirschbaum up there?
20	Q Don't you remember the date?
21	A Yes, I think I brought him up after the Baur au La
22	meeting.
23	Q That is what you think?
24	A I am quite sure.
25	Q In other words, if you said \$5 to Kirschbaum, it

.. -

1	gab-10 D'Onofrio-cross
2	was after the Baur au Lac meeting?
3	A Not necessarily. You know, I could have been ploy
	ing him, I could have been doing anything to get money.
5	I'm pretty sure that meeting was much after the Baur au Lac
6	meeting.
7	Q Because until the Baur au Lac meeting you had it is
8	your mind to bring it out at \$3?
9	A Yes, but if I am trying to raise capital, I wouldn't
10	tell somebody that.
	Q Mr.D'Onofrio, won't you please just answer my
11	question.
	Am I not right that until Stoller, according to
13	your testimony, at the Baur au Lac meeting said it ought to
14	
15	be \$5, your judgment was it should be \$3?
16	A I didn't say that. It could be 3, 2, 1. I
17	hadn't made up my mind.
18	Q I am referring to your testimony under oath
19	in this case, Page 143 of the transcript in which you are
20	testifying about the Baur au Lac meeting
21	A Yes, sir.
22	Q in June of 1968. This is your testimony. This
23	is your words.
24	"Phil says, 'How much are you coming out with?'
25	I say, "I am doing a Reg. A offering and I am

	837
1	gab-11 D'Onofrio-cross
2	thinking of 100,000 sharesof stock at \$3 a share or \$2 a
3	share, but certainly it is a low price stock."
4	Did you tell us that here the other day?
5	A That is what I just said to you now.
6	Q You never mentioned \$5 to Stoller and then you
7	testified that it was Stoller who said it ought to be \$5?
8	A Over five.
9	Q Over \$5?
10	A Or Allem.
11	Q You said Stoller the other day.
12	A I said it could have been Allen, too.
13	Q It could have been Allen?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q Now, sir, I am turning to your harrative and I am
16	describing what you wrote in your narrative in November
17	A There is no contradiction in that narrative on
18	that subject.
19	Q I am describing what you wrote on Page 3 of
20	your narrative of a conversation that you say in this narra-
21	tive, which you wrote in November 1973 right?
22	A Yes, sir.
23	Q That is when you wrote this?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	MR. SORKIN: I think it is November 8, Mr. Gould.

D'Onofrio-cross

November 8, 1973, less than a year ago.

You wrote in the paper that you had a conversation with a fellow named Richard Kirschbaum.

Does it say when? That is the crux of what you are

When is what I want to know.

My conversation with Richard Kirschbaum took place

Had to be after the Baur au Lac?

I would assume, yes.

All right. Now, sir, in the first sentence of your narrative you say that during the course of your working at A. T.Brod & Company in April, May and June --

-- you had the conversation with Kirschbaum.

Did I say that?

You read it.

It says during -- "Now the main problem that Bud Moss has is money. During the course of my work at A.T. Brod & Company, April, May and June, a research fellow, Richard Kirschbaum used to come in regularly."

> Go on. Q

"He was a friend of Glenn Wu. He came alsl in regularly July, August, September and October, too."

22

23

24

	W.	839
1	gab-13	D'Onofrio-cross
2	Q	All right. Is it your testimony that the
3	conversati	on with Kirschbaum was after you had had the meeting
4	in the Bau	r au Lac?
5	A	I am not exactly sure, sir.
6	Q	You don't know, do you?
7	A	I cannot actually place it.
8	Q	You didn't know when you wrote this, did you?
9	A	The date?
10	Q	Yes. You didn't know?
11	A	I couldn't remember the date.
12	Q	You didn't know when you testified here the other
13	day whethe	r it was Stoller who fixed the \$5 price or you
14	who fixed	it before that, did you?
15	A	Oh, no.
16	Q	You
17	A	I know it was either Allen or Stoller.
18	Q	You know what?
19	A	I know it was either Allen or Stoller, it was
20	not mysel:	f.
21	Q	And you are ready to swear now that the conversa-
22	tion with	Kirschbaum was after you came back from Switzerland-
23	A	To the best of my recollection.
24	Q	When did you come back from Switzerland?
25	A	June 8, 9 or 10. I am not sure.

	1	040
1	gab-14	D'Onofrio-cross
2	Q	Do you have a passport that shows you came
3	back	
4	Α	The Government has my passport.
5	Q	And you haven't refreshed your recollection from
6	it?	
7	А	The reason can I answer that, sir? I haven't
8	Q	Just yes or no.
9	A	I have never been asked to refresh my recollec-
10	tion on t	hat subject.
end 4A 11		
. 12		·
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		•
22		
23		•
24		
25		•• •
	II	

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Good, good. Now we will go to something else.

Now, sir, in the transcript here, and I am referring to page 142, you remember some testimony in which you were talking with -- the same conversation at the Baur au Lac -- you were talking about the company and stoller or Allen -- I can't tell which -- I think it is Allen -- says, "What is the name of the company?"

And Joe -- that is Joe Pfingst, right, correct?

A Yes.

Q Joe says, "M&H Studios."

And Allen says, "Oh, my God, what a name. You have to change the name. In a name there is 50 points."

A Yes, sir.

- O That is what you told us here the other day?
- A Yes, sir.
- Now, sir, again remember we are at the Baur au Lac, this same lovely setting there. You fellows are sitting there and for the first time they hear the name M&H Studios, right?
  - A Who spoke of it?
  - O Stoller and Allen.
  - A They may have heard it before.
- O Didn't --
  - A They weren't touted on it before. They may have

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUS

1	gwrf 2 D'Onofrio-cross
2	heard about it before.
3	O And Allen says, "My God, what a name, there is
4	50 points in a name, you ought to be able to do better,"
5	right, something like that?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q When was that meeting?
8	A June of '68.
9	O June of '68.
10	Again with your narrative, sir, Stoller Exhibit
11	H, page 4
12	A Is that still the narrative that I say it is
13	subject to changing and altering? Is that the same one?
14	O Anything you said anywhere is subject to change,
15	isn't it?
16	A No, sir.
17	Q Have you ever given the Government a piece of
18	paper where you didn't say it was subject to change?
19	A In the early stages of this piece of paper?
20	O You know, a narrative.
21	A It is the only piece of paper I have given them
22	O This says subject to change. We were over that
23	yesterday.
24	λ I don't recall, sir.
25	O All right.

(7

	043
1	gwrf 3 D'Onofrio-cross
2	You see, you distract me. I forget what I'm
3	doing.
4	I'm sorry, sir.
5	Ω Oh, yes, we were talking about how it was Allen
6	who didn't like the name M&H Studios.
7	A I don't remember whether it was Allen or Phil.
8	O One of them?
9	A Yes.
10	Q It was at the Baur au Lac?
11	A Yes.
12	O And he said terrible name, it is worth 50 points.
13	Let me read you something in the narrative.
14	"Somewhere on October 17, 19" excuse me.
15	When you came back to New York in June you met with
16	Bud Moss, didn't you?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q And you told him that you had made arrangements
19	about this underwriting?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q And you met with him almost immediately after
22	you came back?
. 23	A We had met prior also.
24	O And you told him that the fellows you were
25	talking to didn't like the name M&H?

1	gwrf 4	D'Onofrio-cross
2	A	I told him I didn't like it.
3	0	Didn't you tell him about Allen's reaction?
4	A	No.
5	Ú	You just said you didn't like it?
6	A	Of course.
7	ú	What did he say, let's change it?
8	A	He says, "I'll change it to whatever you want."
9	ú	That is what I want.
10		I'm reading to you from your narrative and then
11	you tell	me what happened.
12		"Somewhere on October" Mr. Pfingst wasn't
13	there whe	n you told him about it, was he?
14	А	When I told Mr. Moss?
15	o	Yes.
16	λ	He may have been. I'm not sure.
17	Q	You don't remember?
18	A	I think he was because he would have accomplished
19	it as the	attorney.
20	Ó	I know. He didn't have to be there when
21	A	He was around there quite a bit.
22	Q	All right.
.23	*	"Somewhere on October 17, 1968, I believe, it was
24	Joe Pfing	st and I think met with Bud Moss and we decided

Is that right?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE
FOLEY SCHARE, NEW YORK, N.Y., CO.7-4580

the M&H Studios, Inc. name was not the name to go with."

()

1		
1	gwrf 5	D'Onofrio-cross
2	А	We made the physical change that day, yes.
3	Q	You actually made the physical change?
4	' A	On or about that time.
5	Q	Had you ever told him before that
6	А	We applied to New York State for the names that
7	Jerry rec	ommended, Kinetnetrics or Kinetoscope, and
8	everythin	g we applied with New York State turned us down
9	on.	
10	* .	I said, "We can't get it, Jerry."
11		He says, "Take any damn name then."
12		We made applications in August and September for
13	those fan	cy names. It is on the corporate books.
14	ú	In this conversation on October 7, 1968 you had
15	already o	concluded that the name should be changed, right?
16	A	Legally.
17	Ö	You had already taken steps about it?
18	* A	Yes.
19	Ω	You had already filed papers?
20	А	That is correct, sir.
21	Ú	No question about it, that is the way
22	λ	We took the lesser of the names that we could get
23	approved	
24	0	And everybody agreed that the name should be
25	changed?	
	11	

	gwri 6 D'Onorrio-cross
2	A Yes, sir, anything but M&H Studios.
3	Q Who did the name change?
4	A You mean the lawyer who did it?
5	Q Yes.
6	A I think Patrick Barton did it no, I think it
7	was Marilyn Hertzfeld's brother who did the name change
8	because he held the original corporate books. But I'm
9	not sure whether it was Pat Barton or Marilyn Hertzfeld's
10	brother.
11	O What is your best recollection?
12	A That is my best recollection, I'm not sure, one
13	or the other.
14	O Pat Barton or somebody else, right?
15	A Or Marilyn Hertzfeld's brother, the lawyer.
16	Q Wasn't there some conversation that you took
17	part in with Pat Barton about changing the name?
18	A There could have been.
19	Q Was there or wasn't there?
20	A I don't recall. I don't recall. I just recall
21	telling him to do it.
22	Q You recall telling whom to do it?
23	A Part Barton to get the name changed. How
24	he accomplished it whether Marilyn's brother did it or whethe
25	he did it

D'Onofrio-cross

gwrf 6

2

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

## D'Onofrio-cross

Ó

O It is my error, I'm sorry.

"I believe Joe Pfingst made the name change for the corporation with New York State. I am not precisely sure. This was during his election campaign. Joe was running for Supreme Court Judge at the time and he may not. have handled the paperwork and may have shifted it to his nephew Patrick Barton because just about this time or right prior to when Joe decided he was going to run for Supreme Court judgship he told us that he would supervise the work that Patric was doing, and then if he was elected on November 1st and got the Supreme Court judgship, then when the company eventually did go public, Patrick would share in the fees with him, but that he would be free to quide Pat Barton during the rest of the year until he took his oath of office somewhere at the end of December or January 1st of 1969, and we conducted ourselves mostly with Joe Pfingst doing most of the work."

Did you write those words?

A Yes, sir.

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, how about the next sentence?

Mr. Gould, if you don't mind, for the sake of completeness.

MR. GOULD: I'm grateful for the suggestion. '
I am going to get to it.

O You wrote those words, didn't you?

25

	11	
1	gwrf 9	D'Onofrio-cross
2	A	Yes, sir.
3	Ω	And you talked them into a dictating machine,
4	right?	
5	A	Yes, sir.
6	Ö	And they were transcribed?
7	A	Yes.
8	Ŏ	And you signed the paper?
9	A	Yes, sir.
10	Q	And gave it to the Government?
11	A,	Yes, sir.
12	Ó	And you thought it was the truth?
13	λ	To the best of my recollection.
14	Ó	You didn't say a word about Marilyn Hertzfeld's
15	brother i	in there, did you?
16	. А	At the time I don't know if I did or I didn't.
17	0	Look at it. Is there a word in there about
18	Marilyn He	ertzfeld's brother?
19	A	I don't know. I don't remember the document. I
20	didn't me	emorize it.
21	Q	You do remember the document?
22	А	But I didn't memorize the words in the document.
23	This is n	ot a stage, this is a courtroom. I'm not an actor,
24	I'm a wit	ness. I'm not memorizing documents.
25	Q	Did I suggest that you memorize

- 1	
2	A You did at one time here.
3	Q When was that?
4	A When you told me, that Mr. Sorkinyou used words
5	to the extent of, not briefing, much stronger than that,
6	coach.
7	Q Rehearse?
8	A Rehearse indicates acting.
9	Q That was something else.
10	Λ I'm not an actor, I did not memorize that.
11	You don't give me a cue and I don't give you an answer.
12	Just ask me the questions and I'll qive you
13	O His Honor is going to chastise both of us in
14	a minute. I just want to know, did you mention Marilyn
15	Hertzfeld's brother in it? Look at it. You don't have
16	to memorize anything. Read it.
17	A I just said it is not a material factor, that this
18	is at the point no, I don't mention Marilyn Hertzfeld.
19	You say I'm not familiar with who did it.
20	O In this narrative you said, "And we conducted
21	ourselves mostly with Joe Pfingst doing most of the work."
22	A Get to the beginning of the narrative, not at
23	the end. Don't pluck out little pieces. I'm not sure.
. •	

D'Onofrio-cross

1.

24

25

Does it not say that?

gwrf 10

But you were sure here the other day, weren't you?

1				
	٦			
	1			
	å			

avrf 11

D'Onofrio-cross

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

But you are trying to make me say I'm sure on that narrative to a certain part which is not true. The whole narrative starts with the negative that I'm not sure. I'm not precisely sure. What more could be honest than that, a person saying that he is not precisely sure.

Mr. D'Onofrio, when you testified here the other day, and you were asked the questions about the conversations, about the change of name, did you sav you were not sure about the conversations?

Change of name? Α

The change of name from -- I will read it to you 0 again.

Read it to me. A

When you were asked about the conversations about changing the name of M&H Studios, did you say one word about how you are not sure?

Mr. Gould, I do not remember what I said here the other day. Do you want to repeat it to me? Repeat it to me. I told you I'm not a memory expert. If you want me to give you substance, I'll give you substance.

You remember testifying here the other day, sir, page 151 of the transcript, "When did you next meet Frank?"

"The question is" -- and I think the Court put

- 1	
1	gwrf :2 D'Onofrio-cross
2	this question to you "The question is, when did you next
3	meet Frank, as I understand it? Is that right, Mr.
4	Sorkin?"
5	A I cannot hear you, sir.
6	Q The question is, "When did you next meet Frank"
7	161 of the transcript "as I understand it? Is that right,
8	Mr. Sorkin"?
9	That was the Court who put the question.
10	"Mr. Sorkin: After the Baur au Lac.
11	"The Court. After the meetings in the Baur au Lac
12	Hotel?
13	"Mr. Sorkin: Yes, your Honor.
14	"A I met him in September 1968."
15	Did you give that answer or not?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5a pm rmrf

	Q	Nov	٧,	sir,	you	have	e tes	stified	l he	ere	this	after	noon
that	you	hađ	a	meeti	ng v	with	Mr.	Frank	in	Jul	y 19	69,	
isn'	t tha	at ri	igl	ht?									

A It may be later July, mid-July, I am not sure of the date, sir.

- Q Mr. D'Onofrio --
- A But that is what I testified to.
- Q You testified this afternoon that you had two conversations with Mr. Frank about the destruction of a paper and that one of them was in April --
  - A And one was July or late June, or in that time.
- Q You told me that the reference to the July conversation was the first time you had ever mentioned the two conversations with Frank about the letter?
  - A In front of this courtroom?
  - Q In front of anybody.
- A Well, I am not sure about the Government, I don't recall.

MR. GOULD: Your Honor, I am going to have to ask if counsel wants to concede that or if I have to pursue the subject.

MR. SORKIN: It seems to me, your Honor, Mr. Gould is bound by his answer. I don't see what the Government has to concede. There are a number of things

Mr. D'Onofrio told the Government that have not come out in this trial and he knows it full well.

MR. GOULD: I think that is an extremely improper remark about this subject we are discussing, your Honor.

I don't see the relevancy of it.

Q Mr. Witness, would you be good enough to tell us if in your recollection there is any other time, place or occasion prior to this afternoon when you have testified, either under oath, before a Court, a grand jury or informally that there were two conversations with Martin Frank about the destruction of the paper, one in April and one in July?

I cannot recall, but there could have been.

Q Now, sir, you testified in this case at page

151 in response to his Honor's question when his Honor

asked you, "When did you next meet Frank," and then

Mr. Sorkin said, "After the Baur au Lac?" And you said,

"Yes, after September 1968."

A Concerning Training With The Pros. I met him in between, I am sure.

Q The meeting in July 1969 was concerned with Training With The Pros?

A Let's go back to the last question. You have me confused, you are jumping two questions, two different

years. You said the next time I met him, but Mr. Sorkin didn't bring out concerning Training With The Pros. I met Mr. Frank many times during 1968 in July and in August but concerning other stocks.

- Q I see, other matters, not this one?
- A Other stocks.
- Q You remember testifying in this case the other day, page 250 of the transcript -- do you remember this conversation, you were asked a question:
- "Q You were asked to describe a conversation you had with Mr. Herbert in Zurich, telephone call, you were at your home in Brookville and you spoke to him on the telephone and you told Herbert you just received a phone call, and so on, from Stoller. Do you remember that conversation?"
- A Would you repeat that? I didn't hear your beginning.
- Q "Tell us what he said to you and what you said to him."

Did you hear that?

- A That I did, yes.
- Q "I told Mr. Herbert that I had just received a phone call -- I believe I said a French phone call -- from Mr. Stoller."

Did you hear that all right?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REFORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SO JARE, NEW YORK N.Y. CO 7-4580

. 

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21-

Honor.

22

23

24

25

Yes, sir. Α

"And Mr. Stoller had told me that the stock we had given to Bank Hofmann and Training With The Pros was not going to be transferred by Emanuel Deetjen because of some physically paperwork complications and that we are in danger of losing a million dollars."

A Yes, sir.

Do you remember giving that testimony?

Yes, sir.

Then you went on to say, after describing another part of the conversation, "The other problem is that the man in the office in Luzern" -- this is Herbert talking to you --

Lausanne.

It should have been --

You mean the court reporter made an error?

Yes, sir. Q

Yesterary or the day before?

MR. SORKIN: We will concede it is Lausanne, your

"He says the other problem is that the man in the office in Lausanne in Switzerland, Emanuel Deetjen, a good friend of mine, I will have to give about 5,000 Swiss francs today and you think how I hate to talk to Phil about parting with money."

1

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you remember that testimony?

Yes, sir, very well, sir. Α

"I wanted to make him think the problem was in indeed more serious than actually it is, but if I am armed with the documents and you give me permission to expend the 5,000 Swiss francs or whatever is needed, I can probably have it done."

Do you recall that testimony?

Yes, sir, I do recall it.

Do you recall testifying before the grand jury back in July 1973 and being asked this question --

MR. SORKIN: Page, please.

MR. GOULD: RSK 2.

During the period of the first manipulation of Training With The Pros were there payments made to anyone in connection with that? By payments I am referring to cash payoffs or bribes to people to influence their purchases and sales.

I don't know if you could refer to it in that fashion. All I know is that myself and my co-conspirators received a great deal of money."

Do you remember giving that answer?

Yes, I do, sir. A

You didn't say anything about the 5,000 Swiss

caper at that time. I was busy with nine other crimes,

1

including my own sentencing.

3

Excuse me, what date, July when --

4

Q '73.

5

A July what, sir?

6

THE COURT: 10th.

7

im cooki. Toek.

8

on the 12th or 14th by Judge Weinstein, so my mind was -I was pretty upset at the time and my mind may not have

THE WITNESS: Well, I was sentenced, I think,

10

been very, very clear.

11

Q Did you ask Mr. Brodsky to adjourn your appearance

12

A No, sir.

before the grand jury?

13 14

Q You knew this was pretty serious stuff?

15

A Yes, but I knew the crime was committed and

16

the people who committed it and I was not bringing in

17

people that were not guilty people or anything else

18

and I told the truth to my best recollection and my best

19 20

I was not going to dictate to the Department of Justice

knowledge at that time. Why he wanted it so quickly,

21

why they wanted me at that moment, even though I knew

22

three days later I may wind up in a penitentiary from

23

Q Are you finished?

Judge Weinstein.

24

A Yes, I am finished.

Q You remember testifying at page 319 of the transcript, the testimony here in this case, 319, 320, and you are testifying now about conversations between you and Mr. Frank --

- A Before who?
- Q Mr. Frank.
- A Yes, sir.
- Q "I said, look Marty," you said -- "Look, Marty, I believe the people who told me and I don't believe you. Secondly, you were at the bank today and you told the bank that I owed you \$100,000 in legal fees and that I was a no good SOB and that I was greatly indebted to you. You know this is a goddam lie because I don't owe you any \$100,000 in legal fees."

"He says, Ray, I just said that in order to keep the story straight.

"I said, Marty, let me tell you something here and now, to my knowledge this is your first visit to Switzerland, I may be wrong, why the hell you ever came over to rescue Ph: Stoller, that son of a bitch is beyond me, that he could drag you over. Whatever he holds over you to drag you over, I can't figure, but you get your ass on an airplane and get back to the United States.

You leave Bank Hofmann alone. You can tell Phil at the

time that he better not cause any more waves at Bank
Hofmann or any place else for me because as you know
I have been trying to work out a deal with the United
States Government."

Is that what you said in this case?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Well, now, in your narrative you have a reference to that conversation, correct?
  - A Yes. It is not complete, but it is there.
- Q I know. And you said in the narrative, page
  10, when you described this conversation with Marty,
  "I am telling you one thing, Marty, if I ever get
  back and make my deal with the United States Government
  I'm going to hang your goddam partner for getting you
  to do this."

Is that what you said?

- A That is what I remember saying, yes.
- Q Well, which is right?
- A Actually, both of them are right. I was going to hang him, bury him, do anything I could if I made a deal. If the Government asked me any questions about Mr. Stoller I would no longer take the Fifth Amendment, like I did in the Eastern District and go to jail for it. I would tell the truth and let him worry about his own ass.

just a moment while I see what I have left to do?

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if we are going to be here a lengthy time, could I get a glass of water?

THE CCURT: I don't think we are going to be here a lengthy time, but if you want some water, you certainly may have it.

THE WITNESS: Because I am a diabetic and I usually get a lot of fluids.

(Pause.)

- Mr. D'Onofrio, did you tell us where you live Q now?
  - Could I tell you? A
  - Did you tell us at any time during this case?
  - I don't recall. Α
  - I am going to ask you, where do you live?
- I live in chree places now. My official Α residence is Las Vegas, Nevada. When I come into New York for the Government I can't get a hotel at \$16 a day, and I have tried all over New York City, and I live in my mother's old apartment, 312 Lynton Avenue, Lindenhurst, New York.
- What is the third place? I don't care about the address.
- After I leave Nevada and complete my work I usually spend the time with my son and former wife that

1	rmrf	12	D'Onofrio-cross	864
2	I am	recon	ciling with in Denver, Colorado.	
3		Q	You have three places of residence?	
4		A	Yes.	
5		Q	Your official residence is in Las Vega	s?
6		A	Yes.	
7		Q	You have an apartment there?	
8		Α	I have a room.	
9	,	Q	You have a room?	
10		Α	Yes, sir.	
11	,	Q	Is that a room at 4507 Buckeye Avenue	?
12		Α	I have a room in the home of my secret	tary
13	and h	er h	isband.	
14		Q	Is that a Mr. Royster?	
15		A	Yes, sir.	
16		Q	Mr. Royster's wife is your secretary?	
17		A	In my company, Galco Leasing Systems	the company
18	I wor	ck fo	· ·	
19		Q	Do you know Mr. Royster?	
20		A	I never met him.	
21		Ω	Have you ever seen him?	
22		Α	No.	
23		Q	Have you ever been in the room at 450	7 Buckeye
24	Aveni	ue?		

Yes, sir.

Λ

Q Am I not right, sir, that the only place of residence that you have in Las Vegas is a room in a private home at 4507 Buckeye Avenue, that you signed the lease

23

24

ي.

MR. SORKIN: My objection is to proceeding

has been going on for five minutes. I clocked it.

24

25

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we will

MR. GOULD: I don't think I will go into this.

suspend now until Monday, as you know, and on Monday,
ladies and gentlemen, I have another case early in the
morning and I hope to finish it at 10:30, but in the
exercise of caution, rather than have you or the lawyers
or anybody come in and sit around waiting for me, I am
going to ask you to come in at a minute or two before 11:00
o'clock -- 11:00 o'clock -- on Monday morning. We
will keep in mind that we will have to suspend Monday
afternoon for the religious holidays, which you have

So you know we have by no means heard everything yet and I ask you to keep the case out of your mind and not discuss it or think about it and have a pleasant weekend.

Goodnight.

already been told about.

(Adjourned to Monday, September 16, 1974, at 11:00 a.m.)

. 23